

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Bachelor of Visual Communication Design Study Program

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE			C	ours	e Famil	У		Crea	lit W	eight		S	EMES	TER	Cor Dat	npilati e
Branding			9024105074					Ilsory S			T=5	P=0	EC	TS=7.9	5	4		July	17, 20
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer			m Subj		urse	e Clu	ster C	Coord	inator	S	tudy F	Progra	ım Co	ordina		
			Hendro Arya	nto, S	5.Sn, I	M.Si			Her	ndro	o Arya	nto, S	S.Sn,	M.Si		Mar	sudi, S	5.Pd.,	M.Pd.
Learning model	Case Studies	ase Studies																	
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course																		
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-3	Develop logical, critical, systematic and creative thinking in carrying out specific work in their field of expertis and in accordance with work competency standards in the field concerned																	
	PLO-10		Able to study Visual Communication Design work that is oriented to a theoretical and contextual approach by following the latest technological developments.																
	Program Obje	ctives	s (PO)																
	PO - 1		e to explain bra keting commur							obl	ems	and b	e abl	e to ad	apt	to situ	ations	in the	e world
	PO - 2	Able mar	e to explain bra keting commur	andin nicatio	g con ons ar	cept nd pu	s and blic r	d analy elations	ze pr s.	obl	ems	and k	e abl	e to ad	apt	to situ	ations	in the	e world
	PLO-PO Matrix	(																	
			P.0		PL	0-3		PL	.0-10	)									
			PO-1		•	/			1										
			PO-2		•	/			1										
	PO Matrix at th	ne en	d of each lea	rning	y staę	je (S	Sub-F	PO)											
			P.0	1	2	3	4	5	c	7	8	Weel 9		11	12	10	14	15	16
			PO-1	1	2 1	3	4	5	6	1	0	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	10
		-	PO-1		•														
			0-2																
Short Course Description	In this course st branding related inter-media strai communication j principles and pr a branding progr	to mategies brogra ractice	arketing comm and creative ms. Students l s in organizatio	unica mess have	ations, sages the al	nan and bility	to st	orand id cepts to ructure	lentit rea a bra	y. S ch and	Stude targe ling p	nts ai t aud rogra	e èxp ience m pla	bected t s, and n based	o be deliv d on	e able /er bra the ar	to dev and pr oplicat	elop i omise ion of	ntegra s throu conce
References	Main :																		

		Irresistibl 2. Neumeie by Neutro 3. Weeler, A 4. Aaker, D 5. Aaker, D 6. A.B. Sus Mizan Pu 7. A.B. Sus Mizan Me 8. Darmadi Jakarta. 9. Fandy Tji 10. Keller, K Prentice	e. by Identity Publ r, Marty.2005. The on LLC. San Franc Alina. 2013. Desig avid. 1997. Buildir avid. 1999. Manaç anto dkk. 2004. N iblikasi): Jakarta S anto & Himawan N edia Utama: Band Durianto: Sugiar Durianto: Sugiar iptono. 2005. Stra evin Lane. 2005. Hall.	lications. e Brand Gap: How to cisco. ning Brand Identity (fo ng Strong Brand. The J gement Brand. The Fr Value Marketing, Para Selatan. Wijanarko. 2004. Pow ung. to. Tony Simanjuntal tegi Manajemen Brand Strategic Brand Mar	Bridge the Dista our edition). Pub Free Press: New Y ee Press: New Y ddigma Baru Pe er Branding: Me k. 2001. Strate d. Andy: Yogyak nagement: Build	York. □ masaran, Penerbit Qual mbangun Merek Unggul gi Menaklukan Pasar. I	Strategy and Des ons, Inc. New Jer ntum Bisnis & Ma dari Organisasi F PT Gramedia Pu langing Brand Ed	sign. Publisher sey. anajemen (PT. Pendukungnya. Istaka Utama:
Support lecturer		Hendro Aryanto, Muh Ariffudin Isla	S.Sn., M.Si. m, S.Sn., M.Sn.					
Week-	each	l abilities of learning stage	Eva	aluation	Lear Studer	Ip Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub	-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( <i>online</i> )	1	
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
2	RPS the Unc and	lerstanding SUnderstanding course overview lerstand theories concepts about nding	Explain RPS and course description Explains theories and concepts about Branding	Criteria: value 10 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment Criteria: 0 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	lecture 5 X 50 lecture discussion 5 X 50	•	Material: RPS and Lecture Contract. Reference: Aaker, David. 1997. Building Strong Brands. The Free Press: New York. Material: Theories and concepts about Branding Library: Darmadi Durianto: Sugiarto. Tony Simanjuntak.	0%
3	Seg Tar Diff Pos	lerstand mentation, geting, erentiation and itioning ttegies	Explaining Segmentation, Targeting, Differentiation and Positioning Strategies	Criteria: max value 10 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	lecture discussion 5 X 50		2001. Strategy to Conquer the Market. PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama: Jakarta. Material: Segmentation, Targeting, Differentiation and Positioning Strategies References: Keller, Kevin Lane. 2005. Strategic Brand Management: Building, Measuring, and Managing Brand Equity. Pearson Prentice Hall.	10%

4	Understanding the Concept of Brand EquityUnderstanding the Concept of Brand Building	Explaining the Concept of Brand Equity Explaining the Concept of Building a Brand	Criteria: max value 20 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	lecture discussion 5 X 50	 	Material: Human inside Bibliography: Diehl, Gregory 7. 2017. Braakthrough: How to Craft Your Company's Jnique Story O Make Your Products rresistible. by dentity Publications.	0%
5	Understanding Cyber branding Understanding brand visual identity, Understanding brand positioning, brand differentiation Designing brand identity.	Explaining Cyber branding Explaining brand visual identity, Creating brand positioning plans, brand differentiation Designing brand identity	Criteria: max value 20 Form of Assessment : Test	lecture discussion group work 5 X 50	C E E E E E E E E E E E E S S E E E S	Material: Cyber Dyber	0%
6	Understanding Cyber branding Understanding brand visual identity, Understanding brand positioning, brand differentiation Designing brand identity.	Explaining Cyber branding Explaining brand visual identity, Creating brand positioning plans, brand differentiation Designing brand identity	Criteria: max value 20 Form of Assessment : Test	Lectures, surveys and presentations 5 X 50	E E E S S F 7 1 1 5 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Material: Brand equity concept, Brand Building Strategy References: Aaker, David. 1997. Building Strong Brands. The Free Press: New York.	10%
7	Understanding Cyber branding Understanding brand visual identity, Understanding brand positioning, brand differentiation Designing brand identity.	Explaining Cyber branding Explaining brand visual identity, Creating brand positioning plans, brand differentiation Designing brand identity	Criteria: max value 20 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Lectures, surveys and presentations 5 X 50	S T C a S S F F K L S S T K R C S S T T C T C T C T C T C T C T C T C	Material: Segmentation, Fargeting, Differentiation and Positioning Strategies References: Keller, Kevin Lane. 2005. Strategic Brand Management: Building, Measuring, and Managing Brand Equity. Pearson Prentice Hall.	10%

-			- · ·	<u>т.</u> т		
8	U.S.S	Working on the U.S.S	Criteria: max value 100 Form of Assessment : Test	written test 5 X 50	Material: Segmentation, Targeting, Differentiation and Positioning Strategies <b>References:</b> AB Susanto et al. 2004. Value Marketing, New Marketing Paradigm, Quantum Business & Management Publisher (PT. Mizan Publishing): South Jakarta.	20%
9	Students understand branding of tourist destinations, products, institutions	Students understand branding of tourist destinations, products, institutions	Criteria: 100 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, surveys and presentations 5 X 50	Material: SWOT References: Diehl, Gregory V. 2017. Brand Identity Breakthrough: How to Craft Your Company's Unique Story to Make Your Products Irresistible. by Identity Publications.	0%
10	Students understand branding of tourist destinations, products, institutions	Students understand branding of tourist destinations, products, institutions	Criteria: 100 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Lectures, surveys and presentations 5 X 50	Material: Target Audience Reader: AB Susanto & Himawan Wijanarko. 2004. Power Branding: Building Superior Brands from Supporting Organizations. Mizan Main Media: Bandung.	5%
11	Design Project: Understanding Design Concepts, Promotions, Media Concepts.	Understand design concepts, promotions, media concepts.	Criteria: 100 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Practice 5 X 50	Material: Design Concept, Promotion, Media Concept. <b>References:</b> Neumeier, Marty. 2005. The Brand Gap: How to Bridge the Distance Between Business Strategy and Design. Publisher by Neutron LLC. San Francisco.	0%

12	Design Project: Understanding Design Concepts, Promotions, Media Concepts.	Understand design concepts, promotions, media concepts.	Criteria: 100 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Practice 5 X 50	Material: Design Concept, Promotion, Media Concept. References: Neumeier, Marty. 2005. The Brand Gap: How to Bridge the Distance Between Business Strategy and Design. Publisher by Neutron LLC. San Francisco.	0%
13	Students understand branding of tourist destinations, products, institutions	Students understand branding of tourist destinations, products, institutions	Criteria: 100 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Practice 5 X 50	Material: Thumbnail, Tightissue, Final design Reader: Weeler, Alina. 2013. Designing Brand Identity (four edition). Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New Jersey.	5%
14	Students understand branding of tourist destinations, products, institutions	Students understand branding of tourist destinations, products, institutions	Criteria: 100 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Practice 5 X 50	Material: Thumbnail, Tightissue, Final design Reader: Weeler, Alina. 2013. Designing Brand Identity (four edition). Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New Jersey.	5%
15	Evaluation	Explaining Conclusions and Suggestions	Criteria: 100 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	5 X 50 Presentation	Material: Conclusions and Suggestions Reader: Darmadi Durianto: Sugiarto. Tony Simanjuntak. 2001. Strategy to Conquer the Market. PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama: Jakarta.	10%
16	US	US	Criteria: 100 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	5 X 50	Material: UAS Library: AB Susanto & Himawan Wijanarko. 2004. Power Branding: Building Superior Brands from Supporting Organizations. Mizan Main Media: Bandung.	20%

## Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	50%
2.	Portfolio Assessment	20%

3.	Test	30%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of
  their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.