

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Bachelor of Visual Communication Design Study Program

Document Code

UNES	Ā	Daoi		0.	VISG	a. 0	····		Iou		D 0.	oig.		uuj	, , , ,	j. a.	••	
					SEN	1ES	TEI	R L	EAI	RNI	NG	PL	_AI	١				
Courses	Courses			CODE			Course Family			C	Credit Weight			S	EMESTER	Compilation Date		
Fundamentals of Design Teaching			9024103004							Т	T=3 F	P=0	ECTS=4.7	77	6	July 18, 2024		
AUTHORIZATION			SPI	Develop	er					Course Cluster Coordinator						Study Program Coordinator		
												Marsudi, S.Pd., M.Pd.						
Learning model	ı	Case Studies																
Program		PLO study pro	gram	that	is charg	ed to	the co	ourse										
Learning		Program Objectives (PO)																
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix																
		P.O																
		PO Matrix at th	e end	of e	ach lear	ning s	stage	(Sub-	PO)									
			P	0.0							Weel	/eek						
					1 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15 16
Short Course Descript	tion	Knowledge of the learning design	e natur	e of le	earning a	nd leai	rning, I	earnin	g theo	ries, st	rategi	ies an	id inno	ovative	e learning	, mode	els and thei	r application to
Referen	ces	Main:																
		 Martadi. 2018. Teori Belajar . Universitas Negeri Surabaya. Dahar, R.W. 1989. Teori-teori Belajar. Jakarta: Depdikbud, Diijen Dikti, P2LPTK. Dimyati dan Mudjiono. 2006. Belajar dan Pembelajaran . Jakarta: Penerbit Rineka Cipta. Nana Sudjana. 1987. Dasar-Dasar Belajar Mengajar . Bandung: Sinar Baru. Agus Suprijono. 2009. Cooperative Learning; Teori dan Aplikasi PAIKEM . Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar. Sardiman. 2007. Interaksi dan Motivasi Belajar Mengajar. Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada. 																
		Supporters:																
Support lecturer		Dr. Martadi, M.Sı	n.															
Week-		al abilities of h learning ge		Evaluation							Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]			ıts,	'	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Su	Ď-PO)	li	ndica	itor	Cı	riteria	& For	m		Offline (Onlin		line (online)	K]		
(1)		(2)		(3)		(4)				(5)			(6)		(7)	(8)	

1	Understand the scope of lecture substance. Understand the nature of learning and learning	1.Understand the scope of the Basic Design Teaching course 2.Understand the process, assignments, assessment of Basic Teaching Design lectures 3.Explain the nature of learning and learning	Criteria: 1.1) Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, 2.2) Cohesion and cooperation between group members. Weight 30%, and 3.3) Presentation (Communication ability, broadcast quality, ability to argue and answer questions. Weight 30%	Discussion Questions and answers Lecture 3 X 50		0%
2	Understand the principles, objectives, learning and learning processes	1.Explain the principles of learning 2.Explain learning and learning objectives 3.Describes the learning and learning process	Criteria: 1.1) Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, 2.2) Cohesion and cooperation between group members. Weight 30%, and 3.3) Presentation (Communication ability, broadcast quality, ability to argue and answer questions. Weight 30%	Lecture Discussion Questions and answers 3 X 50		0%
3	Understanding learning theories: behavioristic, cognitive, humanistic, cybernetic, constructivist, Ki Hajar Dewantara	1.Explain each concept of learning theory thinking 2.Identify the application of each learning theory in learning	Criteria: 1.1) Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, 2.2) Cohesion and cooperation between group members. Weight 30%, and 3.3) Presentation (Communication ability, broadcast quality, ability to argue and answer questions. Weight 30%	Lectures, discussions, assignments 3 X 50		0%

4	Understand models, approaches, strategies, methods, tactics and learning techniques	1.Explain the meaning of models, approaches, strategies, methods, tactics and learning techniques 2.Identify differences in models, approaches, strategies, methods, tactics and learning techniques	Criteria: 1.1) Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, 2.2) Cohesion and cooperation between group members. Weight 30%, and 3.3) Presentation (Communication ability, broadcast quality, ability to argue and answer questions. Weight 30%	Lectures, discussions, assignments 3 X 50		0%
5	Understand the Student Centered Learning oriented learning model	1.Explains the concept of student-centered learning 2.Identifying the differences between student-centered learning and teacher-centered learning	Criteria: Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, Cohesiveness and cooperation between group members. 30% weight, and Presentation (Communication ability, quality of impressions, ability to argue and answer questions. 30% weight	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, presentations 3 X 50		0%
6	Describe the principles and stages of selecting innovative learning models	1.Explain the principles in selecting innovative learning models 2.Explain the stages in selecting an innovative learning model	Criteria: Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, Cohesiveness and cooperation between group members. 30% weight, and Presentation (Communication ability, quality of impressions, ability to argue and answer questions. 30% weight	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, presentations 3 X 50		0%
7	Understand the Blended Learning learning model	1.Explain the concept of blended learning 2.Explain the stages in blended learning	Criteria: Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, Cohesiveness and cooperation between group members. 30% weight, and Presentation (Communication ability, quality of impressions, ability to argue and answer questions. 30% weight	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, presentations 3 X 50		0%
8	Midterm exam	Midterm exam	Criteria: Midterm exam	Midterm Exam 3 X 50		0%

9	Understand the	1.Explain the	Criteria:	Lectures,		0%
	innovative learning model Problem Base Learning and its application in design learning	concept and meaning of Problem Base Learning 2.Explains the application of the Problem Base Learning learning model in design learning syntax of the Problem Base Learning learning model in design learning model in design learning model	Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, Cohesiveness and cooperation between group members. 30% weight, and Presentation (Communication ability, quality of impressions, ability to argue and answer questions. 30% weight	discussions, questions and answers, presentations 3 X 50		
10	Understand the innovative learning model Discoverry Learning and its application in design learning	1.Explain the concept and meaning of Discovery Learning 2.Describe the syntax of the Discovery Learning learning model 3.Explains the application of the Discovery Learning learning model in design learning	Criteria: Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, Cohesiveness and cooperation between group members. 30% weight, and Presentation (Communication ability, quality of impressions, ability to argue and answer questions. 30% weight	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, presentations 3 X 50		0%
11	Understand the innovative learning model Inquiry Learning and its application in design learning	1.Explain the concept and meaning of inquiry learning 2.Describe the syntax of the inquiry learning learning model 3.Explain the application of the inquiry learning model in design learning	Criteria: Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, Cohesiveness and cooperation between group members. 30% weight, and Presentation (Communication ability, quality of impressions, ability to argue and answer questions. 30% weight	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, presentations 3 X 50		0%
12	Understand the innovative learning model Project Base Learning Learning and its application in design learning	1.Explain the concept and meaning of Project Base Learning Learning 2.Describe the syntax of the Project Base Learning Learning model 3.Explains the application of the Project Base Learning Learning model in design learning	Criteria: Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, Cohesiveness and cooperation between group members. 30% weight, and Presentation (Communication ability, quality of impressions, ability to argue and answer questions. 30% weight	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, presentations 3 X 50		0%

13	Understand the innovative Cooperative Learning learning model and its application in design learning	1.Explain the concept and meaning of Cooperative Learning 2.Describe the syntax of the Cooperative Learning learning model 3.Explains the application of the Cooperative Learning learning model in design learning	Criteria: Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, Cohesiveness and cooperation between group members. 30% weight, and Presentation (Communication ability, quality of impressions, ability to argue and answer questions. 30% weight	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, presentations 3 X 50		0%
14	Understand the differences, strengths and weaknesses of each innovative learning model	1.Understand the differences between each learning theory 2.Understand the strengths and weaknesses of each innovative learning model	Criteria: Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, Cohesiveness and cooperation between group members. 30% weight, and Presentation (Communication ability, quality of impressions, ability to argue and answer questions. 30% weight	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, presentations 3 X 50		0%
15	Understand the differences, strengths and weaknesses of each innovative learning model	1.Understand the differences between each learning theory 2.Understand the strengths and weaknesses of each innovative learning model	Criteria: Quality of reports on learning theory analysis results (sharpness and depth of analysis, systematic thinking, completeness of analysis). Weight 40%, Cohesiveness and cooperation between group members. 30% weight, and Presentation (Communication ability, quality of impressions, ability to argue and answer questions. 30% weight	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, presentations 3 X 50		0%
16						0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage						
		0%						

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.

- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. **Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.