

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Vocational Faculty, D4 Transportation Study Program

Document Code

| 011207 | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| | | SEN | IESTER | LEARI | NINC | g pl | | 1 | | | | |
| Courses | | CODE | | Course Family | | Credit Weight | | SEME | STER | Compila Date | tion | |
| LAND US | E PLANNING | 393010206 | 65 | | | T=2 | P=0 | ECTS=3.1 | 3 | 4 | July 16, 3 | 2024 |
| AUTHOR | IZATION | SP Develo | per | | Cours | se Clus | ter Co | oordinator | Study | Program | n Coordir | nator |
| | | | | | | | | | Dr. An | ita Susar | nti, S.Pd., | M.T. |
| Learning model | Case Studies | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | <i>r</i> program that is charged to the course | | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Outcomes (PLO) | | Program Objectives (PO) | | | | | | | | | | |
| (PLO) | PLO-PO Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | P.O | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO Matrix at th | PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | P.0 | | | | Weel | | | | | | _ |
| | | | 2 3 4 | 5 6 | 7 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 12 | 2 13 | 14 | 15 16 | |
| Short Course Descript | ion related, so they transportation nerelationship betw transportation sy characteristics of | nd use, transporta are usually cons eds must be me veen providing tra- stem policies with developing count of transportation p tial planning | idered to form t properly. A co ansportation ne n land use activ ries in applying | one land us ongested trans eds with land vities, the rela- the concept of | e transp sportation trionship of urban | port sy on syst unction betwe transp | stem. em w s, the en la ortatic | In order for Il certainly role of the nd use use on problems | or land u hinder la e Govern and the to urban | and use nment in transport develop | e created activities. i impleme rtation sys oment patt | well, The enting stem, terns, |
| Referenc | es Main : | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2005[3] | Budihardjo, Tata ITB, JICA, 1992, H aan Pedesaan Pe | Kebutuhan Tran | isportasi, FTS | P ITB 1 | 992. [4 |] Jaya | dinata , T. | | | | |
| | Supporters: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ľ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Supporti lecturer | Amanda Ristrian | Supriyatno, M.T. a Pattisinai, S.T., I ıratama, S.Pd., M. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Week- | Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) | | aluation | | Student Assignments, | | | mat | rning erials erences 1 | Assess Weight | | |
| | (0.4.0 1.0) | Indicator | Criteria & F | orm Off | ine (| | nine (| online) | | - | 1 | |

Offline (offline)

(5)

Online (online)

(6)

(7)

(8)

Criteria & Form

(4)

Indicator

(3)

(2)

(1)

| 1 | Able to explain land | Explain the | Criteria: | Lecture, | | 10% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|
| | use | meaning of land use. Explain the various types of land use | Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. | Q&A, discussion 2 X 50 | | |
| | | | Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | | | |
| 2 | Able to carry out measurements and calculations directly and explain functions. | Explain the various types of measurement work. Determining land calculations Determining the movement of people, goods and vehicles | Criteria: Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lectures, discussions, questions and answers 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 3 | Able to carry out measurements and calculations directly and explain functions. | Explain the various types of measurement work. Determining land calculations Determining the movement of people, goods and vehicles | Criteria: Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lectures, discussions, questions and answers 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 4 | Able to explain land use planning | Explain land use planning. | Criteria: Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lectures, discussions, questions and answers 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 5 | Able to explain land use planning | Explain land use planning. | Criteria: Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lectures, discussions, questions and answers 2 X 50 | Material: land use planning Bibliography: [1] Eko Budihardjo, Urban Spatial Planning, Bandung, 2005[2] Eko Budihardjo, etl, Sustainable Cities, Bandung, 2005[3] ITB, JICA, 1992, Transportation Needs, FTSP ITB 1992 [4] Jayadinata, T. Johara Land Use Management in Rural Urban and Regional Planning, Bandung: ITB Publisher, 1999. | 5% |

| - | 1 | | | r | 1 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|----|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|-----|
| 6 | Able to recognize land characteristics | Know the characteristics of the land. Calculating land evaluation. | Criteria: Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lectures, discussions 2 X 50 | | | 5% |
| 7 | Able to recognize land characteristics | Know the characteristics of the land. Calculating land evaluation. | Criteria: Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lectures, discussions 2 X 50 | | | 5% |
| 8 | UTS | - | Criteria: answer all correctly full marks Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests | - 1 X 50 | | | 15% |
| 9 | Able to know the development of urban areas every year | Calculating changes in land use | Criteria: Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lectures, discussions,. 2 X 50 | | | 5% |
| 10 | Able to know the development of urban areas every year | Calculating changes in land use | Criteria: Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lectures, discussions,. 2 X 50 | | Material: Calculating changes in land use References: [1] Eko Budihardjo, Urban Spatial Planning, Bandung, 2005[2] Eko Budihardjo, etl, Sustainable Cities, Bandung, 2005[3] ITB, JICA, 1992, Transportation Needs, FTSP ITB 1992 [4] Jayadinata, T. Johara Land Use Management in Rural Urban and Regional Planning, Bandung: ITB Publisher, 1999. | 5% |

| 11 | Able to identify land changes. | Identifying land and imagery | Criteria: Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lectures, practices and discussions 2 X 50 | Material: Identifying Iand and image References: [1] Eko Budihardjo, Urban Spatial Planning, Bandung, 2005[2] Eko Budihardjo, etl, Sustainable Cities, Bandung, 2005[3] ITB, JICA, 1992, Transportation Needs, FTSP ITB 1992 [4] Jayadinata, T. Johara Land Use Management in Rural Urban and Regional Planning, Bandung: ITB Publisher, 1999. | 10% |
|----|--|---|---|--|--|-----|
| 12 | Able to identify land changes. | Identifying land and imagery | Criteria: Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lectures, practices and discussions 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 13 | Able to determine regional development well | Determine which areas are experiencing changes. | Criteria: Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lectures, discussions,. 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 14 | Able to determine regional development well | Determine which areas are experiencing changes. | Criteria: Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. | Lectures, discussions,. 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 15 | Able to recognize the characteristics of spatial movement | Know the characteristics of non-spatial movement. Understand the characteristics of spatial movement in the city | Criteria: Full marks are obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each other exchange ideas in answering them. Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance | Lectures, discussions,. 2 X 50 | | 5% |

| 16 | Able to recognize the characteristics of spatial movement | Know the characteristics of non-spatial movement. Understand the characteristics of spatial movement in the city | obtained if everyone in the group answers and helps each | Lectures, discussions,. 2 X 50 | | | 10% |
|----|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----|
|----|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----|

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

| No | Evaluation | Percentage |
|----|--------------------------|------------|
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 77.5% |
| 2. | Practice / Performance | 5% |
| 3. | Test | 17.5% |
| | | 100% |

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.