

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Bachelor of Primary School Teacher Education Study Program

Document Code

| | 34 | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|
| | | | | SEM | ESTER L | EARNIN | G PL | AN | | | |
| Courses | | | | CODE | | Course Family | / | Cr | edit Weight | SEMESTER | Compilation Date |
| Seminar | | | | 8620602188 | | | | T= | 2 P=0 ECTS=3.18 | 2 | July 18, 2024 |
| AUTHOR | IZATIO | N | | SP Develop | er | | C | ourse C | luster Coordinator | Study Progr Coordinator | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Putri Rachm | adyanti, S.Pd., Pd. |
| Learning model | | Case Studies | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | PLO study program which is charged to the course | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Outcom (PLO) | | Program Objectives (PO) | | | | | | | | | |
| (FLO) | | PLO-PO Matrix | PLO-PO Matrix | | | | | | | | |
| P.O | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO Matrix at th | e end of eac | ch learning s | tage (Sub-PO) |) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.0 | - T - T | - F - F | <u> </u> | Wee | 1 | <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> | | |
| | | | | 1 2 | 3 4 5 | 6 7 | 89 | 10 | 11 12 13 | 3 14 1 | 5 16 |
| Short Co Descript | | Developing scier writing them in th | tific reasonin e form of pape | g power throu ers/articles and | ugh library/field/l d/or proposals, a | aboratory studie and presenting th | es on educ em both or | cation ar rally and | nd learning topics for in writing in seminar | r elementary s scientific activit | chool children, y forums. |
| Referen | ces | Main : | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Tim. 2012. Pedoman Penulisan Skripsi Universitas Negeri Surabaya. Surabaya: Unesa University Press. Lamijan Hadi Susarno. 2009 Teknik Menulis Karya Ilmiah: Makalah, Artikel, dan Proposal Penelitian. Surabaya: Unesa University Press. Referensi lain yang relevan dengan teknik penulisan karya ilmiah. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Supporters: | | | | | | | | | |
| Support | ina | Dr. Heru Subrata | , M.Si. | | | | | | | | |
| lecturer | | Prof. Dr. Wahyu S Dr. Hendratno, M Putri Rachmadya | .Hum. | | | | | | | | |
| Week- | | abilities of earning stage | | Ev | aluation | | SI | Learnin tudent / | Learning, g methods, Assignments, nated time] | Learning materials [References | Assessment Weight (%) |
| (Sub | | -0) | Indi | cator | Criteria | & Form | Offline offline | | Online (<i>online</i>) |] | |
| (1) | | (2) | (; | 3) | (| 4) | (5) | | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 1 | and to tool to huma proble basic article propo | lizing science echnology as a o help solve un learning ems.2. Apply the s of creating es, papers and usals in education earning | of seminars developme especially i and learnin Describe so procedures the format | nt of science, in education ig. 2. eminar s. 3. Describe | one aspect explanation 3.2: the des generally | cription is correct, there is ct where the on is incorrect | 1. Lecture Question and answers3 Discussio Individua Assignme 2 X 50 | s 3. on4. I | | | 0% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| human learning especially i problems.2. Apply the and learnin basics of creating Describe s | in the t of science, education 1. 2. minar 3. Describe f papers, 2 2: the description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 2 2: the description | 1. Lecture2. Questions and answers3. Discussion4. | 0% |
|---|---|--|----|
| proposals in education the format and learning articles and | generally correct, there is more than one aspect | Individual Assignments 2 X 50 | |
| human learning 2. Utilize IC problems in education and learning 2. search. 3. Applying the basics of preliminary | IS IN 1 learning. 7 to rature evelop 4. Conduct studies. 5. alysis and from the eliminary repare evelop 4. Conduct from the eliminary repare conduct from the eliminary conduct from the eliminary conduct from the eliminary from the eliminary from the eliminary from the eliminary from the from the eliminary from the from | 1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Assignment and Presentation 5 X 50 | 0% |

| | | | - | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|----|
| 4 | 1. Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help solve human learning problems in education and learning 2. Applying the basics of making papers, articles and proposals in the field of education and learning 3. Making strategic decisions based on data and information (including the results of colleagues' input/ideas/ideas colleagues/references) and provide ideas for choosing various alternative solutions in education and learning | 1. Formulate themes and problems in education and learning. 2. Utilize ICT. to conduct a literature search. 3. Develop preliminary study instruments. 4. Conduct preliminary studies. 5. Carry out analysis and information from the results of preliminary studies. 6. Prepare papers, articles and/or proposals | 1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Assignment and Presentation 5 X 50 | | 0% |
| 5 | 1. Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help solve human learning problems in education and learning 2. Applying the basics of making papers, articles and proposals in the field of education and learning 3. Making strategic decisions based on data and information (including the results of colleagues' input/ideas/ideas colleagues/references) and provide ideas for choosing various alternative solutions in education and learning | 1. Formulate themes and problems in education and learning. 2. Utilize ICT. to conduct a literature search. 3. Develop preliminary study instruments. 4. Conduct preliminary studies. 5. Carry out analysis and information from the results of preliminary studies. 6. Prepare papers, articles and/or proposals | 1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Assignment and Presentation 5 X 50 | | 0% |
| 6 | 1. Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help solve human learning problems in education and learning 2. Applying the basics of making papers, articles and proposals in the field of education and learning 3. Making strategic decisions based on data and information (including the results of colleagues' input/ideas/ideas colleagues/references) and provide ideas for choosing various alternative solutions in education and learning | 1. Formulate themes and problems in education and learning. 2. Utilize ICT. to conduct a literature search. 3. Develop preliminary study instruments. 4. Conduct preliminary studies. 5. Carry out analysis and information from the results of preliminary studies. 6. Prepare papers, articles and/or proposals | 1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Assignment and Presentation 5 X 50 | | 0% |
| 7 | 1. Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help solve human learning problems in education and learning 2. Applying the basics of making papers, articles and proposals in the field of education and learning 3. Making strateging decisions based on data and information (including the results of colleagues' input/ideas/ideas colleagues/references) and provide ideas for choosing various alternative solutions in education and learning | 1. Formulate themes and problems in education and learning. 2. Utilize ICT. to conduct a literature search. 3. Develop preliminary study instruments. 4. Conduct preliminary studies. 5. Carry out analysis and information from the results of preliminary studies. 6. Prepare papers, articles and/or proposals | 1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Assignment and Presentation 5 X 50 | | 0% |

| 1. Utilizing science and technology as a tool to communicate ideas for solving problems in education and learning. 2. Practice holding scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues 1. Compile the present 3. Respond to the presentation. 4. Record suggestions/input for improvements. 5. Revise papers/articles/research proposals based on suggestions/input for issues 1. Compile the present. 3. Respond to the presentation. 4. Record 3. Rubric 3. The presentation was carried out coherently with appropriate intonation and emphasis, assisted by ppt media according to media criteria, the answer from the questioner was correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 3. Discussion 4. A 5. The presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but | 0% |
|--|----|
| tool to communicate ideas for solving problems in education and learning. 2. Practice holding scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues Present. 3. Respond to the presentation. 4. Record Suggestions/input for improvements. 5. Revise papers/articles/research proposals based on suggestions/input from both colleagues and supervisors and examiners. Carried out coherently with appropriate intonation and emphasis, assisted by ppt media according to media correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 6.3 The presentation was carried out coherently | |
| ideas for solving problems in education and learning. 2. Practice holding scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issuesthe presentation. 4. Record suggestions/input for improvements. 5. Revise papers/articles/research proposals based on suggestions/input for intonation and emphasis, assisted by pt media according to media criteria, the answer from the questioner was correct, formulating suggestions for improvement2. Score 3. Rubric 4. 43.2. Store suggestions/input for instruction and learning technology issuesthe presentation. 4. Record suggestions/input for intonation and emphasis, assisted by pt media according to media criteria, the answer from the questioner was correct, formulating suggestions for improvement3.6.3 7. The presentation was carried out coherently3. | |
| The problem at the prob | |
| and learning. 2. Practice holding scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues 4.4 5.The presentation was carried out coherently with appropriate intonation and emphasis, assisted by pt media according to media carried, the answer from the questions for improvement 6.3 7.The presentation was carried out coherently | |
| Scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues | |
| scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues | |
| issues intervention of the second sec | |
| learning technology issues uggestions/input from both colleagues and supervisors and examiners. | |
| issues both colleagues and supervisors and examiners. assisted by ppt media according to media according to media correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 6.3 7.The presentation was carried out coherently | |
| supervisors and examiners. | |
| criteria, the answer from the questioner was correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 6.3 7.The presentation was carried out coherently | |
| the questioner was correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 6.3 7.The presentation was carried out coherently | |
| correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 6.3 7.The presentation was carried out coherently | |
| suggestions for improvement 6.3 7.The presentation was carried out coherently | |
| improvement 6.3 7.The presentation was carried out coherently | |
| 6.3 7.The presentation was carried out coherently | |
| 7.The presentation was carried out coherently | |
| carried out coherently | |
| | |
| With Intonation and but | |
| did not emphasize the | |
| important aspects of the | |
| research, with the help of | |
| | |
| ppt media according to | |
| media criteria, the | |
| answers from the | |
| questioner were generally correct, formulating | |
| | |
| suggestions for | |
| improvement 8.2 | |
| 9.The presentation was | |
| carried out, was not | |
| coherent and/or did not | |
| emphasize important | |
| aspects of the research, | |
| was assisted by ppt | |
| media but did not meet | |
| the media criteria, the | |
| answers from the | |
| questioner were generally | |
| incorrect, formulated | |
| suggestions for | |
| improvement | |
| 10.1 | |
| 1. The presentation was | |
| carried out, but was not | |
| coherent and/or did not | |
| emphasize important | |
| aspects of the research, | |
| was not assisted by ppt | |
| media, the answer from | |
| the questioner was | |
| incorrect, unable to | |
| formulate suggestions for | |
| improvement | |
| | |

| a. Utilizing science and the scheme presentation if the scheme presentation if the scheme presentation. 4. Record personalities. 5. Record and learning 2. Record personalities. 5. Record personalities. 7. Record | | | | | | | r | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|---|---|----|
| tool to communicate problems in equation for the present all responses of the present all responses of the second science of the improvement 5. 5. Preview and second science of the present all responses of the present all responses of the suppervisors and examiners. Second science of the supervisors and examiners. Second science of the research, with the help of ppt media according to media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally suggestions for improvement 8:2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and ord of not emphasize important 8:2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and ord of not emphasize important 8:2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, were generally incorrect, formulating suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by pti media, the answer from the questioner was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by pti media, the answer from the questioner was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not coherent and/or | 9 | 1. Utilizing science and technology as a | 1. Compile the presentation file 2 | | | | | 0% |
| ideas for solving and learning 2 scientific forums in the presentation. 4. Record and learning technology issues 3. Robic Suggestions/iput for Revise proposals based on suggestions/iput for suggestions/iput for suggestions and suggestions and suggestions and suggestions and suggestions and suggestions and suggestions and suggestions and suggestions and suggestions for improvement 3. Robic 3. Robic 4.4 3. Robic Suggestions and suggestions/iput for suggestions and suggestions and suggestions for improvement 7 The presentation was carried out coherently suggestions for improvement 3. Robic 4. 4. 5. The presentation was carried out coherently with approving suggestions for improvement 3. Robic 4. 4. 5. The presentation was carried out coherently suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherently with intoration and put guestions rever generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphases from the questions rever generally incorrect, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphases of the research, was assisted by ppt 1. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphases | | tool to communicate | Present. 3. Respond to | | | | | |
| Provide tensing 2: 2007 Bigging construct of the segarity of the | | | | | | | | |
| Practice holding selentific forums in the form of seminate on learning technology issues 5. The presentation was carried out coherently with appropriate intonation and emphasis; assisted by ppt media according to media according to media citera, the answers from the questione were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 4. Solution according to media citera, the answers from the questione were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out did not meet the media citeria, the answers from the questione were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 | | | | | | | | |
| scientific forums in the papers/articles/research papers/articles/research dur coherently with appropriate mytoposals based on the questioner was uppervisors and examiners. Assignment and emphasis, assisted by port media, assisted by port media, associately and the questioner was correct, formulating suggestions for mgmprovement 6.3 7. The presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not meet the makes form the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for mgmprovement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out coherently correct, formulating suggestions for media cutor approximate the material, the answer from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for media cutoration was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not media tutient, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for mgmprovement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out did not meet the media cutorial, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for mgmprovement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out did not meet the media cutorial to did not meet the media cutorial to did not meet the media cutorial point as a species of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media cutorial point asserts from the questioner were generally noncorrect, formulated suggestions for imgrovement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner were generally noncorrect, formulated suggestions for imgrovement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was assisted by ppt media. | | Practice holding | improvements. 5. | | | | | |
| Individual and off Improved a based of and off detaming technology suggestions for and emphasis, suggestions of and examiners. with appropriate inclusion and emphasis, assisted by pot media caccording to taccording to media caccording t | | | | | | | | |
| learning technology issues suggestions/input from both colleagues and supervisors and examiners. | | | | | | | | |
| issues Dott colleagues and supervisors and examiners. according to media according to media criteria, the answer from the questioner was correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 6.3 7. The presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize the important aspects of the research, with the help of ppt media according to media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by pt west did id not media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by pt weat assisted by pt media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulating suggestions for improvement 10.1 | | | suggestions/input from | intonation and emphasis, | | | | |
| examiners. according the answer from the questioner was correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 6.3 7. The presentation was carried out coherently with intronation and but did not emphasize the important aspects of the research, with the help of ppt media according to media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media, the answer from 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, dura not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not coherent and/o | | issues | both colleagues and | assisted by ppt media | 9 × 50 | | | |
| criteria, the answer from the questioner was correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 6.3 7. The presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize the important taspects of the research, with the help of ppt media according to media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media criteria, the answers from the questioner was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not coherent and/or did not | | | | | | | | |
| correct, formulating suggessions for improvement 6.3 7. The presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize the important aspects of the research, with the help of ppt media according to media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggessions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggessions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media chieria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggessions for improvement 10.1 | | | examiners. | · · | | | | |
| suggestions for improvement 6.3 7. The presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize the important aspects of the research, with the help of ppt media according to media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulaing suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulaed suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was a carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt | | | | | | | | |
| improvement 6.3 7. The presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize the important aspects of the research, with the help of ppt media according to media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| 6.3 ['] 7 The presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize the important aspects of the research, with the help of ppt media according to media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media by ppt media by tid in ot meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media by tid not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answere from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| 7. The presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize the important aspects of the research, with the help of ppt media according to media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not cohernt and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | |
| carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize the important aspects of the research, with the help of ppt media according to media criteria, the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt meedia but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media, the enswer from questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestion for improvement 1 | | | | | | | | |
| with intonation and but did not emphasize the important aspects of the research, with the help of ppt media according to media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media, but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 | | | | | | | | |
| did not emphasize the important aspects of the research, with the help of ppt media according to media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9.The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11.The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| important aspects of the research, with the help of ppt media according to media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9.The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not coherent and/or did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the answers from the aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the answers from the aspects of the research, the answers from the aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media, but was not coherent and/or did not coherent and/or did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answers from the aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the aspects of the research, was not | | | | | | | | |
| ppt media according o media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9.The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11.The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the mesentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | important aspects of the | | | | |
| media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by pt media, the answer from the questioner was <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | |
| answers from the questioner were generally correct. formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9.The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria; the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| questioner were generally correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 10.1 11.The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| correct, formulating suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| suggestions for improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from | | | | | | | | |
| improvement 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| 8.2 9. The presentation was carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| carried out, was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was out assisted by ppt | | | | | | | | |
| coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11.The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by pt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| emphasize important aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11.The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| aspects of the research, was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| was assisted by ppt media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11.The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| media but did not meet the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11.The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| the media criteria, the answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11.The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| answers from the questioner were generally incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11.The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| incorrect, formulated suggestions for improvement 10.1 11.The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| suggestions for improvement 10.1 11. The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| improvement 10.1 11.The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | , | | | | |
| 10.1 11.The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| 11.The presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| emphasize important aspects of the research, was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| media, the answer from the questioner was | | | | | | | | |
| the questioner was | | | | 211 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| incorrect, unable to | | | | | | | | |
| formulate suggestions for | | | | | | | | |
| improvement | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ļ | | |

| | [] | | | | | , |
|----|---|---|----------------------------|---------------|--|----|
| 10 | 1. Utilizing science | 1. Compile the | Criteria: | 1. Lecture 2. | | 0% |
| | and technology as a tool to communicate | presentation file. 2. Present. 3. Respond to | 1.Rubric: | Question | | |
| | ideas for solving | the presentation. 4. | 2.Score | and Answer | | |
| | problems in education | Record | 3.Rubric | 3. | | |
| | and learning. 2. | suggestions/input for | 4.4 | Discussion | | |
| | Practice holding | improvements. 5. | 5.The presentation was | 4. | | |
| | scientific forums in the form of seminars on | Revise papers/articles/research | carried out coherently | Assignment | | |
| | educational and | proposals based on | with appropriate | and | | |
| | learning technology | suggestions/input from | intonation and emphasis, | Presentation | | |
| | issues | both colleagues and | assisted by ppt media | 9 X 50 | | |
| | | supervisors and | according to media | | | |
| | | examiners. | criteria, the answer from | | | |
| | | | the questioner was | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 6.3 | | | |
| | | | 7.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out coherently | | | |
| | | | with intonation and but | | | |
| | | | did not emphasize the | | | |
| | | | important aspects of the | | | |
| | | | research, with the help of | | | |
| | | | ppt media according to | | | |
| | | | media criteria, the | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 8.2 | | | |
| | | | 9.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out, was not | | | |
| | | | coherent and/or did not | | | |
| | | | emphasize important | | | |
| | | | aspects of the research, | | | |
| | | | was assisted by ppt | | | |
| | | | media but did not meet | | | |
| | | | the media criteria, the | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally | | | |
| | | | incorrect, formulated | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 10.1 | | | |
| | | | 11.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out, but was not | | | |
| | | | coherent and/or did not | | | |
| | | | emphasize important | | | |
| | | | aspects of the research, | | | |
| | | | was not assisted by ppt | | | |
| | | | media, the answer from | | | |
| | | | the questioner was | | | |
| | | | incorrect, unable to | | | |
| | | | formulate suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | mprotomont | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | 1.0 11.11 | - · · · | | | | |
|----|---|---|--|------------------------|---|---|----|
| 11 | Utilizing science and technology as a | Compile the presentation file. 2. | Criteria: | 1. Lecture 2. | | | 0% |
| | tool to communicate | Present. 3. Respond to | 1.Rubric: 2.Score | Question and Answer | | | |
| | ideas for solving | the presentation. 4. | | 3. | | | |
| | problems in education and learning. 2. | Record suggestions/input for | 3.Rubric 4.4 | Discussion | | | |
| | Practice holding | improvements. 5. | 5.The presentation was | 4. | | | |
| | scientific forums in the | Revise | carried out coherently | Assignment | | | |
| | form of seminars on | papers/articles/research | with appropriate | and | | | |
| | educational and learning technology | proposals based on suggestions/input from | intonation and emphasis, | Presentation | | | |
| | issues | both colleagues and | assisted by ppt media | 9 X 50 | | | |
| | | supervisors and | according to media | | | | |
| | | examiners. | criteria, the answer from | | | | |
| | | | the questioner was | | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating | | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | | |
| | | | 6.3 | | | | |
| | | | 7. The presentation was | | | | |
| | | | carried out coherently with intonation and but | | | | |
| | | | did not emphasize the | | | | |
| | | | important aspects of the | | | | |
| | | | research, with the help of | | | | |
| | | | ppt media according to | | | | |
| | | | media criteria, the | | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally | | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating | | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | | |
| | | | improvement 8.2 | | | | |
| | | | 0.2 9.The presentation was | | | | |
| | | | carried out, was not | | | | |
| | | | coherent and/or did not | | | | |
| | | | emphasize important | | | | |
| | | | aspects of the research, | | | | |
| | | | was assisted by ppt | | | | |
| | | | media but did not meet | | | | |
| | | | the media criteria, the | | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally incorrect, formulated | | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | | |
| | | | 10.1 | | | | |
| | | | 11.The presentation was | | | | |
| | | | carried out, but was not | | | | |
| | | | coherent and/or did not | | | | |
| | | | emphasize important | | | | |
| | | | aspects of the research, | | | | |
| | | | was not assisted by ppt media, the answer from | | | | |
| | | | the questioner was | | | | |
| | | | incorrect, unable to | | | | |
| | | | formulate suggestions for | | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | | |
| | | | · · | 1 | 1 | 1 | |

| | | | | | , | 1 |
|----|---|---|----------------------------|---------------|---|----|
| 12 | Utilizing science and technology as a | Compile the presentation file. 2. | Criteria: | 1. Lecture 2. | | 0% |
| | tool to communicate | Present. 3. Respond to | 1.Rubric: | Question | | |
| | ideas for solving | the presentation. 4. | 2.Score | and Answer | | |
| | problems in education | Record | 3.Rubric | 3. | | |
| | and learning. 2. | suggestions/input for | 4.4 | Discussion | | |
| | Practice holding | improvements. 5. | 5.The presentation was | 4. | | |
| | scientific forums in the form of seminars on | Revise | carried out coherently | Assignment | | |
| | educational and | papers/articles/research proposals based on | with appropriate | and | | |
| | learning technology | suggestions/input from | intonation and emphasis, | Presentation | | |
| | issues | both colleagues and | assisted by ppt media | 9 X 50 | | |
| | | supervisors and | according to media | | | |
| | | examiners. | criteria, the answer from | | | |
| | | | the guestioner was | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 6.3 | | | |
| | | | 7.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out coherently | | | |
| | | | with intonation and but | | | |
| | | | did not emphasize the | | | |
| | | | important aspects of the | | | |
| | | | research, with the help of | | | |
| | | | ppt media according to | | | |
| | | | media criteria, the | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 8.2 | | | |
| | | | 9.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out, was not | | | |
| | | | coherent and/or did not | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | emphasize important | | | |
| | | | aspects of the research, | | | |
| | | | was assisted by ppt | | | |
| | | | media but did not meet | | | |
| | | | the media criteria, the | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally | | | |
| | | | incorrect, formulated | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 10.1 | | | |
| | | | 11.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out, but was not | | | |
| | | | coherent and/or did not | | | |
| | | | emphasize important | | | |
| | | | aspects of the research, | | | |
| | | | was not assisted by ppt | | | |
| | | | media, the answer from | | | |
| | | | the questioner was | | | |
| | | | incorrect, unable to | | | |
| | | | formulate suggestions for | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| 13 | | | | | | |
|----|---|---|--|------------------------|--|----|
| | Utilizing science and technology as a | Compile the presentation file. 2. | Criteria: | 1. Lecture 2. | | 0% |
| | tool to communicate | Present. 3. Respond to | 1.Rubric: | Question and Answer | | |
| | ideas for solving | the presentation. 4. | 2.Score | 3. | | |
| | problems in education and learning. 2. | Record suggestions/input for | 3.Rubric 4.4 | Discussion | | |
| | Practice holding | improvements. 5. | 4.4 5.The presentation was | 4. | | |
| | scientific forums in the | Revise | | Assignment | | |
| | form of seminars on | papers/articles/research | carried out coherently with appropriate | and | | |
| | educational and learning technology | proposals based on suggestions/input from | intonation and emphasis, | Presentation | | |
| | issues | both colleagues and | assisted by ppt media | 9 X 50 | | |
| | | supervisors and | according to media | | | |
| | | examiners. | criteria, the answer from | | | |
| | | | the questioner was | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 6.3 | | | |
| | | | 7.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out coherently | | | |
| | | | with intonation and but | | | |
| | | | did not emphasize the | | | |
| | | | important aspects of the | | | |
| | | | research, with the help of | | | |
| | | | ppt media according to | | | |
| | | | media criteria, the | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 8.2 | | | |
| | | | 9.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out, was not | | | |
| | | | coherent and/or did not | | | |
| | | | emphasize important | | | |
| | | | aspects of the research, | | | |
| | | | was assisted by ppt | | | |
| | | | media but did not meet | | | |
| | | | the media criteria, the | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally | | | |
| | | | incorrect, formulated | | | |
| | | | suggestions for improvement | | | |
| | | | 10.1 | | | |
| | | | 11.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out, but was not | | | |
| | | | coherent and/or did not | | | |
| | | | emphasize important | | | |
| | | | aspects of the research, | | | |
| | | | was not assisted by ppt | | | |
| | | | media, the answer from | | | |
| | | | the questioner was | | | |
| | | | incorrect, unable to | | | |
| | | | formulate suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | 1.0.1.1 | - · · · | | | |
|----|---|---|--|------------------------|--|----|
| 14 | Utilizing science and technology as a | Compile the presentation file. 2. | Criteria: | 1. Lecture 2. | | 0% |
| | tool to communicate | Present. 3. Respond to | 1.Rubric: | Question and Answer | | |
| | ideas for solving | the presentation. 4. | 2.Score | 3. | | |
| | problems in education and learning. 2. | Record suggestions/input for | 3.Rubric 4.4 | Discussion | | |
| | Practice holding | improvements. 5. | 4.4 5.The presentation was | 4. | | |
| | scientific forums in the | Revise | | Assignment | | |
| | form of seminars on | papers/articles/research | carried out coherently with appropriate | and | | |
| | educational and learning technology | proposals based on suggestions/input from | intonation and emphasis, | Presentation | | |
| | issues | both colleagues and | assisted by ppt media | 9 X 50 | | |
| | | supervisors and | according to media | | | |
| | | examiners. | criteria, the answer from | | | |
| | | | the questioner was | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 6.3 | | | |
| | | | 7.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out coherently | | | |
| | | | with intonation and but | | | |
| | | | did not emphasize the | | | |
| | | | important aspects of the research, with the help of | | | |
| | | | ppt media according to | | | |
| | | | media criteria, the | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 8.2 | | | |
| | | | 9.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out, was not | | | |
| | | | coherent and/or did not | | | |
| | | | emphasize important aspects of the research, | | | |
| | | | was assisted by ppt | | | |
| | | | media but did not meet | | | |
| | | | the media criteria, the | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally | | | |
| | | | incorrect, formulated | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 10.1 11 The presentation was | | | |
| | | | 11. The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not | | | |
| | | | emphasize important | | | |
| | | | aspects of the research, | | | |
| | | | was not assisted by ppt | | | |
| | | | media, the answer from | | | |
| | | | the questioner was | | | |
| | | | incorrect, unable to | | | |
| | | | formulate suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | 1 | |
|----|--|---|---|------------------|---|---|----|
| 15 | 1. Utilizing science | 1. Compile the | Criteria: | 1. Lecture 2. | | | 0% |
| | and technology as a tool to communicate | presentation file. 2. Present. 3. Respond to | 1.Rubric: | Question | | | |
| | ideas for solving | the presentation. 4. | 2.Score | and Answer | | | |
| | problems in education | Record | 3.Rubric | 3. | | | |
| | and learning. 2. | suggestions/input for | 4.4 | Discussion | | | |
| | Practice holding scientific forums in the | improvements. 5. Revise | 5.The presentation was | 4. Assignment | | | |
| | form of seminars on | papers/articles/research | carried out coherently | and | | | |
| | educational and | proposals based on | with appropriate | Presentation | | | |
| | learning technology | suggestions/input from | intonation and emphasis, | 9 X 50 | | | |
| | issues | both colleagues and | assisted by ppt media | 0 / 00 | | | |
| | | supervisors and examiners. | according to media | | | | |
| | | examiners. | criteria, the answer from | | | | |
| | | | the questioner was | | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating | | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | | |
| | | | 6.3 | | | | |
| | | | 7.The presentation was | | | | |
| | | | carried out coherently | | | | |
| | | | with intonation and but | | | | |
| | | | did not emphasize the | | | | |
| | | | important aspects of the | | | | |
| | | | research, with the help of | | | | |
| | | | ppt media according to | | | | |
| | | | media criteria, the | | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally | | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating | | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | | |
| | | | improvement 8.2 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 9.The presentation was | | | | |
| | | | carried out, was not coherent and/or did not | | | | |
| | | | emphasize important | | | | |
| | | | aspects of the research, | | | | |
| | | | was assisted by ppt | | | | |
| | | | media but did not meet | | | | |
| | | | the media criteria, the | | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally | | | | |
| | | | incorrect, formulated | | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | | |
| | | | 10.1 | | | | |
| | | | 11.The presentation was | | | | |
| | | | carried out, but was not | | | | |
| | | | coherent and/or did not | | | | |
| | | | emphasize important | | | | |
| | | | aspects of the research, | | | | |
| | | | was not assisted by ppt | | | | |
| | | | media, the answer from | | | | |
| | | | the questioner was | | | | |
| | | | incorrect, unable to | | | | |
| | | | formulate suggestions for | | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | | |
| | | | l | I | 1 | | |

| 16 | 1. Utilizing science | 1. Compile the | Criteria: | 1. Lecture 2. | | 0% |
|----|---|---|--|-------------------|---|----|
| | and technology as a tool to communicate | presentation file. 2. Present. 3. Respond to | 1.Rubric: | Question | | |
| | ideas for solving | the presentation, 4. | 2.Score | and Answer | | |
| | problems in education | Record | 3.Rubric | 3. | | |
| | and learning. 2. | suggestions/input for | 4.4 | Discussion | | |
| | Practice holding | improvements. 5. | The presentation was | 4. | | |
| | scientific forums in the form of seminars on | Revise papers/articles/research | carried out coherently | Assignment and | | |
| | educational and | proposals based on | with appropriate | Presentation | | |
| | learning technology | suggestions/input from | intonation and emphasis, | 9 X 50 | | |
| | issues | both colleagues and | assisted by ppt media | 5 X 50 | | |
| | | supervisors and examiners. | according to media | | | |
| | | examiners. | criteria, the answer from | | | |
| | | | the questioner was | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 6.3 | | | |
| | | | 7.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out coherently | | | |
| | | | with intonation and but | | | |
| | | | did not emphasize the | | | |
| | | | important aspects of the | | | |
| | | | research, with the help of | | | |
| | | | ppt media according to | | | |
| | | | media criteria, the | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally | | | |
| | | | correct, formulating suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 8.2 | | | |
| | | | 9.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out, was not | | | |
| | | | coherent and/or did not | | | |
| | | | emphasize important | | | |
| | | | aspects of the research, | | | |
| | | | was assisted by ppt | | | |
| | | | media but did not meet | | | |
| | | | the media criteria, the | | | |
| | | | answers from the | | | |
| | | | questioner were generally | | | |
| | | | incorrect, formulated | | | |
| | | | suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | 10.1 | | | |
| | | | 11.The presentation was | | | |
| | | | carried out, but was not | | | |
| | | | coherent and/or did not | | | |
| | | | emphasize important | | | |
| | | | aspects of the research, | | | |
| | | | was not assisted by ppt | | | |
| | | | media, the answer from | | | |
| | | | the questioner was incorrect, unable to | | | |
| | | | formulate suggestions for | | | |
| | | | improvement | | | |
| | | | mprovement | | | |
| L | | | | 1 | 1 | |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study
No Evaluation Percentage
0%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO** (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.