

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

(8)

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Bachelor of Primary School Teacher Education Study Program

Document Code

## **SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN**

Courses			CODE		Course Fa	mily		Cred	lit We	ight	SEMESTER	Compilation Date	
Language Studies			862060302	6				T=3	P=0	ECTS=4.77	1	July 18, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer		Course Cluster Coordinator			ordinator	Study Program Coordinator				
									Putri Rachmadyanti, S.Pd., M.Pd.				
Learning model	ı	Case Studies											
Program Learning		PLO study program that is charged to the course											
Outcom		Program Obje	ctives	s (PO)									
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix	(										
				P.O									
				P.U									
	•												
	ŀ	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)											
								West					
				P.O	_   _   _	1 - 1 -	1_1		eek		.		
				1	2 3 4	5 6	7	8 9	10	0 1	12	13   14   1	15 16
_													
Short Course Descript	tion	Discussion of (1 scientific work (methods used a	) histo 6) pro re lecti	ry, position a posal writing ures, discuss	nd function of g (7) articles a sions, projects	Indonesian and papers and case st	(2) critica (8) editir udies.	al reading ng (9 ) c	j (3) cl itation	naract and	eristics of sci reference list	entific Indones , and (10) pre	an (4) EyD (5) sentation. The
Referen	ces	Main:											
	<ol> <li>Tim. 2015. Menulis Ilmiah: Buku Ajar MPK Bahasa Indonesia. Surabaya: Unesa Press.</li> <li>Ahmadi, Anas. 2015. Psikologi Menulis. Yogyakarta: Ombak.</li> <li>Alwi, Hasan,dkk. 2003a. TBBBI. Jakarta: BP.</li> <li> 2003b. PUPI. Jakarta: BP.</li> <li>Permen 49. 2009. EyD. Jakarta.</li> <li>Sugono, Dendy, dkk. 2003a. Pengindonesiaan Istilah Asing dalam Bahasa Indonesia. Jakarta: PB.</li> <li>Axelrod, R.B. &amp; Cooper, C.R. 2010. Guide to Writing. Benfork: Boston.</li> </ol>		PB.										
		Supporters:											
lecturer SRI HARIANI Prof. Dr. Wahy Dr. Hendratno,		MASENGUT SU SRI HARIANI Prof. Dr. Wahyu Dr. Hendratno, N Maryam Isnaini I	Sukar /I.Hum	۱.									
Week- eac stag		inal abilities of ach learning tage Sub-PO)		Evaluation			Help Lo Learning Student As [ Estima		ing m t Assi	ethod gnme	s, nts,	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
				ndicator	Criteria 8	& Form		ine ( ine )	0	Online ( online ) References	-		

1	T		T	ı		
1	Proud to have and use Indonesian as the national language and official language of the country.	use Indonesian well and correctly in various communication events.	Criteria: 1.1. try to use good and correct Indonesian, 2.2. no interference with other languages 3.3. use official Indonesian in scientific situations	Class 2 X 50 Lectures and Discussions		0%
2	Students have strong character in using Indonesian	Explain the nature of Indonesian as a communication tool for Indonesian speakers	Criteria: 1.1. Understanding the material 2.2'. The suitability of the concept of the nature of language in reports on literature reading activities. 3.3. Oral language skills in report presentations	Approach: Communicative Method: Discussion, Assignment, and Literature Study 3 X 50		0%
3	Students master the basic concepts of phonology	Explain the concept of phonology 2. Differentiate sounds based on articulatory production	Criteria: 1.1. Understanding phonological material 2.2 18. Appropriateness of phonological concepts in reports on literature reading activities. 3.3. Phonological analysis skills in text	Approach: Communicative Method: Group discussion, Assignment, and Literature Study 3 X 50		0%
4	Students are skilled at analyzing the phonology of language	1. Analyzing language errors. 2. Analyzing sounds or letters based on articulatory production	Criteria: 1.1. Understanding phonological errors 2.2. Appropriateness of phonological concepts in reports on literature reading activities. 3.3. Phonological analysis skills in text	Approach: Communicative Method: Discussion, Assignment, and Export 3 X 50		0%
5	Students master the basic concepts of morphology	Explain the concept of morphology 2. Distinguish between formations based on basic words, affixes and particles	Criteria: 1.1. Understanding morphological material 2.2. Appropriateness of morphological concepts in reports on literature reading activities. 3.3. Morphological analysis skills in text	Approach: Communicative Method: Discussion, Assignment, and Literature Study 3 X 50		0%
6	Students are skilled at analyzing morphological language errors	1. Analyzing morphological errors in the language 2. Analyzing errors in the morphological process of the Indonesian language	Criteria: 1.1. Understanding morphological error material 2.2. Appropriateness of morphological errors in reports on literature reading activities. 3.3. Ability to analyze morphological errors in text	Approach: Communicative Method: Discussion, Assignment, and Literature Study 3 X 50		0%

7	Students master the basic concepts of syntax	1. Explain the concept of syntax 2. Explain the types of sentences in Indonesian SIM3. Provide examples of sentences based on the types	Criteria: 1.1. Understanding the material 2.2 18. Appropriateness of the concept of the nature of language in reports on literature reading activities. 3.3. Oral language skills in report presentations	Approach: Communicative Method: Exploratory, Discussion, Assignment, and Literature Study 3 X 50		0%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: UTS	UTS 3 X 50		0%
9	Students can analyze syntax errors	1. Analyze syntactic errors 2. Solve sentence formation problems	Criteria: 1.1. Understanding the material 2.2. Results of syntactic error analysis.	Approach: Communicative Method: Presentation, exploration of empirical data, discussion of 3 X 50 syntactic error analysis		0%
10	Students master basic semantic concepts	1. Explain the concept of semantics 2. Explain the types of word meanings in the Indonesian language system 3. Give examples of the meaning of words based on their types	Criteria: 1.1. Understanding the material 2.2. Appropriateness of the concept of the nature of language in reports on literature reading activities. 3.3. Oral language skills in report presentations	Approach: Communicative Method: Discussion, Assignment, and Literature Study 3 X 50		0%
11	Students can analyze semantic errors	1. Analyzing semantic errors 2. Resolving semantic problems	Criteria: 1.1. Understanding semantic error material 2.2. Appropriateness of semantic errors in reports on literature reading activities. 3.3. Ability to analyze semantic errors in text	Approach: Communicative Method: Discussion, Assignment, and Literature Study 3 X 50		0%
12	Students master the basic concepts of pragmatics	Explain speech act patterns in Indonesian. 2. Give examples of the speech production process	Criteria: 1.1. Understanding the material 2.2 18. Appropriateness of the nature of pragmatics in reports on literature reading activities. 3.3. Oral language skills in report presentations	Approach: Communicative Method: Discussion, Assignment, and Literature Study 3 X 50		0%
13	Students can analyze pragmatic errors in the use of Indonesian	1. Analyzing pragmatic errors 2. Resolving speech production problems	Criteria: 1.1. Understanding pragmatic error material 2.2. Appropriateness of pragmatic errors in reports on literature reading activities. 3.3. Ability to analyze pragmatic errors in text	Approach: Communicative Method: Discussion, Assignment, and Literature Study 3 X 50		0%

14	Students master the concepts, types and analysis of discourse elements	1. Explain the concept of discourse 2. Give an example of the process of composing discourse 3. Analyze the elements of discourse 4. Create discourse	Criteria: 1.1. Understanding the material 2.2 18. Appropriateness of the concept and nature of language in reports on literature reading activities. 3.3. Oral language skills in report presentations	Approach: Communicative Method: Presentation, literature review of discourse concepts, exploration of empirical data, and analysis of discourse elements 3 X 50		0%
15	Students master the ability to use Indonesian well and correctly according to the context	Applying the use of linguistic rules in writing scientific papers     Applying the use of linguistic rules in presenting scientific papers	Criteria:  1. Understanding the nature of scientific work  2 18. Appropriateness of the concept of scientific work in reports on literature reading activities.  3. Ability to write scientific work based on ideas  4. Ability to present scientific work	Approach: Communicative Method: Discussion, Assignment, and Literature Study 3 X 50		0%
16						0%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

## **Notes**

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
  are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
  knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
  predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and
  unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. **Learning materials** are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- ${\bf 12.}\ \ {\sf TM}\text{=}{\sf Face}\ to\ {\sf face},\ {\sf PT}\text{=}{\sf Structured}\ assignments,\ {\sf BM}\text{=}{\sf Independent}\ study.$