

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Bachelor of Primary School Teacher Education Study Program

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CODE	Course Family		Credit Weight			SEMESTER	Compilation Date		
Pend. Language & English Literature Advanced Class Elementary School		8620603186			T=3	P=0	ECTS=4.77	7	July 18, 2024		
AUTHORIZATION		SP Developer		Course Cluster Coordinator			r	Study Program Coordinator			
								Putri Rachmadyanti, S.Pd., M.Pd.			
Learning model	Case Studies	<b>I</b> I									
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course										
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	Program Objectives (PO)										
	PLO-PO Matrix										
	P.O										
	PO Matrix at	t the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)									
	P.O Week										
		1 2 3 4	1 5 6	6 7	8	9	10 11 1	2 13 14	15 16		
Short Course Description	This course contains a discussion of various Indonesian language learning concepts in advanced elementary school classes. The scope of the discussion includes curriculum analysis, developing indicators and learning objectives, teaching materials, media, techniques and learning models, planning, implementation and assessment of the implementation of Indonesian language education programs in advanced elementary school classes.										
References	Main :										
	<ol> <li>Utama Aminuddin. 1997. Isi dan Strategi Pengajaran Bahasa dan Sastra Indonesi a Malang: IKIP Malang Arsyad.2010. Media Pembelajaran. Jakarta: PT Raja Grafindo Persada Karya Aksara</li> <li>Kemendikbud. 2013. Buku Guru Kelas IV,V,VI SD. Jakarta:Kemendikbud</li> <li>Nurgianyantoro, Burhan. 2009. Penilaian dalam Pengajaran Bahasa dan Sastra . Yogyakarta; BPFE</li> <li>Rahim, Farida. 2009. Pengajaran Membaca di SD. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara</li> <li>Zuchdi, D dan Budiasih. 1996/1997. Pendidikan Bahasa Indonesia di Kelas Tinggi. Jakarta: Proyek Pengembangan PGSD Dirjen Dikti Depdikbud</li> <li>Pendukung Burns.PC, Betty.DR &amp; Elineor.PR.(1996). Teaching Reading in today S. Elementary Schools Boston. Hougthon Miffin Company</li> <li>Pappas, C.C. Keifir, B.Z. &amp; Heurestik,L.S. 1995. An Integrated Language Perspecttive in The Elementary School Theory Into Action. New York; Longman Publisher</li> </ol>										
	Supporters:										
Supporting lecturer	ENDANG DARMAWATI Dra. Asri Susetyo Rukmi, M.Pd.										

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	E	valuation	Le Stud	Help Learning, arning methods, lent Assignments, Estimated time]	Learning materials [ References ]	Assessment Weight (%)
		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( <i>online</i> )		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1				9 X 50			0%
2				9 X 50			0%
3				9 X 50			0%
4				9 X 50			0%
5				9 X 50			0%
6				9 X 50			0%
7				9 X 50			0%
8				9 X 50			0%
9				9 X 50			0%
10				9 X 50			0%
11				9 X 50			0%
12				9 X 50			0%
13				9 X 50			0%
14				6 X 50			0%
15				6 X 50			0%
16							0%

 Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

 No
 Evaluation

 Percentage

 0%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.

- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.