Document Code



## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social and Legal Sciences, Pancasila and Citizenship Education Undergraduate Study Program

Courses		CODE				Cou	Course Family			Credit Weight				SEME	STER	Co	mpilatio te	
National Development Policy 872050			720502071				Compulsory Study Program Subjects				T=2 P=0 ECTS=3.18		3.18	5	Ju	July 17, 2024		
AUTHORIZATION SP Dev			oper			10	gram	<del>oubje</del>		Course Cluster Coordinator				tor	Study Program Coordinator			
		Maya Mus	stika Ka	artika	Sari				ı	Maya I	Mustik	a Kartil	ka Sari		Maya		ka Kai	tika Sari, P.
Learning model	Case Studies																	
Program	PLO study pro	ogram which is ch	nargeo	d to t	he co	urse												
Learning Outcomes	PLO-5	PLO-5 Having social sensitivity and concern for society and the environment, as well as tolerance, respecting the diversity of																
(PLO)	PLO-10																	
	DI O-11	phenomena.  Able to market the basic and theoretical concents of siting politics, law posicil hymenities, state and nation as																
		Able to master the basic and theoretical concepts of citizenship, politics, law, social, humanities, state and nation as well as Pancasila values, morals and culture.																
	Program Objectives (PO)																	
	PO - 1	-1 Have sensitivity and concern for friends and the learning environment, respect and be tolerant of existing diversity.																
	PO - 2	Able to master an								•								
	PO - 3	Able to carry out	explana	atory	and pi	redicti	ve an	alysis	and	synth	esis o	sympt	oms in	devel	lopmen	t policy	′	
	PO - 4 Able to implement development policy studies logically, systematically, critically and innovatively by utilizing technology in accordance with human values																	
	PLO-PO Matrix																	
		P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4		PL	O-5		PL	O-10			PLO-1	1						
	PO Matrix at t	he end of each lea	arning	j staç	je (Sı	ıb-P0	D)											
		B.O.									Wee	.le						
		P.O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	yvee 9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		PO-1	1		3	4	Э	σ		8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	10
		PO-2	+							1								
		PO-3																
		PO-4																
Short Course Description	development th	c concepts and policeory, planning forms opment policies in the	; The	mode	l relat	tes to	ationa the a	al deve pproa	elop iche:	ment   s, met	progra hods a	ms froi and ted	m char hnique	acteris s use	stics an d, as w	d prind rell as	ciples; specifi	Underlyir cally thos
	Main :																	

- 1. Parson, Wayne . 2011. Pengantar Teori dan Praktik Analisis Kebijakan . Jakarta: Kencana.
- 2. Hill, Michael. 2005. The Public Policy Process . England. Pearson Longman.
- 3. Bryson, John M. 1991. Strategic Planning For Public and Non Provit Organizations: A Guide Line to Strengthening and Sustaining Organizational Achievemen t. San Fransisco: Jossey-Bass limited
- 4. Burhan. 1984. Perencanaan Strategik . Jakarta: PPm
- 5. Budiman, Arief . 1995. Model Pembangunan . Jakarta. LP3ES
- 6. Kuncoro, Mudrajat . 2000. Ekonomi Pembangunan; Teori, Masalah, dan Kebijakan . UPP AMP YPKN.
- 7. Tjokrowinoto, Mubyarto. 1995. Strategi Pembangunan . Yogyakarta: UGM. Press
- 8. Usman, Sunyoto . 2006. Pembangunan dan Pemberdayaan Masyarakat . Pustaka Pelajar.
- 9. Dunn William N . 2003. Pengantar Analisis Kebijakan Publik , Jogjakarta. UGM University Press.
- 10. Nugroho D Riant . 2003. Kebijakan Publik: Fomulasi. Implementasi, dan Evaluasi. Jakarta: Media Komputindo.

## Supporters:

- 1. Pedoman Pembangunan Masyarakat Desa. Ditjen PMD Depdagri. 2004
- 2. Kuncoro, Mudrajat. 2000. Ekonomi Pembangunan; Teori, Masalah, dan Kebijakan. UPP AMP YPKN

## Supporting lecturer

Agus Satmoko Adi, S.S., M.Si. Maya Mustika Kartika Sari, S.Sos., M.IP. Mi'rojul Huda, S.IP., M.IP.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eva	luation	Lear Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, ent Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator Criteria & Form		Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	[References]		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	Ability to explain the concept of public policy	Can describe the meaning of public policy     Can explain the characteristics of public policy	Criteria: Presenting concept maps and narratives about public policy in writing and orally  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning and hands- on learning 2 X 50	Presenting readings and confirming reading results.	Material: - Understanding public policy Reference: Parson, Wayne . 2011. Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis. Jakarta: Kencana.  Material: Understanding public policy References: Hill, Michael. 2005. The Public Policy Process. England. Pearson Longman.	2%	
2	Ability to explain public policy mechanisms	Can explain the process of making public policy	Criteria: activities in class Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative learning 2 X 50	Tutorial on the 2 x 50 public policy process	Material: Public policy mechanisms Reference: Dunn William N. 2003. Introduction to Public Policy Analysis, Jogjakarta. UGM University Press.	2%	
3	Ability to analyze public policy	1.Can differentiate policy paradigms 2.Can analyze the Indonesian government's public policy paradigm	Criteria:  Can analyze public policy paradigms from three cases presented logically, critically and applicatively in written and presentation form.  Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment	Case Study 2 X 50	Case study 2 x 50	Material: Paradigms in public policy Reference: Nugroho D Riant. 2003. Public Policy: Formulation. Implementation and Evaluation. Jakarta: Media Komputindo.	2%	

4	Ability to analyze public policy	1.Can differentiate policy paradigms 2.Can analyze the Indonesian government's public policy paradigm	Criteria: Can analyze public policy paradigms from three cases presented logically, critically and applicatively in written and presentation form.  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment	Case Study 2 X 50	Case study 2 x 50	Material: Paradigms in public policy Reference: Nugroho D Riant. 2003. Public Policy: Formulation. Implementation and Evaluation. Jakarta: Media Komputindo.	3%
5	Ability to explain the concept of national development	1 Can explain the foundations and goals of national development in the form of written narratives     2 Can present development phases in Indonesia based on data and facts	Criteria:  1.explain the foundations and goals of national development in the form of a written narrative  2.presenting the development phase in Indonesia based on data and facts  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Direct and cooperative instruction 2 X 50	Provides readings and 2 x 50 trigger questions	Material: National development Reference: Budiman, Arief . 1995. Development Models. Jakarta. LP3ES	2%
6	Ability to explain National Development Policy Mechanisms	1 Can identify the functions of development policy. 2 Can explain the forms of national development 3 Can compare the similarities and differences in the development programs of each regime	Criteria: 10  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Discovery Learning 2 X 50	Discovery Learning	Material: National Development Mechanisms Reference: Burhan. 1984. Strategic Planning. Jakarta: PPm  Material: development strategies References: Tjokrowinoto, Mubyarto. 1995. Development Strategy. Yogyakarta: UGM. Press	2%
7	Ability to explain National Development Policy Mechanisms	1 Can identify the functions of development policy. 2 Can explain the forms of national development 3 Can compare the similarities and differences in the development programs of each regime	Criteria: 10  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Discovery Learning 2 X 50	Discovery Learning	Material: National Development Mechanisms Reference: Burhan. 1984. Strategic Planning. Jakarta: PPm	4%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: Complete the Test with 75% mastery  Form of Assessment : Test	Individual Test 2 X 50		Material: uts Bibliography: Parson, Wayne . 2011. Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis. Jakarta: Kencana.	20%
9	Ability to explain the national development of the ruling regime	- Can identify types and models of Political Systems - Can compare the Political Systems that apply in various countries	Criteria: evaluation  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Expository 2 X 50		Material: contemporary policy Reader: Budiman, Arief . 1995. Development Models. Jakarta. LP3ES	25%

10	Ability to explain the national development of the ruling regime	- Can identify types and models of Political Systems - Can compare the Political Systems that apply in various countries	Criteria: discussion Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Expository 2 X 50	Material: development regime Bibliography: Dunn William N . 2003. Introduction to Public Policy Analysis, Jogjakarta. UGM University Press.	3%
11	Ability to analyze the implementation of development policies	- Can identify obstacles and challenges to development policy - Identify public demands and support - Can provide alternative solutions to development problems	Criteria: class activities  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative 2 X 50	Material: policy analysis Bibliography: Dunn William N. 2003. Introduction to Public Policy Analysis, Jogjakarta. UGM University Press.	3%
12	Ability to analyze the implementation of development policies	- Can identify obstacles and challenges to development policy - Identify public demands and support - Can provide alternative solutions to development problems	Criteria: activities in class Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative 2 X 50	Material: development models References: Budiman, Arief . 1995. Development Models. Jakarta. LP3ES  Material: Library development model :	3%
13	Ability to plan community participation in development	- Can identify development sectors - Can explain relationships between sectors - Can identify problems/obstacles to development - Can provide alternatives regarding community participation in development	Criteria: activities in class Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative expository 2 X 50	Material: village community development strategies Reference: Village Community Development Guidelines. Directorate General of PMD, Ministry of Home Affairs. 2004	2%
14	Ability to plan community participation in development	- Can identify development sectors - Can explain relationships between sectors - Can identify problems/obstacles to development - Can provide alternatives regarding community participation in development	Criteria: activities in class Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative expository 2 X 50	Material: public policy formulation Reference: Nugroho D Riant. 2003. Public Policy: Formulation. Implementation and Evaluation. Jakarta: Media Komputindo.	3%
15	Ability to plan community participation in development	- Can identify development sectors - Can explain relationships between sectors - Can identify problems/obstacles to development - Can provide alternatives regarding community participation in development	Criteria: activities in class Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative expository 2 X 50	Material: community empowerment Reference: Usman, Sunyoto . 2006. Community Development and Empowerment. Student Library.	2%

16	Ability to plan community participation in development	- Can identify development sectors - Can explain relationships between sectors - Can identify problems/obstacles to development - Can provide alternatives regarding community participation in development	Criteria: evaluation  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Cooperative expository 2 X 50		Material: uas Reader: Nugroho D Riant . 2003. Public Policy: Formulation. Implementation and Evaluation. Jakarta: Media Komputindo.	21%
----	---	---	---	-------------------------------------	--	---	-----

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	47.67%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	1%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	4.67%
4.	Practical Assessment	2%
5.	Practice / Performance	13.17%
6.	Test	30.5%
		99.01%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study
  program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
  predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
  Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. **Forms of assessment:** test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.