

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social and Legal Sciences, Pancasila and Citizenship Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE					Cou	rse Fa	mily		Cree	dit We	eight		SEM	ESTER	Cor Dat	npilati e	on
International Politics	Relations and G	lobal	87205020)42								T=2	P=0	ECTS=3	.18		4	-	, 17, 20	024
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer			I			Cours	se Clu	ister (Coordinat	or	Study Program Coordinato			tor			
			Maya Mustika Kartika Sari, S.Sos Raden Roro Nanik Setyowati, M.			s., M.IP. ; Dr. Hj. Dr. Hj.			. Hj. Raden Roro Nanik tyowati, M.Si.				Maya Mustika Kartika Sa S.Sos., M.IP.		ri,					
Learning model	Case Studies																			
Program																				
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-11		master the l la values, n					ncept	s of ci	tizensh	nip, polit	ics, la	w, soo	cial, humai	nities	s, state	e and na	tion as	well a	s
(PLO)	Program Obje																			
	PO - 1	-	nsitivity and	d conce	ern fo	r friend	ds an	d the l	earnir	ıg envi	ronmen	t, resp	oect ai	nd be toler	ant c	of exis	ting dive	rsity.		
	PO - 2	Able to n	naster and	use ba	asic c	oncept	s of i	nterna	itional	relatio	ns.									
	PO - 3	Able to c	arry out ex	planate	ory ar	nd pred	dictive	e anal	ysis aı	nd synt	hesis o	f pher	nomen	a in intern	ation	ial poli	itics.			
	PO - 4	Able to i accordar	mplement i nce with hu	nterna man va	itional alues	l relatio	ons s	tudies	logica	ally, sy	stemati	cally,	critical	lly and inn	ovati	ively b	oy utilizin	g tech	nology	' in
	PLO-PO Matrix	ĸ																		
			P.0		PLO-	11														
			PO-1																	
			PO-2																	
			PO-3																	
			PO-4																	
	PO Matrix at th	ne end o	f each lea	rning	stag	e (Sul	b-PO)												
			P.0	1	0	0	4	-	C	7		Veek	10			10	14	45	10	
		DO 1		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11 12	2	13	14	15	16	
		PO-1										_	_							
		PO-2																		
		PO-3																		
		PO-4																		
Short Course Description	Examining the n diplomatic missi international po institutions/orgar	ons, as v olitical s	well as exa system (w	mining	g vari	ious pi	robler	ms an	d pat	erns c	of intern	ationa	al poli	tical intera	ctior	ו with	in the fr	amewo	ork of	the
References	Main :																			

1								
	2. Mochta 3. R. Soeg 4. Mestok 5. Coplin, 6. Setyow 7. Winarm 8. Wuryan Pelajar 9. Sitepu, 10. Steans, Pelajar 11. 1. Jacks Supporters: 1. 1. Walta 2. 2. Elias	sti, M.Tahir Azhari.1997. Polit r Mas&rsquooed.1990. Ilmu H orapto. 1997.Hubungan Interno o, Sumarsono.1988. Indonesia William D, Maarsedes Marbur ati , Rr Nanik, Sari, Maya MK, o, Budi. 2011. Isu – Isu Global dari, Ganewati (ed.) 2011. P : Jakarta. Anthonius. 2011. Studi Hubur Jill & Pettiford, Lloyd. 2009. son, Robert dan Robert Soren er Carlsnaes et al eds. 2012. F , Juanita dan Sutch, Peter, 20 n Chris dan Ainley Kirsten, 20	ubungan Internasional. Ja asional : Sistem, Interaksi, a dan Hubungan Antar Bar n. 1992. Pengantar Politik I Habibah, Siti Maizul 2017 Kontemporer . Center For Iolitik Luar Negeri Indone Ingan Internasional . Yogya Hubungan Internasional : sen, 2005, Pengantar Stuc Hubungan Internasional : sen, 2005, Pengantar Stuc	karta :LP3ES. dan Perilaku. ngsa. Jakarta: Hubungan Int Academic Pu sia di Timur ⁻ karta: Graha II Perspektif da di Hubungan Ir Relations. SAG , The Basic, R	Sinar Harapan. Suatu Telaah Teoritis. B ernasional dan Politik Gk blishing Service . Yogyal Fengah Arus Perubahan mu. In Tema . Terj . Deasy S Internasional, Pustaka pel GE Publications. pp. 1–28 outladge, London.	andung: Sinar Ba bbal, Surabaya;U karta Politik Internasid Silvya Sari. Yogya ajar, Yogyakarta	nipress, onal . Pustaka	
Support lecturer		oro Nanik Setyowati, M.Si. artika Sari, S.Sos., M.IP.						
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evalua	tion	Lea Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, ent Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline(offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)]		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	Ability to analyze the field of study and basic concepts of international relations science.	 Can describe the history of the emergence of HI Can explain the meaning of HI Can explain the meaning of IR - Can explain the three principles of IR 	Criteria: Describe the meaning and scope of international relations Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative learning 2 X 50	Study literature and verify reading results. 2 x 50	Material: History and scope of IR Libraries: Setyowati, Rr Nanik, Sari, Maya MK, Habibah, Siti Maizul 2017 International Relations and Global Politics, Surabaya; Unipress,	5%	
2	Ability to explain actors or actors in International Relations	 1 Can name and describe the main actors in IR 2 Can analyze the role of IR actors 	Criteria: Mapping IR actors based on selected IR cases and presenting them orally and in writing. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50 case study	Case study	Material: International Relations Actors Bibliography: Jackson, Robert and Robert Sorensen, 2005, Introduction to International Relations Studies, Student library, Yogyakarta	5%	
3	Ability to identify cooperation, competition and conflict	 Can identify factors that encourage cooperation, competition and conflict. Can analyze the Indonesian government's public policy paradigm 	Criteria: Presents a comparison of relationship patterns in World War I and II in writing and orally Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Case Study 2 X 50	Case Study 2 x 50	Material: International Interaction Reader: R. Soeprapto. 1997. International Relations: Systems, Interactions and Behavior. Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada.	5%	
4	Ability to explain arrangements in International Relations	 Can explain the arrangements and conditions in interactions between countries Can explain the role and function of diplomatic missions in international interactions. 	Criteria: Presents a table about the roles and functions of diplomatic missions in written form Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Cooperative Learning 2 X 50	Cooperative Learning 2 x 50	Material: Diplomacy and International Law in realizing international order References: Setyowati, Rr Nanik. 2007 International Relations, Surabaya; Unipress,	10%	

5	Ability to explain the existence of international organizations	 Can identify regional and international organizations. Can describe the position, role and function of international organizations 	Criteria: Presents a map of the position, role and function of international organizations in written form Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Discovery Learning 2 X 50	Discovery Learning 2 x50	Material: International administrative organizations, References: Setyowati, Rr Nanik. 2007 International Relations, Surabaya; Unipress,	5%
6	Ability to explain the processes and mechanisms found in international interactions	- Can assess and criticize global economic interdependence	Criteria: Presents a critical analysis of a global economic phenomenon Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Case Study 2 X 50	Case Study 2 x 50	Material: International Political Economy Bibliography: Winarno, Budi. 2011. Contemporary Global Issues. Center For Academic Publishing Services. Yogyakarta	5%
7	Ability to analyze various problems developing in the international system	 Can identify problems that arise in international political interactions Can analyze the direction of changes in world power patterns (explanatory/predictive analysis). 	Criteria: Presents an analysis map of changes in world power patterns Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50 case study	Case study 2 x 50	Material: - Dynamics in the international system Reader: Winarno, Budi. 2011. Contemporary Global Issues. Center For Academic Publishing Services. Yogyakarta	5%
8	UTS	Mastery of concepts and analysis	Criteria: Completed the test with 75% achievement Form of Assessment : Test	Written Test 2 X 50	Writing test	Material: Meeting Material 1-7 Reader: Setyowati, Rr Nanik. 2007 International Relations, Surabaya; Unipress, Material: Meeting material 1-7 References: 1. Jackson, Robert and Robert Sorensen, 2005, Introduction to International Relations Studies, Studies, Studient Iibrary, Yogyakarta	10%
9	Ability to explain and interaction patterns in the International System	- Can present analysis results regarding patterns of dependency, interdependence and independence relationships	Criteria: Sharpness of analysis Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	case study 2 X 50		Material: International Interaction Patterns References: 1. Walter Carlsnaes et al eds. 2012. Handbook of International Relations. SAGE Publications. pp. 1–28.	10%
10	Ability to analyze Indonesian foreign policy and politics in international interactions.	- Can assess and criticize RI's foreign policy - Can show the strengths and weaknesses of RI's foreign policy - Can analyze a case or problem related to RI's foreign relations	Criteria: Theoretical argumentation Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50		Material: Foreign Policy Reader: Sitepu, Anthonius. 2011. International Relations Studies. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu.	5%

11	Ability to analyze and interact patterns in the International System	- Can identify various changes and trends that occur in the international system - Can explain and give examples of world power patterns Can explain the direction of change in the international system	Criteria: Presentation of world power patterns Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50	Material: Patterns of world power References: 1. Walter Carlsnaes et al eds. 2012. Handbook of International Relations. SAGE Publications. pp. 1–28.	5%
12	Ability to conduct descriptive analysis of international economics	- Can assess and criticize global economic interdependence - Can explain the international monetary system - Can explain international economic institutions	Criteria: narrative magnitude Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: International Economics Bibliography: 1. Jackson, Robert and Robert Sorensen, 2005, Introduction to International Relations Studies, Student Ibibary, Yogyakarta	5%
13	Ability to conduct descriptive analysis of international economics	- Can assess and criticize global economic interdependence - Can explain the international monetary system - Can explain international economic institutions	Criteria: narrative magnitude Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: International Economics Bibliography: 1. Jackson, Robert and Robert Sorensen, 2005, Introduction to International Relations Studies, Studies, Student library, Yogyakarta	5%
14	Ability to explain state power and world power polarization	- Can explain the elements of state power - Can explain the polarization of world power	Criteria: Number of indicators Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50 case study	Material: National Power References: 1. Walter Carlsnaes et al eds. 2012. Handbook of International Relations. SAGE Publications. pp. 1–28.	5%
15	Ability to analyze the strength of the Republic of Indonesia in global politics	- Can show elements of Indonesia's strengths and weaknesses. Can analyze the opportunities and challenges of the Republic of Indonesia in global politics	Criteria: Conceptual accuracy Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	discussion 2 X 50	Material: Indonesian foreign policy Bibliography: Steans, Jill & Pettiford, Lloyd. 2009. International Relations: Perspectives and Themes. Translated . Deasy Silvya Sari. Yoogyakarta: Student Library	5%
16	Sub CPMK meeting 9-15	According to the weight of the questions	Criteria: concept accuracy Form of Assessment : Test	UAS 2x50	Material: Meeting material 1-15 Reader: Setyowati, Rr Nanik. 2007 International Relations, Surabaya; Unipress,	10%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage	
1.	Participatory Activities	52.5%	
2.	Portfolio Assessment	10%	
3.	Practice / Performance	17.5%	

4.	Test	20%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
 obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.