

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social and Legal Sciences, Pancasila and Citizenship Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

		SE	EME	EST	ER	R LE	ΕΑΙ	RN	IN	١G	P	LAN	1					
Courses	COD	ODE Course Family			Credit Weight				SEME	STER	Con	npilat e	ion					
Innovative Le	arning	8720	8720504086			T=	:4	P=0	EC	TS=6.	36	0		July	18, 2	024		
AUTHORIZATION		SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator						Study Program Coordinator					
											Maya Mustika Kartika Sari, S.Sos., M.IP.							
Learning model	Case Studie	es																
Program Learning	PLO study program which is charged to the course																	
Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																	
(PLO)	PLO-PO Matrix																	
	P.O																	
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																	
		P.O	P.O					Week										
			1	2 3	4	5	6	7 8	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16]
Short Course Description	Study and p managemen learning styl presentation	ıt, diagn es, self-	osis o effica	of learni cy in le	ing d arnir	lifficult ng. Led	ies, b ctures	asic s are	tea car	ching rried	g ski out i	lls, acc using a	quisi Lsys	tion of stem of	conce	pts in	learn	ing,
References	Main :																	

1.

Winkel, W. S. 2004. *Psikologi Pengajaran* . Yogyakarta: Media Abadi. Hendyat Sutopo. 2005. Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran: Teori, permasalahan

dan praktik, Malang: UMM Press. Pp. 163-181 Arnie Fajar. Portofolio dalam Pembelajaran IPS. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.

Anita Lie. 2002. Cooperative Learning: mempraktikkan Cooperative di Ruangruang Kelas. Jakarta: Gramedia Widiasarana Indonesia.

Agus Suprijono. 2012. Cooperative Learning: teori dan Aplikasi PAIKEM.

Yogjakarta: Pustaka Pelajar. Achmad Kosasih. 1985. Strategi Pengajaran Aktif-Nilai-Moral VCT dan Games dalam VCT

Bruce Joice, Marsha Weil, Emily Cahoun. 2009. Model of Teaching (Model Pengajaran). Yogjakarta: Pustaka Pelajar

Nurhadi, dkk. 2003. Pembelajaran Kontekstual dan Penerapannya dalam KBK. Malang: UM Press

Mohammad Nur. 2005. Guru yang Berhasil dan Model Pengajaran Langsung. Surabaya: Lembaga Penjamin Mutu Pendidikan Jawa Timur.

Muslimin Ibrahim, Muhammad Nur. 2000. Pengajaran Berdasarkan Masalah. Surabaya: Unesa Press.

Muslimín Ibrahim, Muhammad Nur. 2005. Pengajaran Berdasarkan Masalah Jilid 2. Surabaya: Unesa Press

Hendyat Sutopo. 2005. Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran: Teori, permasalahan

dan praktik. Malang: UMM Press. Pp. 200-207 Robert E. Slavin. 2009. Psikologi Pengajaran: Teori dan Praktik. Jilid 2. Jakarta: Indeks.

Moh. Uzer Usman, Lilis Setyawati. 1993. Upaya Otimalisasi Belajar Mengajar. Bandung: Remaja Rosda Karya.

Robert E. Slavin. 2009. Psikologi Pengajaran: Teori dan Praktik. Jilid 1. Jakarta: Indeks.

Robert E. Slavin. 2009. Psikologi Pengajaran: Teori dan Praktik. Jilid 2. Jakarta: Indeks

Supporters:

Supporting lecturer

Dr. Harmanto, S.Pd., M.Pd. Listyaningsih, S.Pd., M.Pd.

icotarci		.9,,					
Week-	Final abilities of each	E	valuation	Lo Stu	Help Learning, earning methods, dent Assignments, [Estimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
Week	learning stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	References]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(3) (4)		(6)	(7)	(8)
1							0%
2							0%
3							0%
4							0%
5							0%
6							0%
7							0%
8							0%
9							0%
10							0%
11							0%
12							0%
	1					I	l

13				0%
14				0%
15				0%
16				0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

NI.	L	D	
NO	Evaluation	Percentage	
		0%	

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.