

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social and Legal Sciences, Pancasila and Citizenship Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE	CODE			Co	Course Family		ly	Credit Weight				SEMES	TER	Co Dat	mpilatio e
Communication Basics			872050202	8720502021			Co	Compulsory Study		study	T=2	P=0	ECTS=	3.18		3	July	/ 17, 202
AUTHORIZATION			SP Develo	SP Developer				Course Cluster Coordinator			Study Program Coordinator							
			Dr. Danang dan Maya M M.IP.	Dr. Danang Tandyonomanu, S.Sos., M.Si. dan Maya Mustika Kartika Sari, S.Sos., M.IP.				И.Si.	Maya Mustika Kartika Sari, S.Sos., M.IP.				Maya Mustika Kartika Sari, S.Sos., M.IP.					
Learning model	Case Studies	Judies																
Program	PLO study program which is charged to the course																	
Learning Outcomes	PLO-13	Abl	e to master kno	wled	lge abo	out le	eader	ship,	mana	igeme	ent an	d com	municat	ion				
(PLO)	Program Object	tive	s (PO)															
	PO - 1	Abl	e to be respons	ible i	n using	g act	ive a	nd eff	ective	e com	munio	cation	principle	S				
	PO - 2	2 Able to apply effective and ethical communication in conveying ideas and opinions directly or via social media																
	PO - 3	Abl	e to participate	in uti	lizing o	comn	nunic	ation	techr	ology	/ that	contril	outes to I	the de	evelopme	ent of so	ciety	
	PO - 4	Abl	e to present use	eful w	ork in	inter	cultu	ral co	mmu	nicati	on							
	PO Matrix at th		P.0 PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 d of each lea P.0 PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4			уе (S	4	5	6	7	8	9 9	10 1 10 1	1 1	12 13	14	15	16
Short Course Description References	Examines the baprocesses, comn	asic	concepts of co ation models, s	ommu trateg	unicatio gies, m	on a nethc	s a ods, g	scient Joals	tific d and e	liscipl	ine a ve cor	nd as nmuni	a dyna cation te	mic p chniq	process, jues.	regardi	ng co	mponent
			_															

	Publishi 2. Mulyana 3. Rakhma	ng Company. ., Dedi . 2001. Ilmu Kor t, Jalaluddin . 1994, Ps	nication Mosaic: A NEW nunikasi: Suatu Pengani sikologi Komunikasi, (Edi ntar Ilmu Komunikasi. Ja	ar. Bandu si Revisi).	ng: Remaja Rosdakarya Bandung: Remaja Rosd		a: Wadsworth
	Supporters:						
	1. Wood, J	ulia T . 2004. Interpers	onal Communication: Ev	eryday Er	ncounter. California: Wad	lsworth Publishing C	Company.
Support lecturer		dyonomanu, S.Sos., M. artika Sari, S.Sos., M.IF					
Week-	Final abilities of each learning	Evaluation			Help Learning, earning methods, dent Assignments, Estimated time]	Learning materials	Assessmen Weight (%)
	stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (<i>offline</i>)	Online (<i>online</i>)	[References]	weight (70)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Ability to describe the role and function of communication in life	 Can explain the benefits of communication in life Can explain the implications of communication in social life 	Criteria: Presents a critical analysis of communication in everyday life Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Case Study 2 x 50	Case study 2 x 50	Material: Understanding Communication References: Mulyana, Dedi . 2001. Communication Science: An Introduction. Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
2	Describe the conceptualization of communication	Can describe the differences between communication as a one-way action, as an interaction, and as a transaction	Criteria: Presents the stages of the communication process according to the concept of action Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2x50 minute case studies	case study	Material: Communication process References: Mulyana, Dedi . 2001. Communication Science: An Introduction. Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
3	Can analyze the main principles in communication	Can identify and construct communication principles	Criteria: Present a description of the concept with a clear statement Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2x50 minute case studies	2x50 minute case studies	Material: Principles of communication References: Mulyana, Dedi . 2001. Communication Science: An Introduction. Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
4	Describes perception as the core of the communication process	Can describe the factors driving perception	Criteria: Presents a clear and complete description of the concept Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Case studies 2 x 50 minutes	Case Study 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Perception Literature: Mulyana, Dedi . 2001. Communication Science: An Introduction. Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
5	Presents verbal and non-verbal communication skills	Can practice verbal and non- verbal communication	Criteria: Accuracy in verbal and non-verbal communication Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Case Study 2 x 50 minutes	Case Study 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Verbal and non-verbal communication Reader: Hafied Cangara. 2010. Introduction to Communication Science. Jakarta: Rajawali Press.	10%

6	Presents verbal	Can practice	Criteria:	Case	Case Study	Material: Verbal	5%
	and non-verbal communication skills	verbal and non- verbal communication	Accuracy in verbal and non-verbal communication Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Study 2 x 50 minutes	2 x 50 minutes	and non-verbal communication Reader : Hafied Cangara. 2010. Introduction to Communication Science. Jakarta: Rajawali Press.	570
7	Describe the important function of listening skills in communication	Case analysis	Criteria: Depth of analysis Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Case Study 2 x 50 minutes	Case studies 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Listening Bibliography: Wood, Julia T . 1998. Communication Mosaic: A NEW Introduction to the Field of Communication. California: Wadsworth Publishing Company.	5%
8	Learning outcomes for meetings 1-7	Question grid	Criteria: stupid thing Form of Assessment : Test	Midterm 2 x 50 minutes	Midterm 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Review of meetings 1-7 References: Mulyana, Dedi . 2001. Communication Science: An Introduction. Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	10%
9	Describe various communication models	Describe and present communication models	Criteria: Content and presentation skills Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Case studies 2 x 50 minutes	2 x 50 minute case studies	Material: Communication models Reader: Hafied Cangara. 2010. Introduction to Communication Science. Jakarta: Rajawali Press.	10%
10	Describe various communication models	Describe and present communication models	Criteria: Content and presentation skills Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Case studies 2 x 50 minutes	2 x 50 minute case studies	Material: Communication models Reader: Hafied Cangara. 2010. Introduction to Communication Science. Jakarta: Rajawali Press.	5%
11	Describe various communication models	Describe and present communication models	Criteria: Content and presentation skills Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Case studies 2 x 50 minutes	2 x 50 minute case studies	Material: Communication models Reader: Hafied Cangara. 2010. Introduction to Communication Science. Jakarta: Rajawali Press.	10%
12	Evaluate barriers and evasions in communication	Analyze cases of disruption in communication	Criteria: Appropriateness in the use of concepts and explanations Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 x 50 minute case studies	2 x 50 minute case studies	Material: Communication barriers References: Rakhmat, Jalaluddin . 1994, Communication Psychology, (Revised Edition). Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	0%

13	Evaluate barriers and evasions in communication	Analyze cases of disruption in communication	Criteria: Appropriateness in the use of concepts and explanations Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 x 50 minute case studies	2 x 50 minute case studies	Material: Communication barriers References: Rakhmat, Jalaluddin . 1994, Communication Psychology, (Revised Edition). Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	0%
14	Designing effective communication	Presenting effective communication work	Criteria: Use of effective communication techniques Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Case studies 2 x 50 minutes	2 x 50 minute case studies	Material: Effective communication Reference: Rakhmat, Jalaluddin. 1994, Communication Psychology, (Revised Edition). Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	10%
15	Designing effective communication	Presenting effective communication work	Criteria: concept accuracy Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Case studies 2 x 50 minutes	2 x 50 minute case studies	Material: Effective communication Reference: Rakhmat, Jalaluddin. 1994, Communication Psychology, (Revised Edition). Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
16	Sub CPMK meeting 9-15	Question grid	Criteria: Question weight Form of Assessment : Test	UAS	UAS	Material: Final Review Literature: Mulyana, Dedi . 2001. Communication Science: An Introduction. Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	10%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	50%
2.	Practice / Performance	30%
3.	Test	20%
		100%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed
- 4. and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test. 7.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, 8. Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main
- 10. Learning matching are details of descriptions of study matching which can be presented in the form of several matching points and sub-topics.
 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.