

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business Master of Management Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

| Courses | | | CODE | | | | Cour | se Fa | mily | | Cr | edit W | eight | | SE | MESTI | ER | Co Da | mpilat te | ion |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| Science phyle | osophy | | 611010232 | 1 | | | | oulsor | | | Т= | 2 P=0 | EC | TS=4.48 | : | 1 | | Ма | y 1, 20 | 020 |
| AUTHORIZAT | TION | | SP Develop | ber | | | Progr | am Si | lbje | | se Cl | uster C | coord | inator | Stu | idy Pro | ogram | Coord | dinato | r |
| | | | Prof. Dr. Ha | ariyati | ., Ak., | MSi. | , CA., | СМА | | Dr. Kł | noirul | Anwar | | | Dr | . Andre | e Dwija S.T., | into W M.Si. | itjakso | no, |
| Learning model | Case Studies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | , | | | |
| Program | PLO study pro | gram | which is ch | arge | d to t | he c | ourse | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Outcomes | PLO-9 | Grad | uates are abl | e to a | dapt t | to the | e conte | ext of | the l | ousine | ss pro | oblems | they f | face wel | 1 | | | | | |
| (PLO) | Program Object | tives | (PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 1 | | concepts of ation. | philos | sophic | al thi | inking | , basi | c stu | dies o | f the | philosc | phy o | f scienc | e and | its rela | ationsh | ip to e | educati | onal |
| | PO - 2 | | ery of the str opment, soci | | | | | | | scient | ific n | nethods | s, the | relation | ship | betwee | en scie | nce a | nd cul | tural |
| | PO - 3 | Imple | ement philoso | phica | l think | ing a | ind sc | ientific | thir: | ıking ir | n dea | ling wit | h aca | demic lif | е | | | | | |
| | PLO-PO Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.0 | | PL | 0-9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO Matrix at th | e end | of each lea | rning | g stag | ge (S | Sub-P | 0) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ٦ |
| | | | P.0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Wee 9 | k 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | - |
| | | P | D-1 | - | - | | · · | 0 | - | | | | | | | 10 | | | | - |
| | | | D-2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | D-3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | l | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | J |
| Short Course Description | The Philosophy other knowledge reasoning; by no knowledge. Philo axiology, in the c about the ontolo separating them values related to scientific method | e, vario ot ignor osophy onstella gy of s from ra scienti | bus ways of ring the limita of Science e ation of educ science are f ational reality fic activities a | acqui ations exami ationa ocuse (ratic and th | iring s of so nes th al rese ed on onalism ieir us | scien ience ne co earch elem n), as es bo | tific k e, scie oncept and a nents s well oth int | nowle entific of ph asses of em as the ernall | dge, met iloso sme piric eir p y, ex | abiliti hods, ophy o nt, as al real osition ternall | és a mora f scie well a ity (e in so | and skil and s ence, th as the o empirici cientific | ls by locial ne fiel levelo sm) s activi | applyin limitatio ds of str pment o uch as ities. Th | g phi ns in udy o of scie facts, e axic | losoph an effo f ontol entific k data a ology o | ical an ort to c ogy, ep nowlec and inf f scien | d criti obtain oistem dge. D ormati ce dis | cal log and u ology, iscuss on wit cusses | gical tilize and ions hout s the |
| References | Main : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | The Lian Bernard Yogyak | ig Gie. Delfga arta: T | umantri. Filsa Pengantar Fi aum. Filsafat iara Wacana, i. Segi Tak To | lsafat Abad Yogy | : Ilmu. I ya,198 | Yogy 37. | yakart | a: Lib | erty, | 1996. | | | | • | 993. | | | | | |
| | Supporters: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Support | 2. 1. K. Be Saragih, Pendidik Jakarta: BANDUI 3. Ana Ros | rtens. Filsafat Barat dkk. Filsafat Pendid can dalam Multipersp Gema Insani, 2021 NG, 2018. smiati. Dasar-Dasar F | likan. Kudus: Yayasan bektif. Jakarta: Bumi Ak | (Indonesian Edit Kita Menulis, 202 sara, 2021. 4. Hu at Ilmu. Yogyaka | ion). Jakarta: Gramedia 21. 3. Herlambang, Yusu usaini, Adrian et al. Filsa arta: PUSAT PENELITI, Press, 2017 | ıf Tri. Pedagogik: Tel fat Ilmu Perspektif Ba | aah Kritis Ilmu arat dan Islam. |
|----------|---|---|--|--|---|---|------------------------------------|
| lecturer | | pirul Anwar, S.Ag., M | EI. | | | | |
| Week- | Final abilities of each learning stage | Eva | luation | Learr Studen | lp Learning, ning methods, it Assignments, timated time] | Learning materials [References] | Assessment Weight (%) |
| | (Sub-PO) | Indicator | Criteria & Form | Offline(offline) | Online (<i>online</i>) | [References] | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| | Understand the philosophy of science in thinking logically and analytically | Explain the differences between reasoning and other ways of thinking, and be able to apply rational thinking in academic life | Criteria: Accuracy of describing and explaining the material. Non-test form: Presentation of material and assignments Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance | Learning Method: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other online media Assignments: independent reading, making papers, presentations, uploading video presentations 2 x 50 minutes | | Material: philosophy of science in thinking logically and analytically References: 1. <i>K. Bertens.</i> <i>French</i> <i>Contemporary</i> <i>Western</i> <i>Philosophy</i> (Indonesian <i>Edition).</i> Jakarta: <i>Gramedia</i> <i>Pustaka Utama,</i> 2019. 2. Hisarma, Saragih, et al. Philosophy of <i>Education.</i> <i>Kudus:</i> Our <i>Writing</i> <i>Foundation,</i> 2021. 3. <i>Herlambang,</i> Yusuf Tri. <i>Pedagogy:</i> <i>Critical Study of</i> <i>Educational</i> <i>Science from</i> <i>Multiperspectives.</i> <i>Jakarta: Bumi</i> <i>Aksara,</i> 2021. 4. <i>Husaini, Adrian et</i> <i>al. Philosophy of</i> <i>Science from</i> <i>Western and</i> <i>Islamic</i> <i>Perspectives.</i> <i>Jakarta: Gema</i> <i>Insani,</i> 2021. 5. <i>Rusdiana.</i> <i>Science</i> <i>phylosophy.</i> <i>Yogyakarta: UIN</i> <i>SGD BANDUNG</i> <i>RESEARCH AND</i> <i>PUBLISHING</i> <i>CENTER,</i> 2018. | 10% |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|----|
| 2 | Understand the philosophy of science in thinking logically and analytically | Describe the concepts of ontology, epistemology and axiology in the philosophy of science | Criteria: Accuracy of describing and explaining the material. Non-test form: Presentation of material and assignments Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Learning Method: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other online media Assignments: independent reading, making papers, presentations, uploading video presentations 2 x 50 minutes | Material: philosophy of science in thinking logically and analytically References: 1. K. Bertens. French Contemporary Western Philosophy (Indonesian Edition). Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama, 2019. 2. Hisarma, Saragih, et al. Philosophy of Education. Kudus: Our Writing Foundation, 2021. 3. Herlambang, Yusuf Tri. Pedagogy: Critical Study of Educational Science from Multiperspectives. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara, 2021. 4. Husaini, Adrian et al. Philosophy of Science from Western and Islamic Perspectives. Jakarta: Gema Insani, 2021. 5. Rusdiana. Science phylosophy. Yogyakarta: UIN SGD BANDUNG RESEARCH AND PUBLISHING CENTER, 2018. Material: concepts of ontology, epistemology and axiology in the philosophy of science References: Articles in reputable international journals | 5% |

| philosophy of science towards the management paradigmPhilosophy of Science to the Management Evaluation ParadigmAccuracy of describing and explaining the material. Non-test form: Presentation of material and assignmentsMethod: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other onlinephilosophy of science in thinking logically and analyticallyForms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / PerformanceMethod: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other onlineMethod: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other onlinePhilosophy of science in thinking logically and analytically Media: powerpoint, video and other onlineForms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / PerformanceMethod: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other onlineContemporary Philosophy (Indonesian Baing Edition). Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama, uploading video presentations, uploading videoPustaka Utama, 2019. 2. Hisarma, Saragih, et al. Philosophy of Saragih, et al. | philosophy of Science to Margagement paradigm Philosophy of Science in Margagement Paradigm Accuracy of Accuracy of Margagement Paradigm Method: description of material asignments Method: Method: Thinking logically philosophy of Science in Margagement Paradigm Forms of Assessment: Paraciaprov Activites, Portolio Assessment, Practice / Performance Forms of Assessment; Paraciaprov Activites, Portolio Assessment, Practice / Performance Method: Method: Contemporary References: 1. Kertens. Violation (Indonesian (Indonesian (Indonesian Paracise) Forms of Assessment; Paracise, Portolio Assessment, Paracise / Performance Assignments; Violating Papers, Performance Western Paracise, Puztaka Utama, Uploading 2019.2. Hisarma, Violating Papers, Performance Violation Science in Mitters Formation Paracise, Puztaka Utama, Uploading 2019.2. Hisarma, Violating Papers, Performance Paradigm Violation Science in Mitters Formation Papers, Performance Paradigm Edication. Violation Science in Mitters Formation Papers, Policacion Science in Mitters Paradigm Violation Science in Mitters Formation Papers, Policacion Science in Mitters Paradigm Violation Science in Mitters Formation Papers, Policacion Science in Mitters Pailosophy Papers, Policacion Science in Mitters Violation Science in Philosophy of Science in Papers, Mitters Formation Papers, Papers, Papers, Papers, Papers, Papers, Papers, Papers, P | philosophy of science in units of the section and the section |
|--|---|---|
| Writing Foundation, 2021.3. Herlambang, Yusuf Tri. Pedagogy: Critical Study of Educational Science from Multiperspectives. Jakarta: Burni Aksara, 2021.4. Husaini, Adrian et al. Philosophy of Science from Western and Islamic Perspectives. Jakarta: Gema Insani, 2021.5. Rusdiana. Science phylosophy. Yogyakarta: UIN Yogyakarta: UIN Science phylosophy. Yogyakarta: UIN Science phylosophy. Yogyakarta: UIN Science phylosophy. Yogyakarta: UIN Science phylosophy. Yogyakarta: UIN CENTER, 2018. Material: concepts of ontology, epistemology and | Science References: Articles in reputable international journals Material: 1. Contribution of the philosophy of science to basic | |

| Implement voltage proportionally Applying various proportionally Applyin |
|--|
| proportional implementation of various sources of knowledge. |

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | . | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|-----|
| 5 | Internalize the concepts of truth criteria of coherence, correspondence and pragmatism in compiling a thesis | Applying the concept and criteria of truth correspondence, the concept and criteria of pragmatic truth, and the implications of the three concepts of truth criteria in the preparation of scientific work | Criteria: Accuracy of describing and explaining the material. Non-test form: Presentation of material and assignments Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance | Learning Method: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other online media Assignments: independent reading, making papers, presentations 2 x 50 minutes | Material: philosophy of science in thinking logically and analytically References: 1. K. Bertens. French Contemporary Western Philosophy (Indonesian Edition). Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama, 2019. 2. Hisarma, Saragih, et al. Philosophy of Education. Kudus: Our Writing Foundation, 2021. 3. Herlambang, Yusuf Tri. Pedagogy: Critical Study of Educational Science from Multiperspectives. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara, 2021. 4. Husaini, Adrian et al. Philosophy of Science from Western and Islamic Perspectives. Jakarta: Gema Insani, 2021. 5. Rusdiana. Science phylosophy. Yogyakarta: UIN SGD BANDUNG RESEARCH AND PUBLISHING CENTER, 2018. Material: concepts of ontology, epistemology and axiology in the philosophy of science References: Articles in reputable international journals Material: 1. Contribution of the philosophy of science to basic education in accordance with the principles of the philosophy of science X Popular Introduction. Jakarta: Sin accordance with the principles of the philosophy of science X Popular Introduction. Jakarta: Sin Atterial: 1. Contribution of the philosophy of science X Popular Introduction. Jakarta: Sin Atterial: 1. Contribution of the philosophy of science X Popular Introduction. Jakarta: Sin Atterial: pin Suriasumantri. Philosophy of Science: A Popular Introduction. Jakarta: Sin Atterial: pin Suriasumanti. Philosophy of Science: A Popular Introduction of the philosophy of Science: A Popular Introduction. Jakarta: Sin Atterial: pin Philosophy of Science: A Popular Introduction. Jakarta: Sin Atterial: pin Atterial: pin Atteria | 10% |
| | and procedures in preparing a thesis | qualitative research paradigms, the nature of | describing and explaining the material. Non-test form: Presentation | Case-based learning Media: | science in thinking logically and analytically | |

| structures and methods, and the steps and procedures carried out in constructing scientific knowledge. | assignments Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance | video and other online media Assignments: independent reading, making papers, presentations, uploading video presentations 2 x 50 minutes | K. Bertens. French Contemporary Western Philosophy (Indonesian Edition). Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama, 2019. 2. Hisarma, Saragih, et al. Philosophy of Education. Kudus: Our Writing Foundation, 2021. 3. Herlambang, Yusuf Tri. Pedagogy: Critical Study of Educational Science from Multiperspectives. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara, 2021. 4. Husaini, Adrian et al. Philosophy of Science from Western and Islamic Perspectives. Jakarta: Gema Insani, 2021. 5. Rusdiana. Science phylosophy. Yogyakarta: UIN SGD BANDUNG RESEARCH AND PUBLISHING CENTER, 2018. Material: concepts of ontology, epistemology and axiology in the philosophy of science References: Articles in reputable international journals Material: 1. |
|---|---|--|---|
| | | | Contribution of the philosophy of science to basic education 2. Paradigm of basic education in accordance with the principles of the philosophy of science Library: Jujun S. Suriasumantri. Philosophy of Science: A Popular Introduction. Jakarta: Sinar Harapan, 1993. Material: proportional implementation of various sources of knowledge. |
| | | | Material: quantitative and qualitative research paradigms, the nature of scientific structures and methods, and the steps and procedures |

| | | | | | carried out in constructing scientific knowledge. | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|-----|
| 7 | Implement scientific methods approxedures in preparing a thesis | Applying quantitative and qualitative research paradigms, the nature of scientific structures and procedures carried out in constructing scientific knowledge. | Criteria: Accuracy of describing and explaining the material. Non-test form: Presentation of material and assignments Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance | Learning Method: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other online media Assignments: independent reading, making papers, presentations, uploading video presentations 2 x 50 minutes | References:Material:philosophy ofscience inthinking logicallyand analyticallyReferences: 1.K. Bertens.FrenchContemporaryWesternPhilosophy(IndonesianEdition). Jakarta:GramediaPustaka Utama,2019. 2. Hisarma,Saragih, et al.Philosophy ofEducation.Kudus: OurWritingFoundation,2021. 3.Herlambang,Yusuf Tri.Pedagogy:Critical Study ofEducationalScience fromMultiperspectives.Jakarta: BumiAksara, 2021. 4.Husaini, Adrian etal. Philosophy ofScience fromWestern andVagyakarta: GemaInsani, 2021. 5.Rusdiana.Sciencephylosophy.Yogyakarta: UINSGD BANDUNGRESEARCH ANDPUBLISHINGCENTER, 2018.Material: 1.Contribution ofche philosophy ofscienceArticles inreputableinternationaljournalsMaterial: 1.Contribution ofthe philosophy ofscience to basiceducation inaccordance withthe philosophy ofscience APopularIntroduction.Jakarta: SinariIntroduction.< | 10% |

| | | | | | | Material: quantitative and qualitative research paradigms, the nature of scientific structures and methods, and the steps and procedures carried out in constructing scientific knowledge. References: | |
|----|--|---|---|-----|--|---|----|
| 8 | UTS | UTS | | UTS | | | 0% |
| 9 | Applying mathematical, language and statistical concepts as a means of scientific thinking | Applying the function of language as a means of scientific thinking, the function of mathematics as a means of scientific thinking, and the function of statistics as a means of scientific thinking | Criteria: Accuracy of describing and explaining the material. Non-test form: Presentation of material and assignments Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | | Learning Method: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other online media Assignments: independent reading, making papers, presentations, uploading video presentations 2 x 50 minutes 100' | Material: 1. The essence of language as a means of scientific thinking 2. The essence of mathematics as a means of scientific thinking using deductive reasoning patterns 3. The essence of statistics as a means of scientific thinking using inductive reasoning patterns Reference: <i>Michael Polanyi.</i> <i>Untold Aspects of</i> <i>Science. Jakarta:</i> <i>Gramedia, 1996.</i> | 5% |
| 10 | Understand the concept of social responsibility of scientists and be able to implement it in life | Internalize the meaning of social responsibility of scientists and have an attitude as a scientist who has social responsibility | Criteria: Accuracy of describing and explaining the material. Non-test form: Presentation of material and assignments Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | | Learning Method: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other online media Assignments: independent reading, making papers, presentations, uploading video presentations 2 x 50 minutes | Material: 1. The social roles and responsibilities of scientists in the realm of scientific ontology, epistemology and axiology 2. Case studies of the impact of science and technology in human life. Reference: The Liang Gie. Introduction to the Philosophy of Science. Yogyakarta: Liberty, 1996. | 5% |
| 11 | Implementing scientific knowledge structures in the process of creating scientific work | Apply the principles and procedures of scientific research, as well as the technical steps and procedures for preparing scientific work | Criteria: Accuracy of describing and explaining the material. Non-test form: Presentation of material and assignments Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | | Learning Method: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other online media Assignments: independent reading, making papers, presentations, uploading video presentations 2 x 50 minutes | Material: 1. Steps in scientific research starting from posing a problem to drawing conclusions 2. Scientific notation techniques (use of ibid, op.cit, loc.cit in footnotes and writing bibliography) Bibliography: Ana Rosmiati. Basics of Writing Scientific Papers. Surakarta: ISI Press, 2017 | 5% |

| | | | | [| | <u>Галана т</u> | |
|----|--|---|---|---|--|--|----|
| 12 | Implementing the philosophy of science with quantitative and qualitative research paradigms | Applying the philosophy of science with quantitative and qualitative research paradigms in the field of education | Criteria: Accuracy of describing and explaining the material. Non-test form: Presentation of material and assignments Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | | Learning Method: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other online media Assignments: independent reading, making papers, presentations, uploading video presentations 2 x 50 minutes | Material: 1. The relationship between the philosophy of science and the quantitative research paradigm 2. The relationship between the philosophy of science and the qualitative research paradigm. References: 1. <i>K. Bertens.</i> <i>French</i> <i>Contemporary</i> <i>Western</i> <i>Philosophy</i> <i>(Indonesian</i> <i>Edition).</i> Jakarta: <i>Gramedia</i> <i>Pustaka Utama,</i> 2019. 2. Hisarma, <i>Saragih, et al.</i> <i>Philosophy of</i> <i>Education.</i> <i>Kudus:</i> Our <i>Writing</i> <i>Foundation,</i> 2021. 3. <i>Herlambang,</i> <i>Yusuf Tri.</i> <i>Pedagogy:</i> <i>Critical Study of</i> <i>Educational</i> <i>Science from</i> <i>Multiperspectives.</i> <i>Jakarta: Bumi</i> <i>Aksara,</i> 2021. 4. <i>Husaini, Adrian et al.</i> <i>Philosophy of</i> <i>Science from</i> <i>Multiperspectives.</i> <i>Jakarta: Germa</i> <i>Insani,</i> 2021. 5. <i>Rusdiana.</i> <i>Science</i> <i>phylosophy.</i> <i>Yogyakarta: UIN</i> <i>SGD BANDUNG</i> <i>RESEARCH AND</i> <i>PUBLISHING</i> <i>CENTER,</i> 2018. | 5% |

| r | | | | I | 1 | | |
|----|--|--|---|---|--|--|----|
| 13 | Implementing the philosophy of science with qualitative research paradigms | Applying the philosophy of science with qualitative and qualitative research paradigms in the field of education | Criteria: Accuracy of describing and explaining the material. Non-test form: Presentation of material and assignments Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | | Learning Method: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other online media Assignments: independent reading, making papers, presentations, uploading video presentations 2 x 50 minutes | Material: 1. The relationship between the philosophy of science and the quantitative research paradigm 2. The relationship between the philosophy of science and the qualitative research paradigm. References: 1. K. Bertens. French Contemporary Western Philosophy (Indonesian Edition). Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama, 2019. 2. Hisarma, Saragih, et al. Philosophy of Education. Kudus: Our Writing Foundation, 2021. 3. Herlambang, Yusuf Tri. Pedagogy: Critical Study of Educational Science from Multiperspectives. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara, 2021. 4. Husaini, Adrian et al. Philosophy of Science from Western and Islamic Perspectives. Jakarta: Gema Insani, 2021. 5. Rusdiana. Science phylosophy. Yogyakarta: UIN SGD BANDUNG RESEARCH AND PUBLISHING CENTER, 2018. | 5% |

| 14 | Prepare | Applying | Criteria: | Learning Method: | Materials: 1. | 5% |
|----|--|---|---|--|--|----|
| 14 | Prepare qualitative or qualitative research proposals | Applying philosophical principles in preparing quantitative or qualitative research proposals. | Criteria: Accuracy of describing and explaining the material. Non-test form: Presentation of material and assignments Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Learning Method: Case-based learning Media: powerpoint, video and other online media Assignments: independent reading, making papers, presentations, uploading video presentations 2 x 50 minutes | Materials: 1. Quantitative or qualitative research procedures, 2. Systematic writing of quantitative or qualitative proposals. Literature: 1. K. Bertens. French Contemporary Western Philosophy (Indonesian Edition). Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama, 2019. 2. Hisarma, Saragih, et al. Philosophy of Education. Kudus: Our Writing Foundation, 2021. 3. Herlambang, Yusuf Tri. Pedagogy: Critical Study of Educational Science from Multiperspectives. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara, 2021. 4. Husaini, Adrian et al. Philosophy of Science from Western and Islamic Perspectives. Jakarta: Gema Insani, 2021. 5. Rusdiana. Science phylosophy. Yogyakarta: UIN SGD BANDUNG RESEARCH AND PUBLISHING CENTER, 2018. Materials: 1. Quantitative or qualitative proposals Reader: Ana Rosmiati. Basics of Writing Scientific Papers. Surakarta: ISI Press, 2017 | 5% |

| | | | Assessment : Test | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|----|
| 16 | UAS | | Form of | UAS | | 0% |
| 16 | qualitative research proposals | principles in preparing quantitative or qualitative research proposals. | describing and explaining the material. Non-test form: Presentation of material and assignments Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Media: powerpoint, video and other online media Assignments: independent reading, making papers, presentations 2 x 50 minutes 2 x 50 minutes | qualitative research procedures, 2. Systematic writing of quantitative or qualitative proposals. Literature: 1. K. Bertens. French Contemporary Western Philosophy (Indonesian Edition). Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama, 2019. 2. Hisarma, Saragih, et al. Philosophy of Education. Kudus: Our Writing Foundation, 2021. 3. Herlambang, Yusuf Tri. Pedagogy: Critical Study of Educational Science from Multiperspectives. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara, 2021. 4. Husaini, Adrian et al. Philosophy of Science from Western and Islamic Perspectives. Jakarta: Gema Insani, 2021. 5. Rusdiana. Science phylosophy. Yogyakarta: UIN SGD BANDUNG RESEARCH AND PUBLISHING CENTER, 2018. Materials: 1. Quantitative or qualitative research procedures, 2. Systematic writing of quantitative or qualitative research procedures, 2. Systematic writing of quantitative or qualitative research procedures, 2. Systematic Writing Scientific Papers. Surakarta: ISI Press, 2017 | 0% |
| 15 | Prepare guantitative or | Applying philosophical | Criteria: Accuracy of | Learning Method: Case-based learning | Materials: 1. Quantitative or | 5% |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

| No | Evaluation | Percentage |
|----|---|------------|
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 42.48% |
| 2. | Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 17.5% |
| 3. | Portfolio Assessment | 19.98% |
| 4. | Practice / Performance | 19.98% |
| | | 99.94% |

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods. **10. Learning materials** are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.