

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Postgraduate School, Master of Technology and Vocational Education Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

			OLIV				X14114	.		•				
Courses			CODE	E Course F		Family	у	Cred	Credit Weight		SEME	STER	Con	npilation
Thesis Proposal Seminar		8310102034 Compulse Subjects				T=2	P=0	ECTS=4.48	В	3	Augi 2023	ust 24, 3		
AUTHORIZATION		SP Developer			Cours	e Clus	ter Co	ordinator		Study Program Coordinator				
			Prof. Dr. Joko	, M.Pd.	MT.						Dr. Ir.		d Imar Pd.	n Agung,
Learning model	Project Based	Lea	rning											
Program	PLO study program which is charged to the course													
Learning Outcomes	PLO-6 Work together and have social sensitivity and concern for society and the environment													
(PLO)	PLO-11	Able	e to apply appli	ed resea	rch to inno	vate v	ocational	learnin	g meth	ods, optimiz	e industr	y-releva	ınt tec	hnology
	Program Obje	ectiv	ves (PO)											
	PO - 1	Hav	e logic, ethics,	honesty	as well as	a critic	cal and o	oen atti	tude in	preparing r	esearch _l	oroposa	ls.	
	PLO-PO Matr	ix												
			P.O PLO-6 P			PLO-11	.O-11							
			PO-1											
		<u> </u>		_ l										
	PO Matrix at	the e	end of each le	earning	stage (Su	ıb-PO)							
														
			P.O						Week			, ,		
				1 2	3 4	5	6 7	8	9 1	0 11 1	2 13	14	15	16
		F	PO-1											
Short Course Description	Application of various concepts, theories and methodologies according to the chosen research focus or topic, locus or of research data, and scientific mode or perspective used in an original, innovative and transdisciplinary manner. The proposal including title, introduction (background, problem/focus, objectives/benefits, definit research terms/variables), theoretical study, research methods, and data analysis.							e product						
References	Main :													
	 Creswell, J.W. (2014). Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. N. Sage. Sugiyono (2015). Metode Penelitian Pendidikan. Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R &D. Cetaka Bandung: Alfabeta. Tim (2022). Pedoman Penulisan Tesis dan Disertasi. Surabaya: Pascasarjana Unesa. Yin, R.K. (2016). Qualitative Reasearch from Strat to Finish. 2nd Ed. New York: Guilford Press. 													
Supporters:										-				
Artikel dalam jurnal mutakhir yang relevan dengan penelitian														
Supporting lecturer	Prof. Dr. Joko, Dr. Ir. Achmad		d., M.T. n Agung, M.Pd											
Fin	al abilities of		Evalu	ation			Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]							

Week-	each learning stage (Sub-PO)					Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Able to formulate a thesis research problem formulation	Accuracy in compiling dissertation research topics	Criteria: 1. Suitability to the researcher's field, max score 15 2. Useful for research subjects, max score 15 3. Currently trending or current issues, max score 30 4. Reachable by researchers, max score 20 5. Important to research, 20	Presentations, discussions, case studies, PjBL, 2 X 50 assignments		Material: Developing a thesis research problem formulation References: 2. Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	5%
			Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment				
2	Able to formulate a thesis research problem formulation	Accuracy in preparing the thesis research topic	Criteria: 1.Formulated in question form, max score. 20 2.Formulated in simple, short, concise sentences that do not cause confusion, max score. 20 3.The problem formulation can be used as a hypothesis formulation, or to state a relationship, max score. 20 4.The problem formulation can be reflected in the title, max score. 20 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment /	Presentations, discussions, case studies, PjBL, 2 X 50 assignments		Material: Developing a thesis research problem formulation References: 2. Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	5%
3	Able to prepare the introductory part of a thesis proposal	Accuracy in preparing the introductory part of the thesis proposal	Product Assessment Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentations, discussions, PjBL, assignments 2 X 50		Material: Preparing the introductory part of the research. References: 1. Creswell, JW (2014). Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	5%

4	Able to prepare the introductory part of a thesis proposal	Accuracy in preparing the introductory part of the thesis proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities		Presentations, discussions, PjBL, independent assignments 2 X 50	Material: Preparing the introductory part of the research References: 3. Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%
5	Able to prepare the introductory part of a thesis proposal	Accuracy in preparing the introductory part of the thesis proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Presentations, discussions, PjBL, assignments 2 X 50	Material: Preparing the introductory part of the research References: 4. Yin, RK (2016). Qualitative Research from Strat to Finish. 2nd Ed. New York: Guilford Press.	7%
6	Able to prepare the literature review section of a thesis proposal	Accuracy in compiling the literature review section of the thesis proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentations, discussions, PjBL, assignments 2 X 50		Material: Accuracy of compiling the literature review section of a thesis proposal References: 2. Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	5%
7	Able to prepare the literature review section of a thesis proposal	Accuracy in compiling the literature review section of the thesis proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Searching for sources of information, discussions, PjBL, assignments. Study independently 2 X 50	Material: Preparing the literature review section of a thesis proposal References: 3. Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%
8	Able to prepare the literature review section of a thesis proposal	Accuracy in compiling the literature review section of the thesis proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Presentations, discussions, PjBL, assignments. 2 X 50	Material: Developing the literature review section of a thesis proposal References: 4. Yin, RK (2016). Qualitative Research from Strat to Finish. 2nd Ed. New York: Guilford Press.	15%

9	Able to prepare a framework for a thesis proposal	Accuracy in compiling the literature review section of the thesis proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentations, discussions, PjBL, assignments 2 X 50		Material: Developing a framework for a thesis proposal References: 2. Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	6%
10	Compile the research methods section of the thesis proposal and bibliography	Accuracy in compiling research methods for thesis proposals and bibliography	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentations, discussions, PjBL, assignments 2 X 50		Material: Developing research methods for a thesis proposal and bibliography References : 1. Creswell, JW (2014). Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	4%
11	Compile the research methods section of the thesis proposal and bibliography	Accuracy in compiling research methods for thesis proposals and bibliography	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Group discussions, PjBL, assignments. Study independently 2 X 50	Material: Developing research methods for a thesis proposal and bibliography References : 4. Yin, RK (2016). Qualitative Research from Strat to Finish. 2nd Ed. New York: Guilford Press.	4%
12	Compile the research methods section of the thesis proposal and bibliography	Accuracy in compiling research methods for thesis proposals and bibliography	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Group discussions, PjBL, assignments. 2 X 50	Material: Developing research methods for a thesis proposal and bibliography References : 3. Tim (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%

13	Able to prepare attachments to a thesis research proposal	Accuracy in compiling attachments to the thesis research proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, PjBL, assignment 2 X 50		Material: Preparing an attachment to a thesis research proposal References: 2. Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	3%
14	Able to prepare attachments to a thesis research proposal	Accuracy in compiling attachments to the thesis research proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Group discussions, PjBL, assignments. Study independently 2 X 50	Material: Preparing an attachment to a thesis research proposal References: 2. Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	3%
15	Able to prepare attachments to a thesis research proposal	Accuracy in compiling attachments to the thesis research proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Group discussions, PjBL, assignments. Study independently 2 X 50	Material: Preparing an attachment to a thesis research proposal References: 3. Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	3%
16	Able to take the proposal exam	The quality of the proposal, presentation skills and mastery of the contents of the proposal as well as the quality of the thesis proposal supervision process	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Proposal exam (UAS) 2 X 50	Material: Dissertation proposal examination References: 3. Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	20%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

Evaluation Percentage Recap. Project based Learning						
No	Evaluation	Percentage				
1.	Participatory Activities	52.5%				
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	47.5%				
		100%				

Notes

 Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the

- level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
 which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special
 skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or
 observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the
 course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.