

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Postgraduate School, Master of Technology and Vocational Education Study Program

Document Code

UNESA		Master of Technology and Vocational Education Study Program																			
				Ş	SEI	ME	ST	ER	LE	AF	RN	ING	P	LAN	1						
Courses			CODE			Course Family			Cı	Credit Weight			SEN	MESTER	Co Da	mpila ite	tion				
Internships			8310102057						T=	1 P=1	EC	TS=4.48		2	Ju	ly 17, 2	2024				
AUTHOR	IZAT	ION		SP	Deve	lope	r		-			Cour	se C	uster C	Coor	dinator	Stu	Study Program Coordinator			
												Dr. Ir. Achmad Imam Agung, M.Pd.			n						
Learning model		Case Studies																			
Program		PLO study prog	PLO study program that is charged to the course																		
Learning Outcome		Program Objectives (PO)																			
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix																			
		P.O																			
		PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																			
			F	P.O									We	ek							7
			L		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	. 12	13	14	15	16	]
Short Course Descripti	ion	Internship is a c technology and v the field, explore developing in tec the field of study institutional/indus Training Centers	ocatio data hnolog and try/tra	onal e a fror gy ar educ aining	ducate the	tion. The field cation that that the field cation that the field c	Through, and all education and	gh this d crea ucation neen ement	s cours ate sc on. This obtaine perfor	se, stuientific s cou ed thr rmanc	uden c pro rse i rougl ce. T	ts are oblem s a sy lectu his ac	expe solu nthe: ire m	ected to tions in sis and nechanis is cari	be a appl appl sms ried	ble to for cordance ication ad in previo	mulate with ctivity us se	e proble theories of all led mesters	ms the and the control of the contro	nat occ d conc materi he for	ur in epts ial in m of
References		Main :																			
		1. Tim, 202	ku Pedoman Internship Universitas Negeri Surabaya																		
		Supporters:																			
Supporting lecturer		Dr. Nurmi Frida Dorintan Bertua Pakpahan, M.Pd. Dr. Meini Sondang Sumbawati, M.Pd. Dr. Theodorus Wiyanto Wibowo, M.Pd. Dr. Ir. Achmad Imam Agung, M.Pd. Prof. Dr. Suparji, S.Pd., M.Pd.																			
Week-		ch learning			E	Evaluation			Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]					arning aterials		ssessn /eight					

	r ron Br. Gaparji,	Tot. Dr. Gaparji, C.r d., inir d.								
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eva	luation	Lear Stude	elp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)			
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	References ]				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)			
1	The public lecture explains the course description, course output and explanation of the internship implementation	Explains preparation, implementation and reporting of internship	Criteria: 1.Score 5 correct answers 2.Score 0 wrong answer	Discussion and questions and answers 2 X 50			0%			

	1		T	П	T	<del>                                     </del>	1
2	Independent study: Preparation for internship implementation	Choosing an internship location Determining the problems that will be observed in the field	Criteria: 10 points make a plan for the internship location	Observations and interviews in the field 2 X 50			0%
3	Explain the plan for implementing the internship	Explaining the problems and objectives that will be achieved through the implementation of the internship as written in the Internship proposal Explaining the location and target profile that will be used as an Internship location	<b>Criteria:</b> Good, Enough, Poor	Project base learning 2 X 50			0%
4	Identify internship planning, including permits for internship practice, preparation of instruments needed for data collection	Arranging permits for internship practice, preparing the instruments needed for data collection	<b>Criteria:</b> Good, Enough, Poor	Project base learning 2 X 50			0%
5	Conduct observations, interviews and documentation	1. Clarity of the location and purpose of the internship2. Instrument availability	Criteria: Good, Enough, Poor	Project base learning 2 X 50			0%
6	Carrying out stage 2 observations Conducting interviews and relevant documentation required according to the objectives of the internship	Develop the instruments needed for data collection	<b>Criteria:</b> Good, Enough, Poor	Project base learning 2 X 50			0%
7	Carrying out stage 3 observations. Collecting data through interviews, observations and documentation related to needs according to the objectives of the internship	Carrying out data collection	Criteria: Good, Enough, Poor	Data collection method is Quantitative 2 X 50			0%
8	UTS	Internship proposal Developed instrument	Criteria: Good, Enough, Poor	Independent study 2 X 50			0%
9	Data processing	Collected data Data processing is carried out according to procedures	Criteria: honesty	quantitatively 2 X 50			0%
10	Able to carry out data analysis	Able to process data. Able to calculate. Able to verify data. Able to draw conclusions	Criteria: Good, Enough, Poor	Data analysis methods that are in accordance with the objectives of the 2 X 50 internship			0%
11	Presentation of Internship progress reports	results of data analysis per instrument	Criteria: Good, Enough, Poor	The learning strategy is based on proposals (which are proposed individually) 2 X 50			0%

12	Presentation of progress reports	data analysis results	Criteria: Good, Enough, Poor	The learning strategy is writing reports that refer to internship writing guidelines and are based on proposals (which are proposed individually) 2 X 50		0%
13	Presentation of progress reports	data analysis results	Criteria: Good, Enough, Poor	Report writing strategy that refers to Internship writing guidelines and is based on a 2 X 50 proposal		0%
14	Final report presentation	data analysis results	Criteria: Good, Enough, Poor	2 X 50 report writing strategy		0%
15	Final report presentation	results of data analysis, conclusions and suggestions	Criteria: Good, Enough, Poor	2 X 50 report writing strategy		0%
16						0%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage	•
		0%	

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
  and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.