

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Sports and Health Sciences, Sports Education Masters Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CO	DE			•	Cour	se Fa	mily		C	Credi	t Weig	jht		SEME	STER	Cor Dat	npilati e
Research methodology		851	0103015	;			Comp Progr				ſ	[=3	P=0	ECTS=	6.72		2	Apr 202	il 29, 3
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer				Cou	rse (Clust	er Co	ordinat	or		Progr linator					
			Nur Ahm ssum, M. es.							Prof	Ali I	Maks	um, M	.Si		Dr. 1		Hidaya .Kes.	ıt, S.Pd
Learning model	Project Base	ed Learning																	
Program	PLO study	program w	hich is o	charg	ged t	o the	e cou	ırse											
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-2	Demonstrate the character of being tough, collaborative, adaptive, innovative, inclusive, lifelong learning and entrepreneurial spirit																	
~ /	PLO-3	Develop logical, critical, systematic and creative thinking in carrying out specific work in their field of expertise and in accordance with work competency standards in the field concerned																	
	PLO-4	Develop y	Develop yourself continuously and collaborate.																
	PLO-8	Have good	d morals,	, ethic	s and	d pers	sonal	ity in	comp	leting	his c	duties	6						
	Program Ol	Program Objectives (PO)																	
	PO - 1	Demonstra	ate a resp	ponsik	ole at	titude	e in co	omple	eting I	resear	ch in	the f	field of	physic	al edu	cation	indepe	endent	ly
	PO - 2	Able to prepare scientific arguments and solutions in completing research that can be scientifically accountable and academic ethically and communicated with the community																	
	PO - 3	Able to analyze and provide solutions to problems in the field of research using scientific principles and data-based (evidence-based)																	
	PO - 4 Able to organize research scientifically and develop knowledge in the field of physical education																		
	PLO-PO Ma	latrix																	
		F	P.O PLO-2				PLO-	3		PL	.0-4		PLC	D-8					
		Р	0-1																
		Р	0-2																
		Р	0-3																
		Р	0-4																
	PO Matrix a	t the end o	fooch l	oarni	ing c	tago	(Sul												
		t the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																	
		P	.0					1	i			We	1	, ,					
		PO-1		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
									<u> </u>										
		PO-2																	
		PO-3								$ \square$				$\left \right $					
		PO-4																	

Referen	ces Main :						
	2. Cresv (Four 3. Sugiy 4. Gratto 5. Armo 6. Came	vell, J.W. 2012. Edu th Edition). Boston: 1 ono. 2013. Cara Mu on, C. and Jones, I. ur, K. and macdonal erino, O., Castañer,	Pearson Education Inc. dah Menyusun: Skripsi, 2010. Research Method d, D. 2012. Research M	ning, Conducting Tesis, dan Dise s for Sport Studi ethods in Physic . 2012. Mixed	g, and Evaluating Quanti rtasi. Bandung: Alfabeta	CV. Sport. UK. Routh	edge.
	Supporters:						
	1. Pasca	asarjana. 2019. Ped	oman penulisan tesis da	n disertasi. Sura	abaya. Unesa press.		
Support lecturer		aksum, S.Pd., M.Si. d Arief, S.Pd., M.Pd					
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eva	aluation	Lear Studer	Ip Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline(offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	1 1	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Able to apply scientific thinking concepts	explains scientific and non-scientific knowledge, the basics of scientific knowledge including reasoning, logic and truth	Criteria: 1.Liveliness 2.Accuracy of answers Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Learning form: Responsive Learning method: Problem based 3 X 50		Material: Scientific and non-scientific knowledge References: Maksum, A. 2018. Research Methodology in Sports. Surabaya. Unipress Unesa.	5%
2	Able to apply scientific approaches and methods in a research case	1.explains the research approach according to the case at hand, explains the stages of conducting research using scientific methods 2.accuracy of answer	Criteria: liveliness Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Learning Form: Responsive Learning method: Problem based Student Assignment: structured and independent 3 X 50		Material: Research approach References: Maksum, A. 2018. Research Methodology in Sports. Surabaya. Unipress Unesa.	5%
3	Able to determine research problems well	Research mapping in the world of sports education is able to find good problems, identify the characteristics of good problems, formulate problems	Criteria: Formulate the problem well Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Group discussions, assignments and presentations 3 X 50		Material: Formulating the problem References: Maksum, A. 2018. Research Methodology in Sports. Surabaya. Unipress Unesa.	5%
4	Able to explain research variables, conceptual and operational definitions	Explaining research variables according to the case, explaining definitions conceptually and operationally	Criteria: Formulate research variables	Lectures, assignments and questions and answers 3 X 50		Material: Research variables Reader: Sugiyono. 2013. Easy Ways to Compose: Theses, Theses, Theses and Dissertations. Bandung: Alfabeta CV.	5%

5	Able to build theories in literature studies well, able to formulate hypotheses according to the literature studies made	explaining the purpose of conducting a theoretical study, explaining the structure of a theoretical study, preparing a conceptual framework, explaining research assumptions and hypotheses	Criteria: 1.Regularity of narrative and quotations 2.Making subtitles in literature reviews 3.Accuracy in making hypotheses Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	Assignments, literature analysis, questions and answers 3 X 50	Material: Literature review and hypothesis References: Maksum, A. 2018. Research Methodology in Sports. Surabaya. Unipress Unesa. Material: literature review and hypothesis Reader: Sugiyono. 2013. Easy Ways to Compose: Theses, Theses and Dissertations. Bandung: Alfabeta CV.	5%
6	Able to explain the application of research hypotheses	explain types of hypotheses formulate hypotheses and test hypotheses	Criteria: Develop a good hypothesis based on literature review Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, assignments and questions and answers 2 X 50	Material: formulate a hypothesis References: Maksum, A. 2018. Research Methodology in Sports. Surabaya. Unipress Unesa.	5%
7	Able to explain sampling methods in research	able to explain probability sampling well	Criteria: 1.liveliness 2.accuracy in determining sampling techniques Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Presentation, discussion, questions and answers 3 X 50	Material: probability sampling References: Gratton, C. and Jones, I. 2010. Research Methods for Sport Studies. UK. Routledge. Material: probability sampling References: Maksum, A. 2018. Research Methodology in Sports. Surabaya. Unipress Unesa.	5%
8		Midterm exam	Criteria: Accuracy in providing solutions based on existing research problems	3 X 50		15%

9	Able to explain research sampling methods	explains non- probability sampling in research	Criteria: 1.liveliness 2.accuracy in determining sampling techniques Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	Presentation, discussion, questions and answers 3 X 50	Material non- probabili sampling Referen Maksum, 2018. Researci Methodo in Sports Surabay Unipress Unesa. Material probabili sampling Referen Armour, and Macdona D. 2012. Researci Methods Physical Educatio and Your Sport. U	ty ce: , A. h logy s. a. : non ty ces: K. ald, h in n th K.
10	Able to explain the type of research	explains experimental, descriptive, survey, correlational, comparative, evaluative, developmental, qualitative and CAR research	Criteria: accuracy in determining the type of research based on problems and hypotheses Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Inquiry, group discussion, presentation and question and answer. 3 X 50	Routledg Material of resear Reference Maksum, 2018. Researci Methodo in Sports Surabay, Unipress Unesa. Material of resear Reference Creswell 2012. Educatio Researci Planning Conducti and Evaluatir Quantitati Researci (Fourth Edition). Boston: Pearson Educatio Inc.	: type 5% cch ces: , A. h logy a. : : type cch ces: , JW mal h. ; ing, ng tive we h
11	Able to explain research design	 explains the description of the experimental research design explains the description of non-experimental research design 	Criteria: 1.formulate experimental research designs 2.formulate a non- experimental research design Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Presentation, discussion, questions and answers 3 X 50	Material types of experime and non- experime research Referen <i>Maksum</i> , 2018. <i>Researc</i> <i>Methodo</i> <i>in Sports</i> <i>Surabay</i> <i>Unipress</i> <i>Unesa</i> .	ental ce: , A. h logy c.

12	Able to prepare research proposal reports well	explain grammar and systematics according to applicable guidelines	Criteria: writing according to scientific principles and applicable guidebooks Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	discussion, question and answer 3 X 50	Material: making a research proposal References: Maksum, A. 2018. Research Methodology in Sports. Surabaya. Unipress Unesa.	5%
13	Able to prepare research reports well	explain grammar and systematics according to applicable guidelines	Criteria: writing according to scientific principles and applicable guidebooks Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	discussion, question and answer 3 X 50	Material: Writing guidelines References: Postgraduate. 2019. Guidelines for writing theses and dissertations. Surabaya. Unesa press.	5%
14	Able to prepare research reports well	explain grammar and systematics according to applicable guidelines	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	3 X 50 discussion	Material: thesis writing References: Postgraduate. 2019. Guidelines for writing theses and dissertations. Surabaya. Unesa press.	5%
15	Able to prepare research reports well	explain grammar and systematics according to applicable guidelines	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	3 X 50 discussion	Material: writing guidelines References: Postgraduate. 2019. Guidelines for writing theses and dissertations. Surabaya. Unesa press.	5%
16		Final exams	Criteria: Able to complete research proposals according to scientific principles	3 X 50		15%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	47.5%
2.	Test	12.5%
		60%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.

- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
 Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.