

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education Masters Program in Out-of-School Education

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN																			
Courses			CODE		Cou	Course Family		Credit Weight			SEM	IESTER	Co	mpilation te					
Educational Research Methodology			8610	05040	40						T=1	P=1	ECTS	5=4.48		1	July	y 17, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer				Course Cluster Coordinator			Study Program Coordinator									
										Dr. Wiwin Yulianingsih, S.Pd., M.Pd.									
Learning model		Case Studies																	
Program Learning		PLO study pro	gram	that	is ch	arge	d to th	e cour	se										
Outcom		Program Object	ctives	(PO)														
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix	<u> </u>																
			P.O																
		PO Matrix at th	e end	d of e	of each learning stage (Sub-PO)														
			F	P.O					Week										
					1	2	3 4	4 5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Course theoretical dis-		theoretical discus	ssions	he concepts and theories of research methods in the field of education. The material coverage consists of ns about research methodology related to the field of education. Quantitative and qualitative research out to strengthen understanding of research methodology.															
Referen	ces	Main :																	
Methods; Street, N Sourccek Observat 6) Sugiyd 1974. Ins Institute/S Pengen http://bus thiagaraji		Methods Street, Sourccel Observa 6) Sugiy 1974. In Institute/ Penger http://bus thiagaraj	1. 1) Bogdan Robert C.& Sari Biklen, Knopp. 1982. Qualitative Research for Education; An Introduction to Theory and Methods; Allyn and Bacon,Inc. Boston London 2) Borg, W.R, Gall MD, 2003. Educational Research, Longman Inc.95 Street, White Palins, 106001 3) Miles Matthew B., Haberman Michael A. 1984. Qualitative Data Analysis; A Sourccebook of New Methods; Sage Publication, Beverly Hills, London. 4) Spradley, James. 1980. Participant Observation. Holt Rinehart and Winston. 5) Sugiyono. 2005. Memahami Penelitian Kualitatif. CV Alfabeta. Bandung 6) Sugiyono. 2007. Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif. Bandung Alfabeta. 7) Thiagarajan, S., Semmel. D & Simmel, M. I. 1974. Insctructional for Training Teancher of Expectional Children. Minnerpolis, Minnesota: Leadership Training Institute/Special Education, University of Minnesota 8) Buhari, Bustang. 2010. Four-D Model (Model PengembanganPerangkatPembelajarandariThiagarajan, d k k). (on line), (http://bustangbuhari.wordpress.com/2011/08/25/four-d-model-model-pengembangan-perangkat-pembelajaran-darithiagarajan-dkk/), diakses 3 Pebruari 2013. 9) Moh. Nazir. 2005. Metode Penelitian. Bogor: Ghalia Indonesia. 10) Y Riyanto. 2007. Metodologi Penelitian Pendidikan kualitatif dan kuantitatif Surabaya: Unesa university press																
Support lecturer	ing	Prof. Dr. Maria V Prof. Dr. Yatim R Dr. Widodo, M.Po	iyanto			ingsih	ı, M.Pd.	•											
Week-	eac			Evaluation						Lea: Stude	Help Learning, Learning methods, udent Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials [Assessment				
	(Su	(Sub-PO)		ndica	tor	C	riteria	& Form			ne (ne)	0	nline	(onlir	ne)	References]		W	Weight (%)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Study contract	Understanding learning contracts	Criteria:	Discussion 3 X 50			0%
2	Understand and explain the identification of quantitative, developmental and qualitative research approaches	Able to search for reading sources, analyze and make academic conclusions about the differences in qualitative, quantitative and developmental approaches.	Criteria: Participation is carried out by observation and participation during the learning process	Assignment and Discussion 3 X 50			0%
3	Understand and explain the identification of quantitative, developmental and qualitative research approaches	Able to search for reading sources, analyze and make academic conclusions about the differences in qualitative, quantitative and developmental approaches.	Criteria: Participation is carried out by observation and participation during the learning process	Assignment and Discussion 3 X 50			0%
4	Understand the scope of education management	Able to search for reading sources, analyze and make academic conclusions about the scope of educational management	Criteria: Participation is carried out by observation and participation during the learning process	Assignment and Discussion 3 X 50			0%
5	Understand the scope of education management	Able to search for reading sources, analyze and make academic conclusions about the scope of educational management	Criteria: Participation is carried out by observation and participation during the learning process	Assignment and Discussion 3 X 50			0%
6	Understand quantitative research issues in the field of educational management	1. Able to search for and present quantitative and qualitative research issues in the field of educational management 2. Create research titles	Criteria: Participation is carried out by observation and participation during the learning process	Presentation and discussion 3 X 50			0%
7	Correlational quantitative research	Able to understand correlational research	Criteria: Participation is carried out by observation and participation during the learning process	Assignment and discussion 3 X 50			0%
8	UTS	Able to do UTS	Criteria: UTS, conducted in the middle of the semester	3 X 50 test			0%
9	Development Research	Able to understand development research	Criteria: Participation is carried out by observation and participation during the learning process	Presentation and discussion 3 X 50			0%
10	School action research and experiments	Able to understand school action research	Criteria: Participation is carried out by observation and participation during the learning process	Presentation and discussion 3 X 50			0%

11	Advanced qualitative research	Able to understand advanced qualitative research	Criteria: Participation is carried out by observation and participation during the learning process	Presentation and discussion, 3 X 50		0%
12	Understand the thesis proposal format	Able to understand thesis format	Criteria: Participation is carried out by observation and participation during the learning process	Presentation and discussion, 3 X 50		0%
13	Make proposals using qualitative, quantitative and development approaches	Able to make proposals with qualitative, quantitative and development approaches	Criteria: Participation is carried out by observation and participation during the learning process	Presentation and discussion, 3 X 50		0%
14	Make proposals using qualitative, quantitative and development approaches	Able to make proposals with qualitative, quantitative and development approaches	Criteria: Participation is carried out by observation and participation during the learning process	Presentation and discussion, 3 X 50		0%
15	Make proposals using qualitative, quantitative and development approaches	Able to make proposals with qualitative, quantitative and development approaches	Criteria: Participation is carried out by observation and participation during the learning process	Presentation and discussion, 3 X 50		0%
16	UAS	Able to master material 2 to 15	Criteria: UAS, conducted at the end of the semester to measure overall achievement of indicators (weight 3)	Test, Presentation and discussion, 3 X 50		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

	idation i cit	cintage recoup.	_
No	Evaluation	Percentage	
		Ω%	

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
 which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special
 skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- ${\bf 12.}\ \ {\bf TM\text{-}Face\ to\ face,\ PT\text{--}Structured\ assignments,\ BM\text{--}Independent\ study.}$