

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education Masters Program in Out-of-School Education

Document Code

UNESA	Program in Out-of-School Education  NESA																			
				SEN	IES	TEI	R L	EAI	RNI	NG	PL	AN								
Courses			COI	DE				Col	urse F	amily		Cr	edit We	eight		SEME	STER		ompila	tion
Adult Education			861	0504035				Cor	npulso	ry Stu	ıdy	T=	1 P=1	ECTS	=4.48		1	Ju	ıly 17, 2	2024
AUTHORIZATION	J		SP	SP Developer			Pro	Program Subjects Cours			rse Cluster Coordinator			tor	Study Program Coordinator					
				Heryanto S yanafi, S.F			M.Pd.	Dr. Ro	fik J,			eryant .M.Pd.	to Susil	0,		Dr. W	/iwin Yu I	ılianing M.Pd.	sih, S.F	۶d.,
Learning model	Case Stu	ıdies									1									
Program	PLO stu	dy progra	am that is c	harged to	the o	cours	е													
Learning Outcomes	Program	n Objectiv	res (PO)																	
(PLO)	PO - 1		emonstrate a												adult	educati	on cond	cepts (S	61)	
	PO - 2		ble to commu										- ` `							
	PO - 3		ble to apply t													nent wo	rk (P3,	KU3)		
	PO - 4 PO - 5		ble to design											•		22)				
	PLO-PO		lastering the	concepts,	princip	iles, pi	IIIOSOL	Jily, Cil	aracte	ISUCS	anu pi	actices	s or auc	iii euuca	llion (F	-3)				
	PLO-PO	IVIALITA																		
			P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 PO-5																	
	PO Matr	ix at the e	end of each	learning	stage	e (Sub	o-PO)													
			P.O									Week	,							7
			1.0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	-
			PO-1	+-			<u> </u>		_							10		10	10	-
			PO-2																	1
			PO-3													<u> </u>				1
			PO-4													1				1
			PO-5																	]
Short Course Description	empower meaning,	ment proc assumption fistics of g	the basics, ess, especia ons and stag ood learning	lly extensions of the second s	ion ac ementi	tivities ing ad	i, whic ult edu	h invo	lve fai ; adult	mers learn	as stu	idents	. The i	naterial hy, princ	discus	ssed in and mo	this co	ourse in for adu	ncludes ult lean	th ning
References	Main :			-																
	<ol> <li>Knowles, M. S. (1980). The modern practice of adult education: From pedagogy to andragogy. Cambridge Adult Education.</li> <li>Savicevic, D. (2008). Convergence or divergence of ideas on andragogy in different countries. International Journal of Lifelong Education, 27(4), 361-378</li> <li>Merriam, S. B., Caffarella, R. S., &amp; Baumgartner, L. M. (2007). Learning in adulthood: A comprehensive guide. John Wiley &amp; Sons.</li> </ol>																			
	Supporte	ers:																		
	Supporte	c13.																		

- Patterson, M. B., Song, W., & Zhang, J. (2009). GED candidates and their postsecondary educational outcomes: A pilot study in Texas. GED Testing Service Research Studies, 2009-5

- Medel-Añonuevo, C., Ohsako, T., & Mauch, W. (2001). Revisiting lifelong learning for the 21st century. UNESCO Institute for Education. Wang, V. C. X. (2017). Theory and practice of adult and higher education. IAP.

  Marsick, V. J., & Watkins, K. E. (2001). Informal and incidental learning. New directions for adult and continuing education, 2001(89), 25-34.
- Knowles, M. S. (1989). The making of an adult educator: An autobiographical journey. Jossey-Bass.
- Rothwell, W.J. (2008). Adult learning basics. ASTD Press.
- Apps, J. W. (1985). Improving practice in continuing education. Jossey-Bass.
- 8. Puspitasari, D. K., & Rudyanto, H. E. (2018). Konsep dan aplikasi pendidikan nonformal. Gramedia Widiasarana Indonesia.

- Arifin, J. (2018). Manajemen & kepemimpinan pendidikan. Penerbit Luxima.
   Susanto, A. (2017). Pendidikan kejuruan. PT Raja Grafindo Persada.
   Ghufron, A. (2016). Model pembelajaran inovatif berbasis teknologi informasi. Cipta Pustaka Mandiri.
- 12. Indriyani, D. (2017). Peningkatan mutu pendidikan vokasi di perguruan tinggi. LPPM Unila.
- 13. Marwanto, D. (2016). Peningkatan profesionalisme guru. PT Indeks.
- 14. Nilson, L. B., & Goodson, L. A. (2018). Online teaching at its best: Merging instructional design with teaching and learning research. John Wiley & Sons.

Heln Learning

## Supporting lecturer

Prof. Dr. Maria Veronika Roesminingsih, M.Pd. Dr. Rofik Jalal Rosyanafi, M.Pd.

Week	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evaluatio	Learn Studen	p Learning, ing methods, it Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)		
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	[ References ]		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	Students are able to understand the concept of education and adult education, as well as know the history of the development of adult education	Students are able to understand and interpret the concept of education and adult education, the history of the development of adult education	Criteria: Rubric for assessing student activity and participation  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions 3 X 50		Material: Basic concepts, history, philosophy and strategic role of adult education. Reference: Knowles, MS (1980). The modern practice of adult education: From pedagogy to andragogy. Cambridge Adult Education.	5%	
2	Students are able to understand adult learning theory and the principles of adult education	Students are able to understand and interpret adult learning theory and adult education principles	Criteria: attached Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Brainstorming, discussion of 3 X 50 cases		Material: Characteristics and learning needs of adults References: Merriam, SB, Caffarella, RS, & Baumgartner, LM (2007). Learning in adulthood: A comprehensive guide. John Wiley & Sons.	5%	
3	Students are able to understand the adult teaching and learning process, methods and models of adult education	Students are able to understand and interpret the adult teaching and learning process, methods and models of adult education	Criteria: attached Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Practice designing a 3 X 50 program proposal		Material: Gender responsive adult education programs and social inclusion References: Medel- Añonuevo, C., Ohsako, T., & Mauch, W. (2001). Revisiting lifelong learning for the 21st century. UNESCO Institute for Education.	5%	
4	Students are able to understand Adult Learners: what you need to know, The first session	Students are able to understand and interpret what needs to be known in adult learning, and know the first things in doing adult learning. Adult Learners: what you need to know is related to In The Learner's Mind, Managing Change, Aging, Motivation, The Essence of Learning. The first session is related to before the first session, during the event, and after the first session.	Criteria: Rubric for assessing student activity and participation  Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Case discussion, brainstorming 3 X 50		Material: Effective adult learning approaches and methods References: Marsick, VJ, & Watkins, KE (2001). Informal and incidental learning. New directions for adult and continuing education, 2001(89), 25-34.	5%	

5	Students are able to understand Giving Feedback and Understanding Your Group	Design a social business model proposal	Criteria: Business model feasibility and innovation, product assessment rubric  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Practice designing a 3 X 50 business model proposal	Material: Social business models and entrepreneurship in the field of adult education Reference: Rothwell, WJ (2008). Adult learning basics. ASTD Press.	5%
6	Students are able to understand Facilitating	Students are able to understand and interpret facilitating in adult learning Facilitating 1. Is facilitating what this group needs? 2. Fake facilitation 3. And the real thing 4. Seeing in the process patterns 5. Contracting with your group 6. High-impact interventions 7. Confronting blockages 8. Handing tears 9. Selfdisciosure 10. Managing participation	Criteria: Suitability and completeness of instruments, product assessment rubrics Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Practice designing 3 X 50 instruments	Material: Monitoring and evaluation system for adult education programs References: Apps, JW (1985). Improving practice in continuing education. Jossey-Bass.	5%
7	Students are able to understand Action Learning and Problem Based Learning. Students are able to understand and interpret Action Learning Problem Based Learning in adult learning	Students are able to understand and interpret Action Learning Problem Based Learning in adult learning	Criteria: attached Form of Assessment : Practical Assessment	Practice compiling 3 X 50 papers	Material: Application of adult education concepts in community empowerment programs Reference: Savicevic, D. (2008). Convergence or divergence or divergence of ideas on andragogy in different countries. International Journal of Lifelong Education, 27(4), 361-378	50%
8			Form of Assessment : Test	3 X 50		15%
9	Students are able to understand Delivering information: lecturing, demonstrating and blended learning and Design for learning	Students are able to understand and interpret Delivering information: lecturing, demonstrating and blended learning in adult learning	Criteria: Feasibility and program innovation, product assessment rubric  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Practice designing a 3 X 50 program proposal	Material: Job training program for skilled workers Reference: Puspitasari, DK, & Rudyanto, HE (2018). Concepts and applications of non-formal education. Gramedia Widiasarana Indonesia.	5%
10	Students are able to understand Evaluating	Students are able to understand and interpret Evaluating in adult learning	Criteria: Suitability and completeness of modules, product assessment rubric	Practice designing 3 X 50 modules	Material: Competency- based learning module Reference: Arifin, J. (2018). Educational management & leadership. Luxima Publishers.	50%
11	Students are able to understand Adult Education in Non-Formal Education Unit Institutions (Homeschooling/LKP/PKBM, etc.)	Students are able to understand and interpret Adult Education in Non-Formal Education Institutions (Homeschooling/LKP/PKBM, etc.)	Criteria: Depth and success of training, direct observation  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Practice 3 X 50 skills training	Material: Job skills training for the general public Reference: Susanto, A. (2017). Vocational education. PT Raja Grafindo Persada.	5%

12	Students are able to understand the Evaluation of Adult Education in Non- Formal Education Unit Institutions	Students are able to understand and interpret evaluations of Adult Education in Non-Formal Education Institutions (Homeschooling/LKP/PKBM, etc.)	Criteria: Effectiveness of evaluation, direct observation  Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Discussion of 3 X 50 training evaluation	Material: Evaluation of job skills training Reference: Ghufron, A. (2016). Innovative learning model based on information technology. Create Independent Library.	5%
13	Students are able to understand the development of adult education in non- formal education units	Students are able to understand the development of adult education in non- formal education units	Criteria: Completeness and clarity of reports, product assessment rubrics Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Practice compiling a 3 X 50 report	Material: Job skills training results report Reference: Indriyani, D. (2017). Improving the quality of vocational education in tertiary institutions. LPPM Unila.	5%
14	Students are able to understand the problems of adult education in non- formal education units	Students are able to understand and interpret the problems of adult education in non-formal education units	Criteria: Presentation skills, direct observation  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Practice presenting the results of the 3 X 50 training	Material: Presentation of results of job skills training Reference: Marwanto, D. (2016). Increasing teacher professionalism. PT Index.	5%
15	Students are able to understand adult education strategies in non-formal education units	Students are able to understand and interpret adult education strategies in non-formal education units	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Reflective, 3 X 50 discussion	Material: Learning reflection and feedback References: Nilson, LB, & Goodson, LA (2018). Online teaching at its best: Merging instructional design with teaching and learning research. John Wiley & Sons.	5%
16			Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities			20%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage					
1.	Participatory Activities	65%					
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	5%					
3.	Practical Assessment	50%					
4.	Practice / Performance	10%					
5.	Test	15%					
		100%					

## Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the
- formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.

  3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.

  6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined
- indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

  Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning,
- Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.

- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.