Document Code



## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Master's Program in Language and Literature Education

## **SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN**

Courses		СО	DE	Course Fan	nily Cre	dit We	ight	SEMESTER	Compilation Date	
Second/Foreign Language Acquisition		881	11102229	Compulsory Study Progra	am T=2	P=0	ECTS=4.48	2	July 18, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION		SP	SP Developer		Course C			Study Program Coordinator		
		Sya	Syafi'ul Anam Ph.D				Dr. Titik Indarti, M.Pd.			
Learning model	Project Base	d Learn	ing							
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course									
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-3		o logical, critical, sy se and in accordanc						n their field of	
	PLO-5	Able to realize affective, social, academic and life skills responsibly and professionally through the application of concepts/science, research results/innovations in the field of language and literature education, as well as in the field of language and literature science.								
	PLO-6	Able to compile ideas, thoughts and scientific arguments that are logical, critical, systematic, creative and innovative in the field of language and literature education, as well as the field of language and literature responsibly and based on academic ethics.								
PLO-7  Able to identify problems in the field of language and literature education, language and literature as research objects and position them on a resear an interdisciplinary or multidisciplinary approach;										
	PLO-10 Mastering the theoretical concepts of language and literature and their applications based on educational philosophy, language philosophy and literary philosophy						sed on			
	PLO-11 Mastering theoretical concepts of language and literature skills in an integrative manner						er			
	PLO-12 Mastering the concept of language and literature education research methodology for the development of language, literature and its learning;						the			
	Program Ob	jective	s (PO)							
	PLO-PO Matrix									
	P.O PLO-3 PLO-5 PLO-6 PLO-7					PLO-10	10 PLO-11 PLO-12			
	PO Matrix a	the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)								
					,	Week				
			1 2 3 4	4 5 6	7 8	9 10	) 11 12	13 14	15 16	
			1 1 1							
Short Course Description	Discussion of language acquisition by utilizing concepts or technical aspects of language acquisition and learning theory through discussions, training, presentations, simulations, or self-study to produce material summaries, papers, or scientific articles that are presented in discussion forums/seminars or also published in journals.									
References	Main :									
			5. (2002). Second la (2000). Understand							
	Supporters:									

Supporting lecturer Prof. Dr. Hj. Lies Amin Lestari, M.A., M.Pd. Syafi'ul Anam, Ph.D.

lecturer	Syafi'ul Anan	n, Ph.D.					
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	E	valuation	Lea Stud	Help Learning, arning methods, ent Assignments, Estimated time]	Learning materials [	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PŎ)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	1	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1			Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	offline		Material: Reference scope : 2. Krashen, S. (2002). Second language acquisition and second language learning. Oxford: Pergamon.	10%
2			Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	offline		Material: Reference scope : 2. Krashen, S. (2002). Second language acquisition and second language learning. Oxford: Pergamon.	10%
3			Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	offline		Material: Reference scope : 2. Krashen, S. (2002). Second language acquisition and second language learning. Oxford: Pergamon.	5%
4			Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	offline		Material: Reference scope : 2. Krashen, S. (2002). Second language acquisition and second language learning. Oxford: Pergamon.	5%
5			Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	offline		Material: Reference scope : 2. Krashen, S. (2002). Second language acquisition and second language learning. Oxford: Pergamon.	10%

7			Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	offline	Material: Reference scope : 2. Krashen, S. (2002). Second language acquisition and second language learning. Oxford: Pergamon.	10%
						370
8	UTS	Able to apply language acquisition studies	Criteria: - Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	OFFLINE		0%
9			Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance			10%
10			Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance			10%
11			Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance			10%
12			Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance			5%

13					5%
15		Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance			5%
14	Students are able to write language acquisition articles	Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	offline		0%
15		Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	offline		5%
16		Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance			5%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	33.33%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	33.33%
3.	Practice / Performance	33.33%
		99.99%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO** (**Sub-PO**) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and

- other equivalent methods.

  10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
  12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.