

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Master's Program in Language and Literature Education

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CODE	CODE		Course Family		Credit Weight		SEMESTER		Cor	Compilation Date							
Research Proposal		8811102247	8811102247						T=2 P=0 ECTS=4.48			3		July	/ 17, 20	)24			
AUTHORIZATION		SP Develope	SP Developer			(	Course Cluster Coordinator			nator	Stud	y Prog	jram C	oordin	ator				
														D	vr. Titik	Indarti,	, M.Pd.		
Learning model	Project Based Lea	rning																	
Program Learning Outcomes	PLO study program which is charged to the course																		
	Program Objectives (PO)																		
(PLO)	РО-1 Н	Have logic, ethics, honesty, as well as a critical and open attitude in writing research proposals.																	
		Apply qualitative, quantitative, or combined research theories and concepts correctly to produce creative, original, and credible works.																	
		evelop problem ccording to scient				s throu	ugh i	nter a	ind mu	ultidiso	ciplinar	у арр	roache	es in th	ne form	of re	search	propos	als
		/rite proposals fo ccountable decisi		mana	ageme	ent an	d dev	/elopn	nent o	f rese	arch i	n acco	ordance	e with	their fi	eld of	study 1	or mak	ing
	PLO-PO Matrix																		
		r																	
		P.O																	
		PO-1																	
		PO-2																	
		PO-3																	
		PO-4																	
	PO Matrix at the e	end of each lea	rning	j stag	je (Su	ıb-PO	)												
		P.O					Week												
						4	F				11 12 13 14 15			10					
		<b>DO</b> 1	1	2	3	4	5	0	'	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		PO-1																	
		PO-2																	
		PO-3														-			
		PO-4																	
Short Course Description	This course helps s also search for sour course provides stu the research propos research proposals.	ces (articles & te: dents with knowle al for their thesis	xtbool edge a . Lect	ks) rel about ture a	ated t resea ctivitie	the t irch me s are	topic. ethod carrie	The s	studen es. At t	ts sho he en	uld be d of thi	able t is cour	o revie se, stu	w relat idents	ed info have to	rmation subm	n. In ac it a full	ldition, versior	this 1 of
References	Main :																		
	Education. 2. Kimberley,	etorius, Anna Poc Clayton: Faculty o N., & Crosling, G. .monash.edu/rlo/	of Edu 2012	ucation	n. dent Q	) manı	ial. C	aulfiel	d East	t, Vic:	Facult	y of Bι	usiness	s and E					
	Supporters:																		
	<ol> <li>Endnote X8</li> <li>Mendeley ⊢</li> <li>Research a</li> </ol>		e jour	nals															

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eva	luation	Lear Studer	Ip Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials	Assessmen Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	[References]	weight (70)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	<ol> <li>To mention what is needed to write a research proposal</li> <li>To agree on contents and structure of research proposal based on the model</li> </ol>	To mention the main contents of a research proposal accurately	Criteria: Mentioning the main contents of a research proposal will accurately get 100 points Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion -1 Deciding on a project		Material: Main components of a research proposal References: Lynette Pretorius, Anna Podorova, Raqib Chowdhury, Rosemary Viete, and Sue March 2020. Writing Proposals and Theses in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: What is meant by research Library: https://www.monash.edu/	3%
2	To select relevant references for the chosen topic	1.To have a list of main references for the topic of interest 2.To make note on EndNote for relevant references	Criteria: To have a list of at least 10 references in Endnote or Mendeley will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Practice using search engines, online libraries and endnotes		Material: Install and updates, searching remote online library Library: Endnote X8 Help Material: Install and updates, searching remote online library Library: Mendeley Help Material: TESOL Quarterly Bibliography: Research articles in reputable journals	2%
3	To write the introductory section of a research proposal	To write general statements followed by general trends and research gaps	Criteria: Writing general statements followed by general trends and research gap will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Drafting to write general statement, dominant trend		Material: research gap References: Lynette Pretorius, Anna Podorova, Raqib Chowdhury, Rosemary Viete, and Sue March 2020. Writing Proposals and Theses in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: research gap Library: https://www.monash.edu/	5%
4	To write research questions in line with the introductory section of the research proposal	To write clear, feasible, logical research questions in line with the research gap	Criteria: Writing clear, feasible, logical research questions in line with the research gap will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Drafting research questions		Material: Good research questions Bibliography: Lynette Pretorius, Anna Podorova, Raqib Chowdhury, Rosemary Viete, and Sue March 2020. Writing Proposals and Theses in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Good research questions Bibliography: Lynette Pretorius, Anna Podorova, Raqib Chowdhury, Rosemary Viete, and Sue March 2020. Writing Proposals and Theses in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education.	5%
5	<ol> <li>To write the significance of the study</li> <li>To write the purpose of the study</li> <li>To write operational definition of terms</li> </ol>	To draft purposes, significance and operational definitions of a research proposal	Criteria: Accurate drafting of purposes of research, significance and operational definition will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Drafting purposes, significance and operational definitions		Material: Objectives Bibliography: Lynette Pretorius, Anna Podorova, Raqib Chowdhury, Rosemary Viete, and Sue March 2020. Writing Proposals and Theses in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Significance and operational definitions Library: https://www.monash.edu/	5%

6	To write literature review chapter in line with research questions	To draft literature review	Criteria: Submitting a draft of literature review of at least 1000 words will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Dragting (	Outlining- Drafting- TEEL	Material: Literature review References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University.	2%
7	To write literature review chapter in line with research questions	To draft literature review	Practice / Performance Criteria: Submitting a draft of literature review of at least 1000 words will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Outlining- Drafting- TEEL	Material: Literature review References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University.	3%
8	To write the introductory section of a research proposal	To write introductory and literature review chapters	Criteria: Writing introductory and literature review chapters of at least 5000 words will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Test	Mid test	Material: Introduction and literature review chapters References: Lynette Pretorius, Anna Podorova, Raqib Chowdhury, Rosemary Viete, and Sue March 2020. Writing Proposals and Theses in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education.	20%
9	To write research method chapter in line with research questions including research design, participants, data, data collection and data analysis procedures	Writing design of research and data collection techniques	Criteria: Writing the design and data collection techniques accurately in line with research questions will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Drafting	Material: research method Library: https://www.monash.edu/ Material: research method References: Lynette Pretorius, Anna Podorova, Raqib Chowdhury, Rosemary Viete, and Sue March 2020. Writing Proposals and Theses in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education.	5%
10	To write research method chapter in line with research questions including research design, participants, data, data collection and data analysis procedures	Writing data analysis techniques	Criteria: Writing data analyzes techniques accurately in line with research questions will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Drafting	Material: research method Library: https://www.monash.edu/ Material: research method References: Lynette Pretorius, Anna Podorova, Raqib Chowdhury, Rosemary Viete, and Sue March 2020. Writing Proposals and Theses in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education.	5%
11	To proofread and edit draft of research proposal	To do peer review of chapter 1	Criteria: Doing one peer review of chatter 1 accurately will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Peer review	Material: Proofreading and editing References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University.	2%
12	To proofread and edit draft of research proposal	To do peer review check out chapter 2	Criteria: Filling in one peer review of chapter 2 check will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Peer review	Material: Proofreading and editing Bibliography: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University.	3%
13	To proofread and edit draft of research proposal	To do peer review check out chapter 3	Criteria: Filling in one peer review check of chapter 3 will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Peer review	Material: Proofreading and editing Bibliography: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University.	2%

14	To combine all the sections/chapters of the thesis proposal into a whole final draft of research proposal	To do revision based on peer review check results	Criteria: Showing the revision will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Doing revisions	Material: Bibliography Editing : Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University.	3%
15	To combine all the sections/chapters of the thesis proposal into a whole final draft of research proposal	To do revision based on peer review check results	Criteria: Shoing the revision will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Doing revisions	Material: Bibliography Editing : Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University.	5%
16	<ol> <li>To write the introductory section of a research proposal</li> <li>To write literature review chapter in line with research questions</li> <li>To write research method chapter in line with research questions including research design, participants, data, data collection and data analysis procedures</li> </ol>	Submitting the full proposal which is written well based on the assessment rubric	Criteria: Submitting the full proposal which is written well based on the assessment rubric will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Test	Final test	Material: Criteria of good research proposal Library: https://www.monash.edu/	30%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	3%
2.	Practice / Performance	47%
3.	Test	50%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
  graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
  obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.