

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Master's Program in Language and Literature Education

Document Code

			SEM	IESTER	LEARN	ING	PLAN		
Courses			CODE	с	ourse Family	,	Credit Weight	SEMESTER	Compilation Date
Analysis	of L	atest Journal Arti	icles 881110321	.3			T=3 P=0 ECTS=6.72	1	July 17, 2024
AUTHOR	IZAT	ION	SP Develo	per		Cours	e Cluster Coordinator	Study Progra Coordinator	am
								Dr. Titik In	darti, M.Pd.
Learning model	I	Project Based L	earning						
Program Learning	1	PLO study prog	gram which is c	harged to the c	course				
Outcom		Program Objec	tives (PO)						
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix							
			P.0						
		PO Matrix at th	e end of each le	arning stage (S	Sub-PO)				
			P.O	2 3 4	5 6 7	8	Week 9 10 11 12		15 16
Short Course Descript	tion	especially TESO online scientific jo articles, and writi identification acco	L. Specifically, this ournal databases, ng annotated bibli	s course equips using reference r ographies and lit interests. Teachi	students with management s erature review ing and learnir	knowled software /s. Stud ng activi	ientific journal articles in dge about selecting goor , evaluating the strengths ents are also equipped v ties are carried out throu nts.	d scientific journ and weakness vith knowledge	nals in various ses of scientific about problem
Referen	ces	Main :							
		<ol> <li>Machi, L.</li> <li>Yeong, F</li> <li>literature</li> </ol>	. A. & McEvoy, B. M. 2014. How . New York: World	F. 2012. The litera to read and criti d Scientific Publis	ature review: S ique a scientif shing Compan	Six steps fic resea y	ide for students . Bucking s to success . California: ( arch article: Notes to gu ences and medicine . Lon	Corwin ide students re	eading primary
		Supporters:							
Support lecturer		Prof. Dr. Darni, M Dr. Roni, M.Hum. Didik Nurhadi, M.	., M.A.						
Week-	eac sta		Ev	aluation		Lear Stude E	elp Learning, rning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Su	b-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Fo		line( ine)	Online ( <i>online</i> )	1	
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(	5)	(6)	(7)	(8)

1	Describe the nature of the latest articles	Able to describe the latest articles	Criteria: 1.Special: 90 to 100; 2.Very good: 76 to 89; 3.Average: 56 to 75; 4.Below average: 0 to 55 Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Discussion and lecture 3 X 50	Material: theme 1 Reference: Yeong, FM 2014. How to read and critique a scientific research article: Notes to guide students reading primary literature . New York: World Scientific Publishing Company	5%
2	Characteristics of cutting-edge journals: international journalMeha	Able to show the characteristics of up-to-date journal articles	Criteria: 1.Answering sequentially, completely and correctly, the score is 5 2.Answering is not sequential, complete and correct, the score is 4 3.Answers that are not in order, incomplete and accurate, the score is 3 4.Answers that are not in order, incomplete and accurate, the score is 3 4.Answers that are not in order, incomplete and inaccurate, the score is 2 5.If you answered incorrectly, the score is 1 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Presentation and Discussion 3 X 50	Material: theme 2 References: Yudkin, B. 2006. Critical reading: Making sense of papers life sciences and medicine. London: Routledge	5%
3	Characteristics of cutting-edge journals: national journals	Able to show the characteristics of cutting- edge journals: national journals	<ul> <li>Criteria:</li> <li>1. Answering sequentially, completely and correctly, the score is 5</li> <li>2. Answering is not sequential, complete and correct, the score is 4</li> <li>3. Answers that are not in order, incomplete and accurate, the score is 3</li> <li>4. Answers that are not in order, incomplete and inaccurate, the score is 2</li> <li>5. If you answered incorrectly, the score is 1</li> </ul>	Discussion 3 X 50	Material: theme 3 References: Yudkin, B. 2006. Critical reading: Making sense of papers life sciences and medicine. London: Routledge	5%

4	Identifying cutting- edge journals: international journals	Able to show up-to-date journal articles	<ul> <li>Criteria:</li> <li>1.Answering sequentially, completely and correctly, the score is 5</li> <li>2.Answering is not sequential, complete and correct, the score is 4</li> <li>3.Answers that are not in order, incomplete and accurate, the score is 3</li> <li>4.Answers that are not in order, incomplete and inaccurate, the score is 2</li> <li>5.If you answered incorrectly, the score is 1</li> </ul>		Material: theme 4 References: Machi, LA & McEvoy, BT 2012. The literature review: Six steps to success . California: Corwin	0%
5	Identifying cutting- edge journals: international journals	Able to identify the latest journals: national journals	Criteria: 1.Answering sequentially, completely and correctly, the score is 5 2.Answering is not sequential, complete and correct, the score is 4 3.Answers that are not in order, incomplete and accurate, the score is 3 4.Answers that are not in order, incomplete and accurate, the score is 2 5.If you answered incorrectly, the score is 1 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment		Material: theme 5 Reference: Yeong, FM 2014. How to read and critique a scientific research article: Notes to guide students reading primary literature . New York: World Scientific Publishing Company	0%

6	Reviewing recent journal articles: international	Students are able to review the latest journal articles: international	Criteria: 1.Answering sequentially, completely and correctly, the score is 5 2.Answering is not sequential, complete and correct, the score is 4 3.Answers that are not in order, incomplete and accurate, the score is 3 4.Answers that are not in order, incomplete and inaccurate, the score is 2 5.If you answered incorrectly, the score is 1 Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Discussion 3 X 50	Material: theme 6 References: Yudkin, B. 2006. Critical reading: Making sense of papers life sciences and medicine. London: Routledge	0%
7	Review/critique of national journal articles	able to review and criticize national journals	Criteria: 1.Answering sequentially, completely and correctly, the score is 5 2.Answering is not sequential, complete and correct, the score is 4 3.Answers that are not in order, incomplete and accurate, the score is 3 4.Answers that are not in order, incomplete and inaccurate, the score is 2 5.If you answered incorrectly, the score is 1 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion 3 X 50	Material: theme 7 References: Yudkin, B. 2006. Critical reading: Making sense of papers life sciences and medicine. London: Routledge	0%

_	LITC					<b>666</b>
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: UTS Form of Assessment : Test	UTS 3 X 50	Material: themes 1-4 References: Machi, LA & McEvoy, BT 2012. The literature review: Six steps to success. California: Corwin Material: themes 1-4 Reference: Yeong, FM 2014. How to read and critique a scientific research article: Notes to guide students reading primary literature. New York: World Scientific Publishing Company Material: adapting the theme References: Yudkin, B. 2006. Critical reading: Making sense of papers life sciences and medicine. London:	20%
9					Routledge Material: theme 8 References: Yudkin, B. 2006. Critical reading: Making sense of papers life sciences and medicine. London: Routledge	0%
10			Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Material: theme 9 Reference: Yeong, FM 2014. How to read and critique a scientific research article: Notes to guide students reading primary literature . New York: World Scientific Publishing Company	5%

	1			
11		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Material: theme 10 Reference: Yeong, FM 2014. How to read and critique a scientific research article: Notes to guide reading primary literature . New York: World Scientific Publishing Company	0%
12		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Material: theme 11 References: Yudkin, B. 2006. Critical reading: Making sense of papers life sciences and medicine. London: Routledge	0%
13		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Material: theme 12 References: Yudkin, B. 2006. Critical reading: Making sense of papers life sciences and medicine. London: Routledge	5%
14		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Material: theme 13 References: Yudkin, B. 2006. Critical reading: Making sense of papers life sciences and medicine. London: Routledge	5%
15		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Material: theme 15 Reference: Yeong, FM 2014. How to read and critique a scientific research article: Notes to guide reading primary literature . New York: World Scientific Publishing Company	0%

16	<ol> <li>able to design research proposals that will be used to accelerate study/thesis writing</li> <li>able to create research proposals using article materials that have been studied</li> </ol>	Form of Assessm Test	UAS ent :	Material:15%themes 1-15References:Yudkin, B.2006.Criticalreading:Makingsense ofpapers lifesciencesandmedicine.London:Routledge
----	--	----------------------------	--------------	--

## Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	19.17%
2.	Portfolio Assessment	4.17%
3.	Practice / Performance	1.67%
4.	Test	35%
		60.01%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.