

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Master's Program in Language and Literature Education

Document Code

OFMEOTED			

				SEN		K LEA	RININ	GPL	AN				
Courses				CODE		Course F	amily		Cred	it Wei	ght	SEMESTER	Compilation Date
Innovatio pedagog		anguage and litera	ature	8811102208					T=2	P=0	ECTS=4.48	2	July 18, 2024
AUTHOR	AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer			Course Cluster Coordinator				Study Program Coordinator		
												Dr. Titik In	ıdarti, M.Pd.
Learning model	9	Case Studies											
Progran Learnin	n	PLO study pro	gram t	hat is charge	ed to the cou	irse							
Outcom		Program Object	tives ((PO)									
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix											
				P.0									
		PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)											
			Р	.0				Weel	k				
				1 2	3 4	5 6	7	8 9	10	11	12 1	.3 14 1	15 16
Short Co Descrip		This course prov of innovation, de learning, approad and media, sear innovations throu development proj	finition ch/meth ching fo igh liter	of innovation, nod level, desig or examples o ature study ac	history of lang In (syllabus), I If language ar tivities, search	puage and l procedures nd literature	iterature l (technique) e learning	earning in es). in the innovatior	novatio classro ns, the	n, leve com), proce	el of innovati language an ess of adapti	on in language d literature lea ng innovations	e and literature rning materials s, and creating
Referen	ces	Main :											
		Ruthled Hyland Londor Waters <i>Teachi</i> Aims http://w Artikel-	dge. , Ken 1: Rou , Alan ng , 47 and ww.ta artikel	., & Wong, tledge. . 2014. Mar 7, pp 92-110 scope. 20 ndfonline.co	Lillian L. haging inno doi:10.101 017. Jurna pm/action/jo jurnal Inn	C. 2016. vation in .7/S02614 al: <i>Innova</i> ournalInfo	Innovat English 1448130 ation in rmation?	tion and languag 000426 Langu 2show=a	Cha e edu <i>iage</i> imsSo	nge i icatio Lea cope&	in English on: A resea rning an &journalCo	<i>Language</i> arch agenda d <i>Teachin</i> g de=rill20	5
		Supporters:											
Support lecturer		Ahmad Munir, S.	Pd., M.I	Ed., Ph.D.								i	i
Week-		abilities of learning stage -PO)		-	luation	<u>е Голин</u>	Offline	Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]			is,	Learning materials References	Assessment Weight (%)
			II	ndicator	Criteria &		Omine	(offline)	0	nine ((online)]	
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4))	((5)		(6)	(7)	(8)

1	Have knowledge of the concepts, scope and examples of the latest innovations in language and literature learning	Explains the concept of language and literature learning innovation	Criteria: 4: the description is correct3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect1: the description is incorrect2	LectureDiscussion 2 X 50	0%	
2	idem meeting 1	Explain the scope of language and literature learning innovations	Criteria: idem meeting 1	LectureDiscussion 2 X 50	0%	
3	idem meeting 1	Explain examples of innovations in language and literature learning at the level of approach/method, design (syllabus), procedures (techniques in the classroom), materials and media for language and literature learning	Criteria: idem meeting 1	Presentation 2 X 50	0%	
4	develop language and literature learning innovations at the level of approaches/methods, design (syllabus), procedures (classroom techniques), language and literature learning materials and media	Designing language and literature learning innovations at the approach/method level based on examples of innovations that have been read	Criteria: 4: the application of concepts, scope and examples in the innovation design is precise and written in a logical flow 3: the application of the concept of scope and examples in the innovation design is forced and written less convincingly 2: the choice of application of the concept of scope and examples in the innovation design is incorrect and written with less convincing1: the choice of application of the scope concept, and examples in the innovation design are inappropriate and written illogically	2 X 50 Workshop	0%	
5	idem meeting 4	Designing language and literature learning innovations at the design level (syllabus)	Criteria: idem meeting 4	2 X 50 Workshop	0%	
6	Idem meeting 4	Designing language and literature learning innovations at the procedural level (techniques in the classroom)	Criteria: Idem meeting 4	Idem meeting 4 2 X 50	0%	
7	idem meeting 4	Designing language and literature learning innovations at the learning material level	Criteria: Idem meeting 4	Idem meeting 4 2 X 50	0%	
8	Same as meetings 1 and 4	All indicators meet 1-7	Criteria: Idem Meeting 1	Test 2 X 50	0%	
9	Idem meeting 4	Designing language and literature learning innovations at the learning media level	Criteria: idem meeting 4	2 X 50 Workshop	0%	

10	develop language and literature learning innovations at the level of approaches/methods, design (syllabus), procedures (classroom techniques), language and literature learning materials and media	Developing innovative plans for language and literature learning at the level of approach/method, design (syllabus), procedures (classroom techniques), materials and media for selected language and literature learning into real form	 Criteria: 1.4: application of the innovation plan to develop the innovation appropriately and written in a logical flow 2.3: the implementation of the innovation design into the development of the innovation is forced and written less convincingly 3.2: the choice of implementing the innovation design to develop the innovation is incorrect and written less convincingly 4.1: the choice of application of the innovation design to develop the innovation design to develop the innovation design 	10 X 50 Workshop		0%
11	develop language and literature learning innovations at the level of approaches/methods, design (syllabus), procedures (classroom techniques), language and literature learning materials and media	Developing innovative plans for language and literature learning at the level of approach/method, design (syllabus), procedures (classroom techniques), materials and media for selected language and literature learning into real form	 Criteria: 1.4: application of the innovation plan to develop the innovation appropriately and written in a logical flow 2.3: the implementation of the innovation design into the development of the innovation is forced and written less convincingly 3.2: the choice of implementing the innovation design to develop the innovation is incorrect and written less convincingly 4.1: the choice of application of the innovation design to develop the innovation design to develop the innovation design to develop the innovation is incorrect and written lilogically 	10 X 50 Workshop		0%

12	develop language and literature learning innovations at the level of approaches/methods, design (syllabus), procedures (classroom techniques), language and literature learning materials and media	Developing innovative plans for language and literature learning at the level of approach/method, design (syllabus), procedures (classroom techniques), materials and media for selected language and literature learning into real form	Criteria: 1.4: application of the innovation plan to develop the innovation appropriately and written in a logical flow 2.3: the implementation of the innovation design into the development of the innovation is forced and written less convincingly 3.2: the choice of implementing the innovation design to develop the innovation is incorrect and written less convincingly 4.1: the choice of application of the innovation design to develop the innovation design	10 X 50 Workshop		0%
13	develop language and literature learning innovations at the level of approaches/methods, design (syllabus), procedures (classroom techniques), language and literature learning materials and media	Developing innovative plans for language and literature learning at the level of approach/method, design (syllabus), procedures (classroom techniques), materials and media for selected language and literature learning into real form	 Criteria: 1.4: application of the innovation plan to develop the innovation appropriately and written in a logical flow 2.3: the implementation of the innovation design into the development of the innovation is forced and written less convincingly 3.2: the choice of implementing the innovation design to develop the innovation is incorrect and written less convincingly 4.1: the choice of application of the innovation design to develop the innovation design to develop the innovation design to develop the innovation is incorrect and written illogically 	10 X 50 Workshop		0%

14	develop language and literature learning innovations at the level of approaches/methods, design (syllabus), procedures (classroom techniques), language and literature learning materials and media	Developing innovative plans for language and literature learning at the level of approach/method, design (syllabus), procedures (classroom techniques), materials and media for selected language and literature learning into real form	 Criteria: 1.4: application of the innovation plan to develop the innovation appropriately and written in a logical flow 2.3: the implementation of the innovation design into the development of the innovation is forced and written less convincingly 3.2: the choice of implementing the innovation design to develop the innovation is incorrect and written less convincingly 4.1: the choice of application of the innovation design to develop the innovation design to develop the innovation is incorrect and written illogically 	10 X 50 Workshop		0%
15	Communicate the results of the development of innovations in language and literature learning at the level of approach/method, design (syllabus), procedures (techniques in the classroom), materials and media for language and literature learning	Presenting the results of developing language and literature learning innovations at selected levels which have become real products	Criteria: idem Meetings 1, 4, 10-14	Presentation 2 X 50		0%
16						0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No Evaluation Percentage

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.