

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Master's Program in Language and Literature Education

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CODE		Cours	Course Family		Cre	Credit Weight		SI	EMESTER	Compilation Date	
My flower Kenkyuho		881110	02019				T=2	2 P=0	ECTS=4.	48	2	July 18, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION		SP De	SP Developer			Cour	Course Cluster Coordinator				Study Program Coordinator		
											Dr. Titik Indarti, M.Pd.		
Learning model	I	Case Studies	5										
Program		PLO study p	program th	at is charge	d to the	e cours	e						
Learning Outcom		Program Objectives (PO)											
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix											
			F	.0									
		PO Matrix a	t the end o	f each learn	ing sta	ge (Su	b-PO)						
			P.O					W	eek				
				1 2 3	4	56	7	8 9	10	11 1	.2 1	.3 14	15 16
Short Course Descript	tion	activities, Ĭab	oratory exe	rcises and sir	nulation	s in or	ler to i	mprove	e resea	rch metho	dology	/ concepts	class meeting in the field of ind outside the
Referen	ces	Main :											
		Son Histe Djar Sed RO. Nor	obudoyo ory of W naris, Edv yawati, E "Pengka nor 6. 197	Jakarta: I riting in Ind var. 1993. I di. 2004. S ian Sastra-	Djamba donesia Filologi astra l -Sastra a, l Ma	atan. <i>a fron</i> <i>i dan (</i> Melayu a Trad de. 19	Caspa The Cara I I: Lint isiona 199. Be	aris, Begi Kerja as Da I Indo ebera	JG. Inings Penel aerah onesia	1975. Inc to CAD litian Filo . Jakarta ." Bahasa	lones ) 150 log i. a: Pu a dar	ian Pale 00. Leid Jakarta sat Baha 1 Sastra	I Museum eography: A en: EJ Brill. Depdikbud. asa Robson, , Tahun IV. dari Robson
		Supporters:											
Support lecturer		KAMIDJAN SETIJAWAN Prof. Dr. Darr	ni, M.Hum.			_							
Week-	of e lear	al abilities each ming stage		Evaluation					Assessment Weight (%)				
	(Su	b-PŌ)	Indicator	Criteria &	Form		line( line)		Online	( online )		]	
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)			(5)			(6)		(7)	(8)

1	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
2	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
3	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
4	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
5	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
6	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
7	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
8	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
9	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
10	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
11	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
12	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
13	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
14	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%
15	Ability to understand learning concepts from start to finish	learning ability	Criteria: In accordance with the assessment criteria at Unesa	Contextual approach / communicative approach 2 X 50		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- **11. The assessment weight** is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.