

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Special Education Masters Study Program

Document Code

UNES	A					рсч	<i>-</i> 10	AI L		uo	uı			ius				·uc	лу .		9	ai	··										
							S	ΕN	1E	ES ⁻	TE	ĒR	L	EΑ	RI	II I	N	G	PL	_A	N												
Courses				COL	ÞΕ					C	ou	rse F	am	ily								С	redi	t We	eight	;		s	EME	STER		ompila ate	ation
Special E		ation Research		8610	01000	27																T	=3	P=0	EC	TS:	-6.72	:	1		+	ıly 17,	2024
AUTHOR		TON		SP [Devel	oper												Со	ourse	e Clu	ıste	r Co	ord	inat	or				tudy				
																														f. Dr.		Masito	oh,
Learning model	j	Case Studies																															
Program Learning		PLO study prog	gram t	hat is	cha	rged	to	the (CO	urse																							
Outcom (PLO)		Program Objectives (PO) PLO-PO Matrix																															
,		PLO-PO Matrix																															
				P.0	0																												
						_																											
		PO Matrix at th	e end	of ead	ch lea	arnin	g s	stage	e (\$	Sub-	PO)																					
			Г	P.O															Wee	ak													7
				.0	1	2		3		4		5	T	6	7		8		9		10		11	1	12		13		14	1	5	16	=
			<u> </u>	I												- 1			l														
Short Course Descript	tion	This course exa approach, resear hypothesis, rese collection techniq educational ethni techniques for pre	ch desi arch qı jues, da ograph	gn and uestion ata an y, and	d prod ns, re alysis I disco	edure seard tech ourse	es, ch niqi an	ideni popu ues), alysi	tific lat (2 s/	ation ion,) Typ text),	an san es (3	nd for nples of re) Pre	mul an sea par	ation d sa rch t ation	of reampli mplii hat a and	ng are I dis	arch tec rele sse	h pr hnic evar min	obler ques, nt in	ms, , res PLB	rese sear (E)	arch ch s peri	vär subje men	iablects ts,	es, the and SSR,	neor set Exp	etical tings oost l	stu , re Fac	idies, seard to, P	frame th ins TK, P	ewoi strun hen	rk, res nents, omeno	earch data ology,
Referen	ces	Main :																															
		 Creswell Denzin, I Pelajar. Hopkins, Khotari, McMillan Neuman, Sunanto, 	Normar David. C.R. 200 , J.H., & , W.L.2	n K.; L 2008. 04. Re & Schu 005. S	A tea searc umach	ther's h Met ner, S Rese	nna gu ho . (2 arc	a S. (uide t dolog 2010) h Me	(se o c gy (. R	cond lassr Meth esea ods Q	ed oor oods rch oual	ition) m res s and in Ed	ear Te duc e ar	ch. N chnic ation nd Qu	Hand lew \ ques) : Evid uantif	Bo ork . Ne dan tatif	c: M ew ce-	of Control Ic Go Deli bas pros	Qualit Graw I hi: Ne ed In ache	tatif Hill ew A	Res Age y . N 35).	eard Inter Iew Print	nati Jers	erje onal ey : n the	(P) Pea e US	Dar Limi rson A.	yatno ted. Edu	o dk cati	k. 20 on.	09. J			
		Supporters:																															
Support lecturer		Prof. Dr. Siti Mas Dr. Endang Purba			Kes.																												
Week-	eac	nal abilities of ach learning age sub-PO)		Evaluation											Help Learn Learning met Student Assign [Estimated			thoo	thods, nments,					Leari mate [lefere	rials ences		Assessme Weight (%						
(4)	(00			Indica				Cri	ter	ia &	For	m			0	fflin		_	fline)		-	On	line	(or	line)				+		
1	ba sp res me (pa co pre the ap res	camining the sic concepts of ecial education search ethodology aradigms, ncepts, epositions, sories, ethodologies, proaches, search designs d procedures)	basic spect rese meth (para cond prep theo meth appr rese	nodolo adigms epts, ositior	the cepts oucation gy s, as, agies, es, lesign	of in		cor de: 2.3: cor sin 3.2: sin 4.1: mir 5.0:	Co mp tail Co mp npl Inc nin di	mple onen ed comple com	its a onte ite its a scrip lete	ents and ption and and and	n		ature		_	et Le	earnir	ng					(6)				(7)		0%	-

2	Examining the basic concepts of special education research methodology (identification and formulation of research problems, research variables, theoretical studies, framework, hypotheses)	Reviewing the basic concepts of research methodology (identification and formulation of research problems, research variables, theoretical studies, framework, hypotheses)	Criteria: 1.4: Complete components and detailed contents 2.3: Complete components and simple description 3.2: Incomplete and simple 4.1: Incomplete and minimal description 5.0: did not prepare a report	InquiryDiscussionPresentation 3 X 50	0%
3	Examining the basic concepts of special education research methodology (research questions, research population, samples and sampling techniques, research subjects and settings, research instruments, data collection techniques, data analysis techniques)	Review basic concepts of research methodology (research questions, research population, samples and sampling techniques, research subjects and settings, research instruments, data collection techniques, data analysis techniques)	Criteria: 1.4: Complete components and detailed contents 2.3: Complete components and simple description 3.2: Incomplete and simple 4.1: Incomplete and minimal description 5.0: did not prepare a report	InquiryDiscussionPresentation 3 X 50	0%
4	Identifying types of research that are relevant for PLB (experiment/SSR, Expost facto, PTK)	Select and sort the types of research that are relevant for PLB (experimental/SSR, Expost facto, PTK)	Criteria: 1.4: correct content and placement; 2.3: the content is correct, there is a placement error, OR the content is incorrectly placed 3.2: partially correct content, and partially correct placement 4.1: partially correct and incorrect placement OR correct placement and incorrect content.	ScientificInquery 3 X 50	0%
5	Identifying types of research that are relevant for PLB (phenomenology, educational ethnography, discourse/text analysis)	Selecting and sorting the types of research that are relevant for PLB (phenomenology, educational ethnography, discourse/text analysis)	Criteria: 1.4: correct content and placement; 2.3: the content is correct, there is a placement error, OR the content is incorrectly placed 3.2: partially correct content, and partially correct placement 4.1: partially correct and incorrect placement OR correct placement and incorrect content.	Scientific direct instruction recitation 3 X 50	0%
6	Reviewing national and international journal articles to support the focus of the selected research type (Experiment, SSR, Expost Facto, PTK, Phenomenology, educational ethnography, and discourse/text analysis)	1.Find articles in national journals 2.Find articles in international journals	Criteria: 1.4: find articles and articles according to the field 2.3: find articles and articles that do not match the field 3.2: found the article and the article is not true 4.1: finds the opinion and its content irrelevant to the field	ScientificInquery 3 X 50	0%

	<u> </u>			T	 	
7	Prepare a Pre- thesis proposal according to the type of research chosen (Experiment, SSR, Expost Facto, PTK, Phenomenology, educational ethnography, and discourse/text analysis)	1.Determining the problem 2.Compile the background of the problem 3.Develop a problem formulation 4.Develop goals and benefits	Criteria: 1.4: complete and correct content and attractive appearance 2.3: the content is complete and correct, the appearance is not attractive OR the appearance is attractive but there are inaccuracies in the content 3.2: the content is partly correct, the appearance is attractive 4.1: the content is incorrect and the appearance is not attractive	ScientificInquery 3 X 50		0%
8	Able to actualize meeting material 1 - 7 in the form of a Sub Summative Examination	Answering UTS questions	Criteria: Prepare reports that meet standards	Discovery 3 X 50		0%
9	Prepare a Pre- thesis proposal according to the type of research chosen (Experiment, SSR, Expost Facto, PTK, Phenomenology, educational ethnography, and discourse/text analysis)	1.Compile a literature review 2.Develop research methods 3.compiling a bibliography	Criteria: 1.4: complete and correct content and attractive appearance 2.3: the content is complete and correct, the appearance is not attractive OR the appearance is attractive but there are inaccuracies in the content 3.2: the content is partly correct, the appearance is attractive 4.1: the content is incorrect and the appearance is not attractive	InquiriesDiscussionPresentation 3 X 50		0%
10	Present a pre- thesis proposal (minimum 2 titles) and discuss it together in class discussions	1.Convey research ideas 2.Conveying the research focus 3.Delivering a Literature Review 4.Conveying Research Methods	Criteria: 1.4: complete and correct content and attractive appearance 2.3: the content is complete and correct, the appearance is not attractive OR the appearance is attractive but there are inaccuracies in the content 3.2: the content is partly correct, the appearance is attractive 4.1: the content is incorrect and the appearance is not attractive	ScientificCollaborative 3 X 50		0%
11	Present a pre- thesis proposal (minimum 2 titles) and discuss it together in class discussions	1.Convey research ideas 2.Conveying the research focus 3.Delivering a Literature Review 4.Conveying Research Methods	Criteria: 1.4: complete and correct content and attractive appearance 2.3: the content is complete and correct, the appearance is not attractive OR the appearance is attractive but there are inaccuracies in the content 3.2: the content is partly correct, the appearance is attractive 4.1: the content is incorrect and the appearance is not attractive	ScientificCollaborative 3 X 50		0%

Development pre-						
instruments based on the results of ordered to sometime and correct, concerned and correct, often discussions 1.4. content is conference and correct, not coherent/coherent 3.2 the content is incomplete, the explanation is partly coherent/coherent 4.1 content, explanation is partly coherent/coherent 2.3 the content is incomplete. The explanation is partly coherent/coherent 3.2 the content is complete and correct, not coherent/coherent 3.2 the content is concerned from these sourced from these sourced from these sourced from these sourced from the article 3.3 study the end of the article 4.3 study the end of the article 5.3 study the end of the article 6.3 study the end of the article 8.3 study the end of the article 9.3 study the end of the article 9.3 study the end of the article 1.3 study the end of the article	12	thesis proposal (minimum 2 titles) and discuss it together in class	research ideas 2.Conveying the research focus 3.Delivering a Literature Review 4.Conveying Research	1.4: complete and correct content and attractive appearance 2.3: the content is complete and correct, the appearance is not attractive OR the appearance is attractive but there are inaccuracies in the content 3.2: the content is partly correct, the appearance is attractive 4.1: the content is incorrect and the appearance is not		0%
results reports in the form of a thesis Initial chart of the thesis 2.Examine the body of the thesis article 3.Examining the final part of the thesis Is a content to coherent/coherent 3.2: the content is incomplete, the explanation is correct, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content, explanation is sourced from theses Initial chart of the the the the body of the article abstract 2.Examining the body of the article accomplete and correct, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content, explanation is sequence is wrong Initial chart of the the the body of the article assured from theses Initial chart of the these sourced in the the body of the article assured from these sourced from these sourced from the sex planation is correct, not coherent/coherent 3.2: the content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 3.2: the content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content is incomplete in the sex planation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content is incomplete in the sex planation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content is incomplete in the sex planation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content is incomplete in the sex planation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content is not provided in the sex planation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content is not provided in the sex planatio	13	instruments based on the results of pre-proposal	into sub variables into indicators to become	1.4: contents are complete and correct, coherent/coherent 2.3: the content is incomplete, the explanation is correct, not coherent/coherent 3.2: the content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content, explanation,		0%
scientific articles sourced from theses 1.4: contents are complete and correct, coherent/coherent 2.3: the content is incomplete, the explanation is correct, not coherent/coherent 3.2: the content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content, explanation, sequence is wrong 1.4: contents are complete and correct, coherent/coherent 2.3: the content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content, explanation, sequence is wrong	14	results reports in	initial chart of the thesis 2.Examine the body of the thesis article 3.Examining the final part of the	1.4: contents are complete and correct, coherent/coherent 2.3: the content is incomplete, the explanation is correct, not coherent/coherent 3.2: the content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content, explanation,		0%
SEMESTER 3 X 50	15	scientific articles sourced from	article abstract 2.Examine the body of the article 3.Study the end	1.4: contents are complete and correct, coherent/coherent 2.3: the content is		0%
			or the article	explanation is correct, not coherent/coherent 3.2: the content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content, explanation,		

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

	idation i cit	cintage recot	^
No	Evaluation	Percentage	-
		0%	

Notes
1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.

- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined
 indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or
 qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.