

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Special Education Masters Study Program

Document Code

				S	EMEST	FER LE	ARNII	NG F	PLA	N					
Courses	i			CODE Course Family				Credit Weight			:	SEMESTER	Compilation Date		
Philosop	Philosophy of Special Education			8610100026						T=2	P=0	ECTS=4	1.48	1	July 17, 2024
AUTHORIZATION			SP Develop	er			Cours	se Clu	uster (	Coordi	nator		Study Prog Coordinato		
														Prof. Dr.	Siti Masitoh, 1.Pd.
Learning model	J	Case Studies													
Program		PLO study program that is charged to the course													
Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)		Program Object	ctives	(PO)											
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix	(												
				P.0	]										
		PO Matrix at th	ie end	l of each lear	ning stage	(Sub-PO)									
			F	P.O				W	eek						
				1	2 3	4 5 6	5 7	8 9	9	10	11	12	13	14	15 16
Short Course Descript	tion	This course exal ontology, episten The discussion a nature of educat children with sp thinking on strate and equip studer field of learning f	nology Ilso inc ional p ecial n egies, c nts to b	and axiology i cludes providin philosophy, goa needs in imple delivery technic pe able to make	n education, g understand ls, functions menting the ques and ass e decisions in	the implemen ling and know and benefits thoughts of e essment of le	tation of ph /ledge, as w for obtainin educational earning for c	ilosophio vell as ex g skills i philosop children v	cal sc xperie in the phers with s	hools ence, s cogni . Imple pecial	for the skills to tive, af ementa needs	education student fective a ation of to plan	on of o ts thro and ps the in , apply	children with ugh theorie sychomotor plications , modify, an	a special needs. s, concepts, the development of of philosophers' alyze, evaluate
Referen	ces	Main :													
		Sarjanav 2. Gunawa 3. Kuhn. Tl 4. Liang Th 5. Madden 6. Moore,T 7. Ruitenbe 8. Sadullof 9. Soemarg 10. Suriasur 11. Suriasur 11. Suriasur	wiyata n.2009 nomas ne. Gie, Edwa .W.198 erg, Cla n. Uyoh gono, S nantri, nantri, a.	Tamansiswa. 9. Menuju Jati I 5. 2005. Pera 2. 2000. Pengar ard H. 1968. Th 82.Philosophy audia W. 2012 1. 2011. Penga Soejono. 2004. Jujun. 2002. F	Diri Pendidika n Paradigma ntar Filsafat II e Structure o of education: Philosophy a ntar Filsafat I Pengantar F ilsafat Ilmu S nu Dalam P	in yang Meng Dalam revolu mu, Yogyaka f Scientific Th an introductio and Lived Exp Pendidikan, B illsafat Louis ( iebuah Penga erspektif, Se	indonesia. Y isi Sains, Ba rta: Liberty. iought, Lono on.London:F oerience: A andung: Alf D. Kattsoff. Intar Popule buah Kum	Yogya: C andung: don: Rou Routledg Phenom abeta Terjema r . Jaka pulan K	Gadjal Rema utledg je & K nenolo nh. Yo rta: Pi arang	h Mad ajaRos le & Ke Cegan ogical I gya: T usaka	a Univ sdakary egan P Paul. Reviva ïara W Harap	ersity Pr ya aul I.IIIinois: acana an.	Philos	sophy of Ed	yya: Universitas ucation. Yayasan Obor
		Supporters:													
Support lecturer		Dr. Asri Wijiastut	i, M.Pd	d.					_				_		
Week-	Fin eac sta	al abilities of h learning ge b-PO)		Evaluation		0 <b>F</b>	Learning Student A [Estim		Help Learning, .earning methods, .dent Assignments, [ Estimated time]			Learning materials References ]	Assessment Weight (%)		
(1)		(2)		Indicator		a & Form		( offline	e)	0		online )	)	(7)	(9)
(1)		(2)		(3)	(	(4)		(5)			(6	7		(7)	(8)

1	Understand the competencies, descriptions, sequence of material for the Philosophy of Education Special Education course and lecture contracts	Mentions competencies, descriptions, sequence of material for Philosophy of Education courses for PLB	Criteria: 1.4: mention and explain the 4 CPs correctly 2.3: just mention and explain correctly the 3 CPs 3.2: name and explain correctly 2 CP 4.1: mention and explain 1 CP 5.0: did not answer	RepositoryDiscussion 2 X 50		0%
2	Understanding the nature of Philosophy Understanding the scope of Educational Philosophy	<ol> <li>Describe the nature of philosophy</li> <li>Outlining the scope of Educational Philosophy</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Criteria:</li> <li>1.4: the writing is close to the same or 300 words, and describes the nature of giftedness and the scope of education for gifted children correctly.</li> <li>2.3: the writing is generally correct, only one aspect is incorrectly explained</li> <li>3.2: the writing only contains two correct aspects.</li> <li>4.1: writing in general does not answer commands.</li> </ul>	Inquiry 2 X 50		0%
3	Describe the nature of educational philosophy. Describe the three main foundations of educational philosophy	<ol> <li>Formulate the concept of educational philosophy</li> <li>Formulate the concepts of ontology, epistemology, axiology in educational philosophy</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.4: the writing is close to the same or 200 words, and describes the concept of educational philosophy, the three foundations of educational philosophy correctly. 2.3: the writing is generally correct, only one aspect is incorrectly explained 3.2: the writing only contains two correct aspects. 4.1: writing in general does not answer commands.	Scientific Recitation 2 X 50		0%
4	Identifying the implications of the essentialism philosophy in the education of children with special needs	Shows the implications of the idea- essentialism philosophy in learning children with special needs	<ul> <li>Criteria:</li> <li>1.4: correct content and placement;</li> <li>2.3: the content is correct, there is a placement error, OR the content is incorrectly placed</li> <li>3.2: partially correct content, and partially correct placement</li> <li>4.1: partially correct and incorrect placement OR correct placement and incorrect content.</li> </ul>	inquirydiscussion 2 X 50		0%

-	r				· · ·	
5	Describe the implications of the Essentialism philosophy in the education of children with special needs	Formulate the implications of the essentialist philosophy in the learning of children with special needs	Criteria: 1.4: correct content, coherent/coherent, maximum length 150 words. 2.3: correct content, not coherent/coherent, maximum 150 words, 3.2: partially incorrect content, not coherent/coherent, less than 100 words long, 4.1: wrong content	Discussionrecitation 2 X 50		0%
6	Describe the principles of progressivism philosophy in the education of children with special needs	Demonstrates the principles of progressivism philosophy in the education of children with special needs	Criteria: 1.4: correct content, coherent/coherent, maximum length 150 words. 2.3: correct content, not coherent/coherent, maximum 150 words, 3.2: partially incorrect content, not coherent/coherent, less than 100 words long, 4.1: wrong content	Recitationdiscovery 2 X 50		0%
7	Describe the concept of perennialism in the education of children with special needs	<ol> <li>Compile a chart of the concept of perennialism in the education of children with special needs</li> <li>Presents a chart of the basic principles of perennialism in the education of children with special needs</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Criteria:</li> <li>1.4: say completely and explain correctly</li> <li>2.3: call incomplete and explain correctly</li> <li>3.2: mention some and explain correctly</li> <li>4.1: mention some and explain wrong</li> </ul>	Collaborative Recitation 2 X 50		0%
8	Meetings 1-7	Meetings 1-7	Criteria: Each number has a weight between 5-20 with a total value of 100	2 X 50 writing test		0%
9 1. 2.	Analysis of 1. journals about the nature of perennialism 2. Analyze the implications of perennialism for the education of children with special needs	Explain the essence of perennialism Present the results of the analysis of the implications of perennialism for the education of children with special needs	Criteria: 4: mention 2 fields and explain them correctly. 3: mention 2 fields, and explain what is wrong. 2: mentions 2 fields, explains everything wrong 1: call wrong and explain wrong.	Scientific Collaborative 2 X 50		0%
10	Applying the basics of existentialism 1. 2.	Prepare a paper on the basic concepts of existentialism in the education of children with special needs Analyze the implications of existentialism on curriculum, methods, and teachers	Criteria: 4: mention 2 fields and explain them correctly. 3: mention 2 fields, and explain what is wrong. 2: mentions 2 fields, explains everything wrong 1: call wrong and explain wrong.	Inquiry Discussion 2 X 50		0%

11	Analyzing journals about the nature of behaviorism. Analyzing the implications of realism for the education of children with special needs	<ol> <li>Explains the essence of behaviorism</li> <li>Present the results of the analysis of the implications of behaviorism for the education of children with special needs</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.4: contents are complete and correct, coherent/coherent 2.3: the content is incomplete, the explanation is correct, not coherent/coherent 3.2: the content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content, explanation, sequence is wrong	Scientific Recitation 2 X 50		0%
12	Analyzing journals about the nature of the pragmatism school. Analyzing the implications of the pragmatism school for the education of children with special needs	<ol> <li>Explaining the essence of the flow of pragmatism</li> <li>Present the results of the analysis of the implications of the pragmatism school for the education of children with special needs</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.4: contents are complete and correct, coherent/coherent 2.3: the content is incomplete, the explanation is correct, not coherent/coherent 3.2: the content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content, explanation, sequence is wrong	Humanistic Discussion 2 X 50		0%
13	Applying the basic philosophy of education in Indonesia	<ol> <li>Explaining Pancasila as the nation's ideology</li> <li>Analyze the key components of alignment of state goals and educational goals</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.4: contents are complete and correct, coherent/coherent 2.3: the content is incomplete, the explanation is correct, not coherent/coherent 3.2: the content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content, explanation, sequence is wrong	Humanistic Discussion 2 X 50		0%
14	Applying the thoughts of Indonesian educational figure Kihajar Dewantara	<ol> <li>Explains Kihajar Dewantara's thoughts in education</li> <li>Analyze the key components of Kihajar Dewantara's thinking in curriculum, teaching methods, and teachers</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.4: contents are complete and correct, coherent/coherent 2.3: the content is incomplete, the explanation is correct, not coherent/coherent 3.2: the content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content, explanation, sequence is wrong	Discussion Inquiry 2 X 50		0%

15	Analyzing the philosophical goals of education in Indonesia	Describes philosophical thinking in the aims of education in Indonesia	Criteria: 1.4: contents are complete and correct, coherent/coherent 2.3: the content is incomplete, the explanation is correct, not coherent/coherent 3.2: the content is incomplete, the explanation is partly incorrect, not coherent/coherent 4.1: content, explanation, sequence is wrong	HumanisticDiscovery 2 X 50		0%
16	Meetings 1-15	Meetings 1-15	Criteria: Each item has a weight of 10-20 with a maximum total score of 100	Test 2 X 50		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No Evaluation Percentage 0%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
  graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
  obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning, controllative counting, contextual cearning, reject based cearning, and other equivalent methods.
   Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.