

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts English Language Education Masters Study Program

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CODE	Course Family		Cred	lit We	ight	SEMESTER	Compilation Date	
Material Development in ELT		8810303008			T=2	P=1	ECTS=6.72	2	July 17, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION		SP Developer		Course Cluster Coordinator		Study Program Coordinator				
								Ahmad Munir, S.Pd., M.Ed., Ph.D.		
Learning model	Project Based	ject Based Learning						,		
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course									
Learning Outcomes	PLO-5									
(PLO)	PLO-8 In accordance with their field of expertise, prepare scientific conceptions and study results based on scientific rules, procedures and ethics in the form of a thesis or other equivalent form, and upload them on the university website, after the paper has been published in an accredited scientific journal or accepted in an international journal									
	PLO-10	Able to produce innovative and tested learning designs or models based on learning technology for general English or English for specific purposes								
	PLO-13 Mastering the theories, concepts and models of learning and learning English as a foreign language for general English learning and English for specific purposes based on learning technology									
	Program Objectives (PO)									
	PLO-PO Matrix									
			P.O PL	0-5		PLO	-8	PLO-1	0 PL	0-13
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)									
			P.O		- 1		Neek			45 40
			1 2 3 4	56	7	8	9 1	.0 11 12	13 14	15 16
Short Course Description	media. This cc English teachin also online te materials. Bef analyze the ex his/her own la activities are cc learning materi	ourse ng an aching ore ha kisting nguag arried	ned to make the student covers the theory and a d learning. The materials g learning materials. The aving the ability to dever teaching learning materials for out through group preser his course uses Project-E	aspects o s develop is course lop the t rials. In tl their lang ntations, d	f de ed ir each nis c guag iscus	velopi nclude o dise ing le ourse e cou ssions	ng tea hand cusses arning the s rse as	aching learnir outs, textboo s the authen materials, to students have s a final proje	ng material an oks, PPT pres ticity or local he students s e the opportur ect of the sem	d its media ir entations, and value loaded hould critically hity to develop lester. Lecture
References	Main :									

1							
	<ol> <li>Azarnoosh, M., Zeraatpishe, M., Faravani, A., &amp; Kargozari, H.R. (Eds). 2016. Issues in material development. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.</li> <li>Tomlinson, B. (Ed.). 2011. Materials development in language teaching. Cambridge: Cambrid University Press.</li> <li>Widodo, H.P., Perfecto, M.R., Van Canh, L. &amp; Buripakdi, A. 2018. Situating Moral and Cultural Values ELT Materials. London: Springer.</li> <li>Chan, W. M., Chin, K. N., Nagami, M., &amp; Suthiwan, T. (Eds.). 2011. Media in foreign language teach and learning Vol 5. London: Walter de Gruyter.</li> <li>Smaldino, S., Lother, D., &amp; Russel, J. 2019. Instructional Technology and Media for Learning 12th Essex: Pearson Education Limited.</li> <li>Martin, F., &amp; Betrus, A.K. 2019. Digital Media for Learning. Springer: Cham, Switzerland.</li> <li>Mishan, F &amp; Timmis, I. 2015. Materials development for TESOL. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.</li> <li>Norton, J., &amp; Buchanan, H. (Eds.). 2022. The Routledge handbook of materials development for language teaching. London: Routledge.</li> </ol>						
Support lecturer	Dr. Widyastuti,		h, M.Hum., M.A., P .D.	h.D.			
	Final abilities of each learning	Eva	luation	Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials	Assessment
week-	stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( <i>online</i> )	References	Weight (%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1		to define materials, materials development and learning media		3 X 50			0%
2		to differentiate between selecting, adopting, adapting and developing ELT materials		3 X 50			0%
3		to explain the principles of ELT materials development		3 X 50			0%
4		to explain frameworks for developing ELT materials and learning media		3 X 50			0%
5		to explain frameworks for developing ELT materials and learning media		3 X 50			0%
6		to analyze the suitability of commercial ELT materials with the principles and frameworks for developing ELT materials and learning media		3 X 50			0%

	1	<u>г</u>		1	
7	to apply the principles and frameworks for developing ELT materials and learning media in real terms to use information technology in developing ELT materials and media in real terms	3 X 5	)	0%	
8	all above	3 X 5	)	0%	
9	to apply the principles and frameworks for developing ELT materials and learning media in real terms to use information technology in developing ELT materials and media in real terms	3 X 5	)	0%	
10	to apply the principles and frameworks for developing ELT materials and learning media in real terms to use information technology in developing ELT materials and media in real terms	3 X 5	)	0%	
11	to apply the principles and frameworks for developing ELT materials and learning media in real terms to use information technology in developing ELT materials and media in real terms	3 X 5		0%	

				[	
12		to apply the principles and frameworks for developing ELT materials and learning media in real terms to use information technology in developing ELT materials and media in real terms	3 X 50		0%
13		to show responsibility when developing ELT materials and their media	3 X 50		0%
14		to show responsibility when developing ELT materials and their media	3 X 50		0%
15	to apply the principles and frameworks of ELT Materials development into a tangible form and media. to utilize information technology for developing ELT materials and their media at any level of education.	to show responsibility when developing ELT materials and their media	Project 3 X 50	Projects	0%
16		All above	3 X 50		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No Evaluation Percentage 0%

## Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.

- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
  12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.