

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts English Language Education Masters Study Program

Document Code

| | | | SEM | FS | TE | P | ΙF | ΔΕ | NII | NC | : P | ΙΔΙ | NI | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|--------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | | | JEIVI | LJ | ''- | .r\ | | | ZIVI | IVC |) F | LA | N | | | | | | |
| Courses | | | CODE | | | | Course Family | | | Cr | Credit Weight | | | | SEME | STER | Cor | npilati e | |
| Instructional Design | | | 8810303012 | 2 | | | | | | | T= | 2 P= | 1 E | CTS=6 | 5.72 | ; | 3 | Aug 202 | just 14 3 |
| AUTHORIZAT | TION | | SP Develop | er | | | | | | Cou | ırse C | luste | r Co | ordina | tor | Study | Prog | ram r | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Ahmad Mun | ir, Ph | .D | | | | | Ahm | nad M | unir, F | h.D | | | Ahma | | ir, S.P h.D. | d., M.E |
| Learning model | Project Based Lo | earnir | ng | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | PLO study prog | jram | that is char | ged 1 | to th | е со | urse | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Outcomes (PLO) | PLO-10 Able to produce innovative and tested learning designs or models based on learning technology for general English or English for specific purposes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (1 20) | Program Objectives (PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 1 | Utilizing science and technology as a tool to implement English language learning design | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 2 | Mast Engli | Mastering the relationship between the English education curriculum, English learning management, and English learning design as a basis for implementing English learning design | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 3 | Maki | ng strategic d | ecisio | ns ir | ı imp | leme | nting | Engli | ish le | arnin | g desi | gn | | | | | | |
| | PO - 4 | Resp | onsible and related to pre | with a | a cha ng Er | racte nglish | er of lear | faith, ning (| intell desig | ligent ns | t, inde | pende | ent, | honest, | , cari | ng, an | d toug | h in c | omple |
| | PLO-PO Matrix | | · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.O | | PL | .0-10 |) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO Matrix at the | e end | l of each lea | rnin | a sta | age (| Sub | -PO) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | , | -9- (| | , | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.O | | | | | | | | | Weel | (| | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| | | Р | O-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Р | O-2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Р | O-3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Р | 0-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short | This course provi | des th | ne students w | ith the | eoret | ical a | ınd p | ractic | al kn | owled | dge o | the u | se o | f Englis | sh in | specifi | c fields | of sc | ience |
| Course Description | technology; curric language features students have the learning. | ulum s; mat | design; appro terials develo | ache omen | s, m t; an | ethoc d prir | ls an nciple | d tecl es in t | nniqu each | es; ty ing a | /pes o ind le | of auth arning | ention (| c texts SE and | of diff ESP | ferent conte | generi xts. In | this c | ctures a ourse, |
| | | | ı | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

- 1. Brown, H. D., & Lee, H. (2015). Chapter 9 Curriculum and Course Design, Chapter 10 Lesson Planning in Teaching by Principles (pp.178-218). Melbourne: Pearson Education Australia
- Richards, J.C. 2017. Curriculum development in language teaching second edition. Cambridge: CUP
- Richards, J.C. 2017. Curriculum de
 Kurikulum Merdeka 2022
 Kurikulum MBKM perguruan tinggi

Supporters:

Supporting lecturer

Dr. Ali Mustofa, S.S., M.Pd. Dr. Him'mawan Adi Nugroho, S.Pd., M.Pd. Ahmad Munir, S.Pd., M.Ed., Ph.D.

| Week- | Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) | Evaluation | aluation Criteria & Form | Lear Stude | elp Learning, rning methods, ent Assignments, stimated time] Online (online) | Learning materials [References | Assessment Weight (%) | |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|--|--------------------------|--|
| | , , | | | offline) | , , | 1 | | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | |
| 1 | To explain related terms: language curriculum, language program, syllabus, and design of language instruction and their links | To explain related terms: language curriculum, language program, syllabus, and design of language instruction and their links. | Criteria: To explain the links between curriculum and syllabus accurately will get 100 points Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance | Note taking for definitions of: language curriculum, language program, syllabus, and design of language instruction. Discussing links among language curriculum, language program, syllabus, and design of language instruction. 3 X 50 | | Material: definition of curriculum and syllabus References: Brown, HD, & Lee, H. (2015). Chapter 9 Curriculum and Course Design, Chapter 10 Lesson Planning in Teaching by Principles (pp.178-218). Melbourne: Pearson Education Australia Curriculum development process sessions References: Richards, JC 2017. Curriculum development in language teaching second edition. Cambridge: CUP | 5% | |
| 2 | 1.To list syllabus models of English language teaching 2.To explain popular syllabus models of English language teaching in Indonesia | 1.To list syllabus models of English language teaching 2.To explain popular syllabus models of English language teaching in Indonesia | Criteria: Listing all 10 Sybbaus models will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance | Reading syllabus models and their features 3 X 50 | | Material: Syllabus models References: Richards, JC 2017. Curriculum development in language teaching second edition. Cambridge: CUP | 5% | |

| 3 | To explain the place of lesson planning in syllabus implementation | To explain the place of lesson planning in syllabus implementation | Criteria: Explain syllabus design and classroom implementation accurately will get 100 points Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance | Reading and discussion 3 X 50 | Material: Lesson plan versus syllabus References: Brown, HD, & Lee, H. (2015). Chapter 9 Curriculum and Course Design, Chapter 10 Lesson Planning in Teaching by Principles (pp.178-218). Melbourne: Pearson Education Australia | 5% |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|----|
| 4 | To develop syllabus for ELT at schools | To develop syllabus for ELT at schools | Criteria: Draft of syllabus of English subject in a school Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance | Reading curriculum and syllabus model 3 X 50 | Material: CP element Library: Merdeka Curriculum 2022 | 2% |
| 5 | Developing school syllabus (including language strands): learning outcomes and objectives | To develop learning outcomes into objects | Criteria: Developing accurately 4 objectives from a learning outcome will get 100 points Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance | Reading and workshop 3 X 50 | Material: Learning outcomes and learning objectives Library: Merdeka Curriculum 2022 | 3% |
| 6 | Developing school syllabus (including language strands): learning outcomes and objectives | To develop learning outcomes into objectives | Criteria: Developing accurately 4 objectives from a learning outcome will get 100 points Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance | Reading and workshop 3 X 50 | Material: Learning outcomes and learning objectives Library: Merdeka Curriculum 2022 | 3% |
| 7 | Developing university syllabus: learning outcomes and objectives | To develop learning outcomes into objectives in a university syllabus | Criteria: Developing accurately 4 objectives from a learning outcome will get 100 points Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance | Reading and workshop 3 X 50 | Material: Learning outcomes and learning objectives Library: Merdeka Curriculum 2022 | 2% |

| 8 | 1.To develop syllabus for ELT at schools 2.To develop syllabus for ELT at university | To develop syllabus for ELT at schools and universities | Criteria: Submitting the developed syllabus for ELT at schools and universities will get 100 points Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Written test 3 X 50 | Material: Syllabus examples References: Richards, JC 2017. Curriculum development in language teaching second edition. Cambridge: CUP Material: Guide to preparing the MBKM curriculum Literature: MBKM curriculum for universities | 20% |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|-----|
| 9 | 1.To develop a language program 2.To pan for a language program syllabus (including commercial English courses), needs-based | Producing plan for language developing program syllabus | Criteria: Producing plan for language developing program syllabus will get 100 points Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Reading- discussion 3 X 50 | Material: Examples of language program syllabus Reference: Richards, JC 2017. Curriculum development in language teaching second edition. Cambridge: CUP | 5% |
| 10 | To determine goals, objectives and program structure | Producing need analysis data collection to be converted into goals | Criteria: Producing need analysis data collection to be converted into goals will get 100 points Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance | Doing needs analysis research 3 X 50 | Material: need analysis and goals References: Richards, JC 2017. Curriculum development in language teaching second edition. Cambridge: CUP | 5% |
| 11 | To determine materials and assessment for the syllabus of language program | Producing materials and assessment for the syllabus | Criteria: Producing materials and assessment for the syllabus will get 100 points Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance | Developing syllabus 3 X 50 | Material: need analysis and goals References: Richards, JC 2017. Curriculum development in language teaching second edition. Cambridge: CUP | 2% |
| 12 | To determine materials and assessment for the syllabus of language program | Producing materials and assessment for the syllabus | Criteria: Producing materials and assessment for the syllabus will get 100 points Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance | Developing syllabus 3 X 50 | Material: need analysis and goals References: Richards, JC 2017. Curriculum development in language teaching second edition. Cambridge: CUP | 2% |

| 13 | to develop hybrid syllabus for language program at university | Drafting hybrid syllabus | Criteria: Complete drafting of hybrid syllabus for language program at university will get 100 points Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance | Developing hybrid syllabus 3 X 50 | Material: University program References: Richards, JC 2017. Curriculum development in language teaching second edition. Cambridge: CUP Material: RPS OBE Library: College MBKM curriculum | 3% |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|-----|
| 14 | to develop hybrid syllabus for language program at university | Drafting hybrid syllabus | Criteria: Complete drafting of hybrid syllabus for language program at university will get 100 points Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance | Developing hybrid syllabus 3 X 50 | Material: University program References: Richards, JC 2017. Curriculum development in language teaching second edition. Cambridge: CUP Material: RPS OBE Library: College MBKM curriculum | 3% |
| 15 | to develop hybrid syllabus for language program at university | Drafting hybrid syllabus | Criteria: Complete drafting of hybrid syllabus for language program at university will get 100 points Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance | Developing hybrid syllabus 3 X 50 | Material: University program References: Richards, JC 2017. Curriculum development in language teaching second edition. Cambridge: CUP Material: RPS OBE Library: College MBKM curriculum | 5% |
| 16 | 1.To develop syllabus for ELT at schools 2.To develop syllabus for ELT at university 3.To develop a commercial angle program | Submitting all syllabus of English for school, university and commercial language programs | Criteria: Submitting all syllabus of English for school, university and commercial language program will get 100 points Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Submission of portfolio 3 X 50 | Material: examples of syllabus Reference: Richards, JC 2017. Curriculum development in language teaching second edition. Cambridge: CUP | 30% |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

| Eva | Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning | | | | | | |
|-----|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No | Evaluation | Percentage | | | | | |
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 5% | | | | | |
| 2. | Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 50% | | | | | |
| 3. | Practice / Performance | 45% | | | | | |
| | | 100% | | | | | |

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
 which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
 and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.