

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Basic Education Masters Study Program

Document Code

UNESA															
		S	SEMEST	TER LE	EARNI	NG P	LAN								
Courses		CODE	CODE Course Fa		amily	ımily		Credit Weight		5	SEMESTE		Con	npila e	tion
Learning T	heory	861220213			ulsory Curriculum Subjects -		s - T=2	T=2 P=0 ECTS=4.48		4.48	2 May 7, 20		023		
AUTHORIZATION		SP Develo	SP Developer		al			uster Coordinator			Study Program Coordinator				
		Dr. Wiryant	o, M.Si.			-					Neni Maria			d., M	I.Sc.,
Learning model	Case Studies														
Program	PLO study pro	PLO study program that is charged to the course													
Outcomes	Program Object	ctives (PO)													
(PLO)	PLO-PO Matrix														
	PO Matrix at th	P.O e end of each le	arning stage	(Sub-PO)											
															_
		P.O		1 1	1 1	We	1		1	I	1		_		4
		1	2 3	4 5	6 7	8 9	10	11	12	13	14	15		16	_
Short Course Description	Study of the principles and ways students learn according to behavioral learning theory, social learning theory, cognitive learning to constructivist approach, as well as motivating students to learn; and its application in learning through analysis of case examples in Learning is carried out through lectures, discussions, presentations and modeling.														
Reference	es Main:														
	 Budayasa,I Ketut. 2000. Teori Belajar Perilaku (BUKU I). Ed Disadurdari Chapter Behavioral Learning Theory Buku Study Guide for Slavin Educational Pshychology Theory and Practice: Fi Edition oleh Charles Alberti&Catherine E. McCartney. Allyn and Bacon. 1997. IKIP Surabaya. Dahar, Ratna Wilis. 1989. Teori-teori Belajar. Jakarta: DEPDIKBUD. Nur, Mohamad. 2000. Teori Belajar Sosial (BUKU II). Ed. Disadur dari Chapter Behavioral Learning Theory Buku Educational PsychologyTheory and Practice: Sixth Edition olehAnita Woolfolk. Allyn and Bacon. 1995. IKIP Surabaya. Nur, Mohamad, Wikandari, PrimaRetno. 2004. Teori Pembelajaran Kognitif(BUKU III). Ed. Disadur dari Chapter 6 Cognitive Theories of Learning: BasicConsepts. Buku Educational Pshychology Theory and Practice: Fi Edition olehCharles Robert R. Slavin. Allyn and Bacon. 1995. IKIP Surabaya. 5. Nur, Mohamad, Wikandari, PrimaRetno., Sugiar Bambang. 2004. Pendekatan-pendekatanKonstruktivis dalam Pembelajaran (BUKU IV). Ed. Disadur dari Chapter 8 Student Centered &ConsructivistApproaches to Instruction. Buku Educational Pshychology Theory a Practice: Fifth Edition oleh Charles Robert R. Slavin. Allyn and Bacon. 1997. IKIPSurabaya. Nur, Mohamad. 2004. Pemotivasian Siswa untuk Belajar (BUKU V). Ed. Disadur dari Chapter 10Motivating Student to Learn. Buku Educational Pshychology Theory and Practice: Fifth Edition oleh Charle Robert R. Slavin. Allyn and Bacon. 1997. IKIPSurabaya. 7. Slavin, R. E. 2012. Educational Psychology: Theoryand Practice TenthEdition. Pearson Education, Inc. Educational Psychology. ThirdEdition. Boston: McGraw-Hill. 9. Slavin, R. E. 2011. Psikologi Pendidikan Teori dan Praktik. Ed Kesembilan Jilid 1. Jakarta: PT Indeks. Woolfolk, A. 2010. Educational Psychology, Global Edition, Eleventh Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education 						Fifth iarto, and arles								
	Supporters:	Supporters:													
Supportin lecturer	Dr. Wiryanto, M.S	Si. pto, S.Pd., M.Pd., F	Ph.D.												
Week- e	Final abilities of each learning stage	E	valuation			Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]			L		Assessmen Weight (%)				
Č	Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria	& Form	Offline	(offline)	(Online	(online))	Reference]	S			()

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Understand the structure, definition, types, objectives and functions of theory	1.Analyze theories based on type, purpose and function 2.Analyzing theory as a structure of science	Criteria: Written test achieves a minimum score of 60 Oral test achieves a minimum score of 70 Portfolio achieves a minimum score of 75 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	CollaborativeProblem- based 2 X 50			20%
2	Understand the structure, definition, types, objectives and functions of theory	1.Analyze theories based on type, purpose and function 2.Analyzing theory as a structure of science	Criteria: Written test achieves a minimum score of 60 Oral test achieves a minimum score of 70 Portfolio achieves a minimum score of 75 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	CollaborativeProblem- based 4 X 50			20%
3	Understanding the construction of behavioral learning theories. Implementing behavioral learning theories in learning	1.Analyze the propositions of behavioral learning theories 2.Identifying concepts in behavioral learning theories 3.Formulate learning principles based on behavioral learning theory	Criteria: Written test achieves a minimum score of 60 Oral test achieves a minimum score of 70 Portfolio achieves a minimum score of 75 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	CollaborativeProblem- based 2 X 50			20%
4	Understanding the construction of behavioral learning theories. Implementing behavioral learning theories in learning	1. Analyze the propositions of behavioral learning theories 2. Identifying concepts in behavioral learning theories 3. Formulate learning principles based on behavioral learning theory	Criteria: Written test achieves a minimum score of 60 Oral test achieves a minimum score of 70 Portfolio achieves a minimum score of 75 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	CollaborativeProblem- based 2 X 50			20%
5	Understanding the construction of cognitive learning theories. Implementing cognitive learning theories in learning	1.Analyzing the propositions of cognitive learning theories 2.Identifying concepts in cognitive learning theory 3.Formulate learning principles based on cognitive learning theory	Criteria: Written test achieves a minimum score of 60 Oral test achieves a minimum score of 70 Portfolio achieves a minimum score of 75 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	CollaborativeProblem- based 2 X 50			20%

6	Understanding the construction of cognitive learning theories. Implementing cognitive learning theories in learning	1.Analyzing the propositions of cognitive learning theories 2.Identifying concepts in cognitive learning theory 3.Formulate learning principles based on cognitive learning theory	Criteria: Written test achieves a minimum score of 60 Oral test achieves a minimum score of 70 Portfolio achieves a minimum score of 75	CollaborativeProblem- based 2 X 50		0%
7	Understanding the construction of cognitive learning theories. Implementing cognitive learning theories in learning	1.Analyzing the propositions of cognitive learning theories 2.Identifying concepts in cognitive learning theory 3.Formulate learning principles based on cognitive learning theory	Criteria: Written test achieves a minimum score of 60 Oral test achieves a minimum score of 70 Portfolio achieves a minimum score of 75	CollaborativeProblem- based 2 X 50		0%
8	SUB SUMATIVE EXAMINATION		Criteria: 20 Form of Assessment : Test	UTS 2 X 50	UTS	20%
9	Understanding the construction of humanistic learning theories. Implementing humanistic learning theories in learning	1.Analyzing the propositions of humanistic learning theories 2.Identifying concepts in humanistic learning theories 3.Formulate learning principles based on humanistic learning theory	Criteria: Written test achieves a minimum score of 60 Oral test achieves a minimum score of 70 Portfolio achieves a minimum score of 75	CollaborativeProblem- based 2 X 50		0%
10	Understanding the construction of humanistic learning theories. Implementing humanistic learning theories in learning	1.Analyzing the propositions of humanistic learning theories 2.Identifying concepts in humanistic learning theories 3.Formulate learning principles based on humanistic learning theory	Criteria: Written test achieves a minimum score of 60 Oral test achieves a minimum score of 70 Portfolio achieves a minimum score of 75	CollaborativeProblem- based 2 X 50		0%

11	Understanding the construction of cybernetic learning theory. Implementing cybernetic learning theories in learning	1.Analyzing the propositions of information processing learning theory 2.Analyzing the propositions of Landa's learning theory	Criteria: Written test achieves a minimum score of 60 Oral test achieves a minimum score of 70 Portfolio achieves a minimum score of 75	CollaborativeProblem- based 2 X 50		0%
12	Understanding the construction of gestalt learning theory. Implementing gestalt learning theories in learning	1.Analyzing the propositions of gestalt learning theory 2.Identifying concepts in gestalt learning theory 3.Formulate learning principles based on Gestalt learning theory	Criteria: Written test achieves a minimum score of 60 Oral test achieves a minimum score of 70 Portfolio achieves a minimum score of 75	CollaborativeProblem- based 2 X 50		0%
13	Critical reflection on learning theories and learning theories	1.Examining learning theory and learning theory between theory and praxis 2.Comparing between theories	Criteria: Knowledge competency: 45 Skills competency: 45 Attitude competency: 10	AssignmentDiscussion 2 X 50		0%
14	Critical reflection on learning theories and learning theories	1.Examining learning theory and learning theory between theory and praxis 2.Comparing between theories	Criteria: Knowledge competency: 45 Skills competency: 45 Attitude competency: 10	AssignmentDiscussion 2 X 50		0%
15	Critical reflection on learning theories and learning theories	1.Examining learning theory and learning theory between theory and praxis 2.Comparing between theories	Criteria: Knowledge competency: 45 Skills competency: 45 Attitude competency: 10	AssignmentDiscussion 2 X 50		0%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: UAS Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	UAS		20%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study							
No	Evaluation	Percentage					
1.	Participatory Activities	70%					
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	20%					
3.	Portfolio Assessment	10%					
4.	Practice / Performance	20%					
5.	Test	20%					
		100%					

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
 obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
 Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. **Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.