

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Basic Education Masters Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE			C	ours	e Fam	ily		C	redit	Weig	ht	S	SEMESTER		Compilation Date	
ntegrated Le	earning		861220308	4				Т	=3	P=0 E	CTS=6.7	72	2	2	Feb 202	oruary 23			
UTHORIZA	TION		SP Developer				Cοι	ırse C	Clust	er Coo	rdinator		Study	Progra	am Co	ordin			
			Prof. Dr. Su Istiq'faroh, I M.Pd.						ah,	Dr.	Hendi	ratno	M.Hu	m.		Neni N		a, S.P h.D.	d., M.:
.earning nodel	Project Base	d Learnin	arning																
rogram	PLO study program that is charged to the course																		
earning . Dutcomes	PLO-5	Resp	ect the dive	rsity of	cultu	res, v	iews	, religi	ons a	nd be	eliefs,	as we	ell as th	ne origina	al opi	nions	or find	ings o	f othe
PLO)	PLO-9																		
	PLO-10 Able to develop science and technology in the field of basic education based on global literacy or professional practice through ethnopedagogy-based research to produce innovative and tested work																		
	Program Objectives (PO)																		
	PO - 1	Able deve	Able to utilize science and technology in developing integrated learning planning in elementary schools, and developing learning tools and implementing them using an integrated learning approach in elementary schools.																
	PO - 2		ering the basic concepts, essence and principles of Integrated Learning, as well as Integrated Learning model ementary Schools																
	PO - 3	O - 3 Able to make decisions based on analysis of concepts and theories of Integrated Learning Development that are accordance with the themes and learning materials in elementary schools																	
	PLO-PO Matrix																		
			P.0		PL	O-5		F	PLO-9)		PLO	-10						
			PO-1																
			PO-2																
			PO-3																
	PO Matrix at	t the end	of each le	arnınç	y staę	ge (S	ub-l	50)											
			P.O									Wee	ek						
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		P	0-1																
			0-2				<u> </u>	-								<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
		P	0-3				\vdash												
				<u> </u>	1	I	I	<u> </u>	I	1	1	1	I	1 1		<u> </u>	1	I	I
Short Course Description	This course e Learning, and elementary so	l develops																	
	Main :																		
References																			

Support lecturer	2. Ana Gim Genio on 3. Pp. 3170 4. Draks, M 5. Dorin He and Beha 6. Loredana Behavior 7. Mieke Cl Sciences 8. Okaz, Ab 186. Pp. 9. Phosri, V and Beha Supporters: 1. Mathew Educatio	eno and Rafael S line multimedia au -3174 . Susan. 2007. Cre rlo. 2015 . Improvi avioral Sciences . Va a Sofia Tudor. 20 al Sciences . Vol. 21 ement and Lug Va . Vol. 228. Pp. 58 eeer Ali 2015. In 600 – 603 Vorawuth, et all. 20 avioral Sciences . V , Barbara. 1989. n Victoria , M.Si. Sukartiningsih, M.F , M.Pd. Pd., M.Sc., Ph.D. immah, M.Pd.	tegrating Blended Learning T 014. Integrated Learning T Vol. 112. Pp. 775 – 780 Learning Through an In	anguage integra ial and Behavior grated Curriculur in Education Ma s development earning Design: g in Higher Edu Teacher Professi	ated learning in higher te ral Sciences . Vol. m . California: Corwin Pre aster Programs, by Blend through integrated acti : a shared experience. Pr cation. Procedia - Social ional Development in Prir	echnical educatio ess led Learning . Pro vities . Procedia rocedia - Social a and Behavioral S nary Schools. Pro	ocedia - Social - Social and and Behavioral Sciences . Vol. ocedia - Social
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	E	valuation	Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials [References Weight (%	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline(offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	1	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Understand the concept and nature and basis of implementing integrated learning	 1.1.1 Explain the concept of integrated learning 2.1.2 Analyze the foundations of integrated learning 	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UAS Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Lecture - Question and Answer - Discussion - Assignment - Presentation - SCL - PBL 3 X 50		Material: 1. concept of integrated learning 2. foundations of integrated learning References: <i>Robbin,</i> <i>Fogarty.</i> 1991. <i>The Mindful</i> <i>School: How</i> <i>to Integrate</i> <i>the Curricula.</i> <i>Illinois:Skylight</i> <i>Publishing Inc</i>	3%
2	Master the principles and characteristics of integrated learning	2.1 Explain the principles of Integrated Learning 2.2. Explain the characteristics of integrated learning 2.3.	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UAS Form of Assessment :	-Brain Storming - Q&A - Discussion - Assignment - Presentation -Student		Material: principles and characteristics of integrated learning Reader: Dorin Herlo. 2015.	3%

3	Understand the benefits of blended learning	3.1. Explain the benefits of integrated learning in elementary schools 3.2. Explain the function of integrated learning 3.3. Analyze the functions and benefits of integrated learning in elementary schools	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UAS Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	-Brain Storming - Q&A - Discussion - Assignment - Presentation -SCL -CTL 3 X 50	Material: 1. Benefits of integrated learning in elementary schools 2. Functions of integrated learning 3. Functions and benefits of integrated learning in elementary schools Reference: <i>Mieke</i> <i>Clement and</i> <i>Lug Vandeput.</i> 2016. Blended <i>Learning</i> <i>Design: a</i> <i>shared</i> <i>experience.</i> <i>Procedia -</i> <i>Social and</i> <i>Behavioral</i> <i>Sciences. Vol.</i> 228. Pp. 582 – 586	3%
4	Understand the learning theories that underlie the implementation of integrated learning	4.1 Analyzing Sequence Models	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UAS Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	-Brain storming - Question and answer - Discussion - Assignment - SAL -PBL 3 X 50	Material: Sequence Model (Sequenced) References: <i>Mieke</i> <i>Clement and</i> <i>Lug Vandeput.</i> 2016. Blended <i>Learning</i> <i>Design: a</i> <i>shared</i> <i>experience.</i> <i>Procedia -</i> <i>Social and</i> <i>Behavioral</i> <i>Sciences. Vol.</i> 228. Pp. 582 – 586	7%
5	Understanding Integrated Learning in separate subjects	5.1. Explaining the fragmented model 5.2. Analyzing the connected model 5.3. Explaining the nested model	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UAS Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	-Lectures - Questions and answers -Discussions -Assignments - Presentations -SCL -CTL 3 X 50	Material: 1. Fragmented model 2. Connected model 3. Nested model References: <i>Robbin,</i> <i>Fogarty.</i> 1991. <i>The Mindful</i> <i>School: How</i> <i>to Integrate</i> <i>the Curricula.</i> <i>Illinois:Skylight</i> <i>Publishing Inc</i>	7%
6	Understand the integration model of several subjects	6.1. Explain the sequenced model 6.2. Explain the shared model 6.3. Explain the webbed model 6.4. Explaining the threaded model 6.5 Explaining the integrated model	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UAS Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	-Lectures - Questions and answers -Discussions -Assignments - Presentations -SAL -CTL 3 X 50	Material: 1. Sequenced model 2. Shared model 3. Webbed model 4. Threaded model 5. Integrated model References: <i>Robbin,</i> <i>Fogarty.</i> 1991. <i>The Mindful</i> <i>School: How</i> <i>to Integrate</i> <i>the Curricula.</i> <i>Illinois:Skylight</i> <i>Publishing Inc</i>	7%

7	Understanding Integrated Learning Models Across Students	7.1. Explain the immersed model 7.2. Explain the networked model	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UAS Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	-Lectures - Questions and answers -Discussions -Assignments - Presentations -SCL -PBL 3 X 50	Material: 1. Immersed model 2. Networked model References: Robbin, Fogarty. 1991. The Mindful School: How to Integrate the Curricula. Illinois:Skylight Publishing Inc	10%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: 1.Selected questions have a score of 10 2.UTS questions 10 questions Form of Assessment : Test	Lecture - Question and Answer - Discussion - Assignment - Presentation - SCL - PBL 3 X 50		10%
9	Skilled in developing Integrated Learning Implementation Plans in separate subjects	1.9.1. Prepare an integrated learning lesson plan; ; 9.3. Developing teaching materials in the RPP 2.9.2. Developing worksheets	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UAS Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	-Lectures - Questions and answers -Discussions -Assignments - Presentations -SAL - Cooperative Learning 3 X 50	Material: 1. Integrated learning lesson plan; 2. Teaching materials in the Library RPP:	7%
10	Understand the integrated learning model with the integration of several subjects	10.1 Present the design of the integrated learning model that has been selected 10.2 Demonstrate the design of the integrated learning model that has been selected	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UAS Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lecture - Question and Answer - Discussion - Assignment - Presentation - SCL - PBL 3 X 50	Material: Design and simulation of integrated learning models References: <i>Phosri,</i> <i>Worawuth, et</i> <i>all. 2014.</i> <i>Integrated</i> <i>Learning</i> <i>Teacher</i> <i>Professional</i> <i>Development</i> <i>in Primary</i> <i>Schools.</i> <i>Procedia</i> - <i>Social and</i> <i>Behavioral</i> <i>Sciences. Vol.</i> <i>112. PP. 775</i> – 780	7%

		-		r	l	
11	Presentation of integrated learning model design and simulation	11.1 Present the design of the integrated learning model that has been selected 11.2 Demonstrate the design of the integrated learning model that has been selected	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UAS Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lecture - Question and Answer - Discussion - Assignment - Presentation - SCL - PBL 3 X 50	Material: 1. Draft design the integrate learning mot that has bee selected. 2. Demonstrati of the design of the integrated learning mot that has bee selected. Readers: Al Gimeno and Rafael Seiz. 2010. Conte and languag integrated learning in higher technical education using the Genio online multimedia authoring too Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences. Version	d jel n del n n na nt e ol.
12	Trials in schools related to the implementation of the integrated learning model	12.1 Practicing integrated learning models in elementary schools 12.2 Carrying out research in schools related to integrated learning models	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UAS Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lecture - Question and Answer - Discussion - Assignment - Presentation - SCL - PBL 3 X 50	Material: 1.Draft design the integrate learning mot that has bee selected. 2.Demonstrati of the design of the integrated learning mot that has bee selected.References Mieke Clement and Lug Vandep 2016. Blend Learning Design: a shared experience.Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences. VI 228. Pp. 582 586	del n del n del n :
13	Trials in schools related to the implementation of the integrated learning model	13.1 Practicing integrated learning models in elementary schools 13.2 Carrying out research in schools related to integrated learning models	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UAS Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lecture - Question and Answer - Discussion - Assignment - SAL - CTL 3 X 50	Material: 1. Testing the integrated learning mor in elementar schools 2. Researching schools related to the integrated learning mor Reader: Ana Gimeno and Rafael Seiz. 2010. Conte and languag integrated learning in higher technical education using the Genio online multimedia authoring too Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences. M	y e del a nt e

14	Report research results published in accredited national journals	14.1. Preparing integrated learning tools for the integrated model 14.2. Compiling student worksheets in integrated learning with the integrated model 14.3. Analyzing assessment instruments for the integrated model 14.4. Making a picture of the thematic flow in integrated learning for the integrated model	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UTS Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lecture - Question and Answer - Discussion - Assignment - Presentation - SCL - PBL 3 X 50	Material: 1. Research report related to the results of implementing the integrated learning model 2. Publication of research results in accredited national journals Reader: Robbin, Fogarty. 1991. The Mindful School: How to Integrate the Curricula. Illinois:Skylight Publishing Inc	5%
15	Report research results published in accredited national journals	15.1 Create research reports related to the results of implementing the integrated learning model 15.2 Publish research results in accredited national journals	Criteria: 1.Participation 2.Task 3.UTS 4.UAS Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lecture - Question and Answer - Discussion - Assignment - Presentation - SCL - PBL 3 X 50	Material: 1. Research report related to the results of implementing the integrated learning model 2. Publication of research results in accredited national journals Reader: <i>Mieke</i> <i>Clement and Lug Vandeput.</i> 2016. Blended <i>Learning</i> <i>Design: a</i> shared <i>experience.</i> <i>Procedia -</i> <i>Social and</i> <i>Behavioral</i> <i>Sciences. Vol.</i> 228. Pp. 582 – 586	5%
16	UAS		Form of Assessment : Test			10%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	19%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	59.5%
3.	Practice / Performance	1.5%
4.	Test	20%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods. 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
 TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.