

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Master of Education Technology Study Program

Document Code

UNESA	Ą																				
					S	ΕN	IE:	STE	R L	EΑ	RNI	NG	PL	.AN							
Courses				СО	DE				Co	Course Family			Cred	Credit Weight			SEMI	ESTER		ompilatio	on
Performance Technology and Training Management				861	1030	2056							T=2	P=0	ECTS	5=4.48		2	Ju	ıly 17, 20)24
AUTHOR	IZAT	ION		SP	Dev	elope	r					Cour	se Clu	ıster C	Coordi	nator	Stud	y Prograi	n Co	ordinato	or
				Dr. Fajar Arianto, M.Pd								Dr. H. Andi Mariono, M.Pd.			I.						
Learning model	g Project Based Learning																				
Program		PLO study program which is charged to the course																			
Learning Outcome (PLO)		PLO-6										of life	in soci	iety, na	ation, s	tate an	d civiliz	zation bas	ed o	n	
		PLO-7	Pancasila and diversity in carrying out their duties Able to develop logical, ethical, critical, systematic and creative thinking which includes design, development (creation), management, utilization and evaluation in education and learning systems in the fields of science, technology and arts through planning, process, evaluation and dissemination based on rules, procedures, and scientific ethics.																		
		PLO-10						and edu researd		learni	ng and	trainir	ng pro	grams	to prov	vide oriç	ginal a	nd proven	conf	ributions	3
	•	PLO-12	techno approa	ology ach,	y); ge rese	eneral arch	con and	cept of	curricu ment d	ılum de of educ	evelopi ationa	nent, l I/learn	ĕarnin	g, lear	ning re	source	s throu	ns (perfor igh a mult neficial to	tidisc	iplinary	
		Program Objec	tives (F	PO)																	
		PLO-PO Matrix																			
				F	P.O			PLO-6	6	F	PLO-7		PI	LO-10		PLC	D-12				
		PO Matrix at the	e end c	of e	ach	learn	ing	stage	(Sub-l	PO)											
			P.0	0		-		-	1	1	1	ı	Week	(1	1	1				
					1	2	3	3 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
Short Course Descript		Examining the pl based on the resu																			
Reference	ces	Main :																			
	-	 Arianto, F Silber , K Pershing & Sons, I 	enneth , James	Н. а	and V	Velles	ley F	R. Fosh	ay. 201	10. Hai	ndbook	of im	provin	g perfo	rmanc	e in the	workp	olace. Ca:	Pfeif	ffer	iley
		Supporters:																			
1. Sara J. Czaja and				nd Joseph Sharit.2013. Designing Training and Instructional Programs for Older Adults. NY: CRC Press																	
Supporti lecturer	ing	Dr. Fajar Arianto, Irena Yolanita Ma				.Sc., I	Ph.D).													
Fin eac sta		nal abilities of ch learning				Eval	luati	on			Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]				Learning materials		V	Assessment Weight (%)			
		ub-PO)		Indicator Criteria &		& Foi	rm	Offline (offline)		Online (online)		[Rel	erences								

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students are able to clarify the meaning of HPT	1.Students are able to clarify the meaning of performance 2.Students are able to explain the meaning of technology 3.Students are able to clarify the meaning of HPT	Criteria: Depth of answer Form of Assessment: Test	Cooperative 2 X 50		Material: Human performance technology References: Arianto, Fajar & Khotimah, Khusnul. 2021. Performance Technology: theory and implementation. Surabaya; UD. Alfasyam Jaya Mandiri	2%
2	1.Students are able to clarify the meaning of performance 2.Students are able to clarify the meaning of technology Students are able to clarify the meaning of HPT	Students are able to explain the importance of business 2. Students are able to explain HPT in improving business 3. Students explain various business logic models	Criteria: depth of discussion Form of Assessment: Test	Case study 2 X 50		Material: Human performance technology References: Arianto, Fajar & Khotimah, Khusnul. 2021. Performance Technology: theory and implementation. Surabaya; UD. Alfasyam Jaya Mandiri	2%
3	Students are able to explain performance improvements	1.Students are able to explain performance improvements 2.Students are able to clarify the stabilization of human performance and the problem of changes in performance	Criteria: precision and depth Form of Assessment : Test	Problem based learning 2 X 50		Material: Human performance technology References: Arianto, Fajar & Khotimah, Khusnul. 2021. Performance Technology: theory and implementation. Surabaya; UD. Alfasyam Jaya Mandiri	2%
4	Students are able to clarify instructions as an intervention	1.Students are able to clarify instructions for the purpose of improving abilities 2.Students are able to clarify instruction planning 3.Students are able to clarify the lesson framework 4.Students are able to clarify the environment for the delivery of instruction	Criteria: accuracy in discussion Form of Assessment : Test	Project based learning 2 X 50		Material: Human performance technology References: Arianto, Fajar & Khotimah, Khusnul. 2021. Performance Technology: theory and implementation. Surabaya; UD. Alfasyam Jaya Mandiri	2%

5	Students are able to describe various forms of training	1.Students are able to identify training methods, models and strategies 2.Students are able to plan various types of face-to-face training 3.Students are able to design various types of distance training	Criteria: depth and authenticity Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning 2 X 50	Material: training model References: Silber, Kenneth H. and Wellesley R. Foshay. 2010. Handbook of improving performance in the workplace. Ca: Pfeiffer Material: training model References: Sara J. Czaja and Joseph Sharit. 2013. Designing Training and Instructional Programs for Older Adults. NY: CRC Press	
6	Students are able to describe various forms of training	1.Students are able to identify training methods, models and strategies 2.Students are able to plan various types of face-to-face training 3.Students are able to design various types of distance training	Criteria: depth and authenticity Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning 2 X 50	Material: training model References: Silber, Kenneth H. and Wellesley R. Foshay. 2010. Handbook of improving performance in the workplace. Ca: Pfeiffer Material: training model References: Sara J. Czaja and Joseph Sharit.2013. Designing Training and Instructional Programs for Older Adults. NY: CRC Press	
7	Students are able to use standards and ethics in Human Performance Technology	1.Students are able to clarify ethics and morality 2.Students are able to describe the use of standards and ethics in Human Performance Technology	Criteria: tightness and depth Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	case study 2 X 50	Material: ethics in HPT Reader: Pershing, James A. 2006 Handbook of Human Performance Technology: Principles, Practices, and Potential. Ca: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.	
8	Midterm Exam			2 X 50		0%

_	1		1	1	T	·	
9	Students are able to describe various forms of training	1.Students are able to describe the features of distance training 2.Students are able to describe the reasons for adopting distance training 3.Students are able to discuss planning and anticipating obstacles to long-distance training 4.Students are able to discuss blended learning	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed 2.Description of student answers to description questions and reference sources used Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	project based learning 2x 50		Material: Distance training References: Arianto, Fajar & Khotimah, Khusnul. 2021. Performance Technology: theory and implementation. Surabaya; UD. Alfasyam Jaya Mandiri	10%
10	Students are able to explain innovation in improving performance with mentoring	1.Students are able to describe examples of mentor roles 2.Students are able to explain the evolution of the mentoring concept 3.Students are able to explain the benefits of joining organizations and mentoring	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed 2.Description of student answers to description questions and reference sources used Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based leaning 2 x 50		Material: Innovation in improving performance with mentoring Reader: Arianto, Fajar & Khotimah, Khusnul. 2021. Performance Technology: theory and implementation. Surabaya; UD. Alfasyam Jaya Mandiri	10%
11	Students are able to clarify individual, team and organizational motivation	1.Students are able to clarify the definition and types of motivation 2.Students are able to describe the direct influence of motivation on work performance 3.Students are able to analyze the causes of loss of motivation and what can help people feel motivated 4.Students are able to describe how to motivate themselves and their team	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed 2.Description of student answers to description questions and reference sources used Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning		Material: Individual, team and organizational motivation Reader: Arianto, Fajar & Khotimah, Khusnul. 2021. Performance Technology: theory and implementation. Surabaya; UD. Alfasyam Jaya Mandiri	7%

12	Students are able to clarify the principles and practices of performance in work groups	1.Students are able to clarify when and what requirements are needed for the success of the work group 2.Students are able to clarify the roles and dimensions of work group tasks 3.Students are able to describe the levels of group planning and implementation 4.Students are able to clarify problem models and decisions	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed 2.Description of student answers to description questions and reference sources used Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning	Material: Principles and practices of performance in work groups. Reference: Arianto, Fajar & Khotimah, Khusnul. 2021. Performance Technology: theory and implementation. Surabaya; UD. Alfasyam Jaya Mandiri	10%
13	Students are able to clarify the shift in organizational alignment from a behavior-oriented approach to values	1.Able to organize training programs 2.able to carry out training programs	Criteria: implementation of training programs in accordance with planning Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning	Material: Shifting organizational alignment from a behavior- oriented approach to values. Reference: Arianto, Fajar & Khotimah, Khusnul. 2021. Performance Technology: theory and implementation. Surabaya; UD. Alfasyam Jaya Mandiri Material: training design Reference: Sara J. Czaja and Joseph Sharit.2013. Designing Training and Instructional Programs for Older Adults. NY: CRC Press	10%
14	Students are able to clarify the shift in organizational alignment from a behavior-oriented approach to values	1.Able to organize training programs 2.able to carry out training programs Programs	Criteria: implementation of training programs in accordance with planning Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning	Material: Shifting organizational alignment from a behavior- oriented approach to values. Reference: Arianto, Fajar & Khotimah, Khusnul. 2021. Performance Technology: theory and implementation. Surabaya; UD. Alfasyam Jaya Mandiri Material: training design Reference: Sara J. Czaja and Joseph Sharit.2013. Designing Training and Instructional Programs for Older Adults. NY: CRC Press	5%

15	Students are able to clarify the shift in organizational alignment from a behavior-oriented approach to values	1.Able to organize training programs 2.able to carry out training programs	Criteria: implementation of training programs in accordance with planning Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning	Material: Shifting organizational alignment from a behavior- oriented approach to values. Reference: Arianto, Fajar & Khotimah, Khusnul. 2021. Performance Technology: theory and implementation. Surabaya; UD. Alfasyam Jaya Mandiri Material: training design Reference: Sara J. Czaja and Joseph Sharit. 2013. Designing Training and Instructional Programs for Older Adults. NY: CRC Press	5%
16	Final exams					0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	20%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	72%
3.	Test	8%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are
 used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and
 is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
 Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
 Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.