



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Master of Education Technology Study Program

| SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------|---------|-----|
| Courses | | CODE | | | (| Cour | rse Fa | mily | Credit Weight | | SE | MEST | ER | Cor | npilat e | ion | | | | |
| Foundations Technology | of Educational | | 8610302051 | L | | | Compulsory St Program Subje | | | | T=2 | P=0 | EC. | TS=4.4 | В | 1 | | July | 17, 2 | 024 |
| AUTHORIZAT | ION | | SP Develop | er | | | | | | Cour | se Cl | uster | Coor | dinatoı | Stu | udy Pr | ogram | Coor | dinate | or |
| | | | Dr. Syaiputr M.Pd | a Wa | hyuda | a Meis | sa Di | iningra | at, | Prof. | Dr. M | ustaji, | , M.Po | i | ı | Dr. H. <i>i</i> | Andi M | ariono | , M.P | d. |
| Learning model | Case Studies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | PLO study program which is charged to the course | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Outcomes (PLO) | PLO-5 | | to show a reli d on religion, | | | | | ld hum | nan v | alues i | n carr | ying o | out du | ties bas | sed or | n religio | on, mo | rals ar | ıd ethi | cs |
| ` , | PLO-7 | Able to develop logical, ethical, critical, systematic and creative thinking which includes design, development (creation), management, utilization and evaluation in education and learning systems in the fields of science, technology and arts through planning, process, evaluation and dissemination based on rules, procedures, and scientific ethics. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-10 | Able to deepen and expand education, learning and training programs to provide original and proven contributions through multidisciplinary research | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PLO-11 Able to master knowledge about the theory of application of educational/learning technology | | | | | | technology based on the region | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Program Objec | tives | (PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 1 | in ord | the ability to ler to optimiz ducational/Ti | e stu | dent I | earniı | ng p | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-PO Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.O | | PL | .0-5 | | | PLO- | 7 | | PLO- | -10 | | PLO- | -11 | | | | |
| | | | PO-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO Matrix at the | e end | of each lea | rninç | g sta | ge (S | ub-l | PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.O | | | | | | | | | Weel | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | P.U | | | | | 1 - | _ | - 1 | _ | - 1 | | 11 | 10 | 40 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 10 | |
| | | PC | D-1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | |
| Short Course Description | This course examines the meaning of educational technology and learning technology, areas of educational and learning technology, perspectives on educational technology, sciences that support educational technology, sources that influence learning technology and their application to education in Indonesia through collaborative learning. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| References | Main : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4. Associati D.C.: Ass | ırbara ativida gy Pul on for | B Dan Riche d, J. Micha blications. Sp | y, Rita el Sp eringe Comr | a . 19 ector r Sing munic | 94. In , Nic japore ations | nstrud hola: e s and | ctional s Eva d Tech | l Teci angelo nnolo | nnolog opoulo gy (19 | y, The s. 20 77). T | Defi 18. A | nition In An | and Do alysis | mains of Tw | s of the | Field ades | . AEC | lucatio | |
| | Supporters: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

- 1. Hastings, N.B., Bauman, J.A. Trends, Issues, Best Practices and Current Research in Organizational Training and Performance: an AECT Division of Organizational Training and Performance Special Issue of Tech Trends. TechTrends 64, 188–189 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-019-00468-1
- 2. J. Michael Spector, M. David Merrill, Jan Elen, M. J. Bishop. 2020. Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology.Springer New York, NY
- 3. Allman, B., Kimmons, R., Rosenberg, J. et al. Trends and Topics in Educational Technology, 2023 Edition. TechTrends 67, 583-591 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-023-00840-2

Supporting lecturer

Prof. Dr. Mustaji, M.Pd. Dr. Utari Dewi, S.Sn., M.Pd. Dr. Syaiputra Wahyuda Meisa Diningrat, M.Pd.

| Week- | stage | | aluation | H Lea Stude [E | lelp Learning, urning methods, ent Assignments, estimated time] | Learning materials [References] | Assessment Weight (%) | |
|-------|----------|-----------|--|----------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|--|
| | (Sub-PO) | Indicator | Criteria & Form | Offline (offline) | Online (<i>online</i>) | [Treferences] | | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | |
| 1 | | | Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Live Learning 2 x 50 | | Material: Foundations of Educational Technology Paradigm I Library: Association for Educational Communications and Technology (1977). The definition of educational technology. Washington, DC: Association for Educational Communications and Technology. Material: Foundations of Educational Technology Paradigm II References: Seels, Barbara B and Richey, Rita. 1994. Instructional Technology, The Definition and Domains of the Field. AECT Material: Foundations of Educational Technology Paradigm III References: Januszewski, Alan and Molenda, Michael. 2008. Educational Technology: A Definition With Commentary. AECT | 0% | |

| 2 | Students are able to understand paradigm I of Educational Technology, Learning Technology in Education | 1.Redescribe the concept of paradigm I educational technology 2.Redescribe the concept of learning technology | Criteria: 1. The accuracy of re-describing the concept of paradigm I educational technology 2. Accuracy redescribes the concept of learning technology 3. Accuracy redescribes the concept of technology ference of technology in education Form of Assessment Participatory Activities | Case Method 2 x 50 | | Material: TP I Paradigm Library: Association for Educational Communications and Technology (1977). The definition of educational technology. Washington, DC: Association for Educational Communications and Technology. | 10% |
|---|--|---|---|--------------------------|---|---|-----|
| 3 | Students are able to understand the II Educational Technology paradigm | Redescribe the concept of educational technology in paradigm II | Criteria: The accuracy of redescribing the concept of educational technology in paradigm II Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Case Method 2 x 50 | | Material: TP II Paradigm References: Seels, Barbara B and Richey, Rita . 1994. Instructional Technology, The Definition and Domains of the Field. AECT | 10% |
| 4 | Students are able to understand paradigm III of Educational Technology | Redescribe the concept of educational technology in paradigm III | Criteria: The accuracy of redescribing the concept of educational technology in paradigm III Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Case Method 2 x 50 | - | Material: TP II Paradigm References: Seels, Barbara B and Richey, Rita . 1994. Instructional Technology, The Definition and Domains of the Field. AECT Material: TP III Paradigm References: Januszewski, Alan and Molenda, Michael . 2008. Educational Technology: A Definition With Commentary. AECT | 15% |

| | T | | T | | T | - | |
|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------|---|---|----|
| 5 | Students are able to understand studies related to FACILITATIING LEARNING | 1.Redescribe the concept of facilitating learning 2.explain again the purpose of facilitating learning | Criteria: 1.The accuracy of re-describing the concept of facilitating learning 2.The accuracy of re-describing the purpose of facilitating learning Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Group Discussion 2 x 50 | | Material: Facilitating Learning References: Januszewski, Alan and Molenda, Michael . 2008. Educational Technology: A Definition With Commentary. AECT Material: Facilitating learning Reference: Association for Educational Communications and Technology (1977). The definition of educational technology. Washington, DC: Association for Educational Communications and Technology. Material: Facilitating Learning References: Seels, Barbara B and Richey, Rita . 1994. Instructional Technology, The Definition and Domains of the Field. AECT | 5% |
| 6 | Students are able to understand studies related to IMPROVING PERFORMANCE | 1.Redescribe the concept of improving performance 2.explain again the purpose of improving performance | Criteria: 1.Accuracy redescribes the concept of improving performance 2.Accuracy redescribes the goal of improving performance Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Group Discussion 2 x 50 | | Material: Improving performance References: Januszewski, Alan and Molenda, Michael . 2008. Educational Technology: A Definition With Commentary. AECT Material: Improving performance References: Allman, B., Kimmons, R., Rosenberg, J. et al. Trends and Topics in Educational Technology, 2023 Edition. TechTrends 67, 583–591 (2023). https://doi.org/ | 5% |
| 7 | Students are able to understand studies related to CREATING | 1.Redescribe the concept of CREATING 2.explain again the purpose of CREATING | Criteria: 1.Accuracy redescribes the concept of CREATING 2.Accuracy redescribes the purpose of CREATING Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Group Discussion 2 x 50 | | Material: Creating Bibliography: Januszewski, Alan and Molenda, Michael . 2008. Educational Technology: A Definition With Commentary. AECT | 5% |

| | | | | 1 | | |
|----|--|--|--|-------------------------------|--|----|
| 8 | | | Form of Assessment: Test | 2 X 50 | Material: EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY References: Januszewski, Alan and Molenda, Michael . 2008. Educational Technology: A Definition With Commentary. AECT | 5% |
| 9 | Students are able to understand studies related to USING | 1.Redescribe the concept of USING 2.explain again the purpose of USING | Criteria: 1.Accuracy redescribes the USING concept 2.Accuracy reclarifies the purpose of USING Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Group Discussion 2 x 50 | Material: Using bibliography: Januszewski, Alan and Molenda, Michael . 2008. Educational Technology: A Definition With Commentary. AECT | 5% |
| 10 | Students are able to understand studies related to MANAGING | 1.Redescribe the concept of MANAGING 2.explain again the purpose of MANAGING | Criteria: 1.Accuracy redescribes the concept of MANAGING 2.Accuracy reclarifies the purpose of MANAGING Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Group Discussion 2 x 50 | Material: MANAGING Bibliography: Januszewski, Alan and Molenda, Michael . 2008. Educational Technology: A Definition With Commentary. AECT | 5% |
| 11 | Students are able to understand studies related to PROCESSES | 1.Redescribe the concept of MANAGING 2.explain again the purpose of MANAGING | Criteria: 1.Accuracy redescribes the PROCESSES concept 2.Accuracy re- clarifies the purpose of the PROCESSES Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Group Discussion 2 x 50 | Material: PROCESSES References: Januszewski, Alan and Molenda, Michael . 2008. Educational Technology: A Definition With Commentary. AECT | 5% |
| 12 | Students are able to understand studies related to RESOURCES | 1.Redescribe the concept of MANAGING 2.explain again the purpose of MANAGING | Criteria: 1.Accuracy redescribes the PROCESSES concept 2.Accuracy re- clarifies the purpose of the PROCESSES Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Group Discussion 2 x 50 | Material: RESOURCES Bibliography: Januszewski, Alan and Molenda, Michael . 2008. Educational Technology: A Definition With Commentary. AECT | 5% |
| 13 | Students are able to understand the conceptual position of Functional Educational Technology Developer | | Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Case Method 2 x 50 | Material: TP Developer Tasks References: Januszewski, Alan and Molenda, Michael . 2008. Educational Technology: A Definition With Commentary. AECT | 5% |

| 14 | Students are able to understand the implementation of educational technology theory and practice | Exemplifying good practice in implementing educational technology theory and practice | Criteria: Accuracy exemplifies good practice in implementing educational technology theory and practice Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Case method 2 x 50 | - | Material: TP Theory and Practice References: J. Michael Spector, M. David Merrill, Jan Elen, MJ Bishop. 2020. Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology. Springer New York, NY | 10% |
|----|--|---|---|--------------------------|---|--|-----|
| 15 | Students are able to understand the implementation of educational technology theory and practice | Exemplifying good practice in implementing educational technology theory and practice | Criteria: Accuracy exemplifies good practice in implementing educational technology theory and practice Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Case method 2 x 50 | - | Material: TP Theory and Practice References: J. Michael Spector, M. David Merrill, Jan Elen, MJ Bishop. 2020. Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology. Springer New York, NY | 10% |
| 16 | UAS | | | 2 X 50 | | Material: Educational Technology References: Januszewski, Alan and Molenda, Michael . 2008. Educational Technology: A Definition With Commentary. AECT | 5% |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

| No | Evaluation | Percentage |
|----|--------------------------|------------|
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 95% |
| 2. | Test | 5% |
| | | 100% |

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
 are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
 knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and
 unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. **Forms of assessment:** test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.