

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Master of Education **Education Management Study Program**

Document Code

			SEMEST	ER LEARNI	NG	PLA	N			
Courses			CODE	Course Family		Credit	Weig	ht	SEMESTER	Compilation Date
Needs Assessment and Educational Planning		tional	8610402005	MKK		T=2	P=0	ECTS=4.48	2	February 9, 2023
AUTHORIZAT	ION		SP Developer		Cours	e Clust	er Co	ordinator	Study Program Coordinator	
			Dr. Nunuk Hariyati, S.Po	d., M.Pd.					Dr. Amrozi Kh M.F	
Learning model	Project Based Le	earning								
Program	PLO study prog	jram w	hich is charged to the	e course						
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-5		Manifest the Character nt in the Field of Work, D		Noble Character, Independent, Caring, Academic Ethics and ty and State					nics and
(FLO)										

organizations, educational supervision using research methods, statistical concepts in various interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary environmental conditions **Program Objectives (PO)**

PLO-10

Students are able to internalize the character of "intelligent, innovative, noble, independent, caring, academically ethical and committed to developing new ideas in needs assessment and educational planning courses. PO - 1

Able to apply concepts, theories and practices of educational leadership, educational management, educational

PO - 2 Students are able to develop knowledge and practice through the process of abstracting the scope of needs assessment and educational planning so as to produce innovative and tested work PO - 3

Students are able to solve problems through the process of identifying educational needs, planning techniques, design development, planning approaches, implementation, evaluation, and follow-up planning through an inter or multidisciplinary approach

PLO-PO Matrix

P.O	PLO-5	PLO-10
PO-1		
PO-2		
PO-3		

PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)

P.O		Week														
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
PO-1																
PO-2																
PO-3																

Short Course Description Needs assessment and educational planning courses equip students to be able to abstract basic concepts, principles, strategies, approaches, models and steps for needs assessment and educational planning and be able to identify educational needs in the 21st century. Develop development and improvement plans for institutions education through techniques and methods in educational planning. Learning is carried out on a project basis, namely with exploration, assessment, interpretation, synthesis and information activities. The output of this lecture is that students are able to produce a book based on the results of the study conducted

References

Main:

- 1. Cunningham, W.G. 1982. Systematic Planning for Educational Change. Mountain View: USA Mayfield Publishing Company.
- Aggarwal, YP., & Thakur, RS. 2003. Concepts and Terms in Educational Planning: A guidebook. Operations Research and Systems Management Unit: National Institue of Educational Planning and Administration.
- 3. Banta, TW & Palomba, CA. 2015. Assessment Essentials: Planning, Implementing, and Improving Assessment in Higher Education. USA: Jossey-Bass
- Bird, Jayson. 2017. Educational Planning and Management. USA: College Publishing House
- 5. Duke, DL., Carr, Marsha., & William, S. 2013. The School Improvement Planning Handbook: Getting Focused for Turnaround. United States of America: Rowman & Littlefield Education
- 6. Gupta, Kavita. 2007. A Practical Guide to Needs Assessment. San Fransisco: John Wiley & Sons
- Hargreaves, DH & Hopkins, David. 1994. Development Planning For School Improvement. New York: British Library Cataloguing in Publication data
- Harris, Alma., & Chrispeels, JH. 2006. Improving Schools and Educational Systems: International Perspectives. New York: Routledge.
- 9. Hayden, Mary., & Thompson, Jeff. 2008. International Schools: Growth and Influence. Paris: UNESCO International Institute for Educational Planning
- 10. Leigh, NG., French, SP., Guhathakurta, S., & Stiftel, B. 2020. The Roudledge Handbook of International Planning Education. New York: Routledge.
- 11. McCawley, Paul F. Methods for Conducting an Educational Need Assessment: Guidelines for Cooperative Extension System Profesionals. University of Idaho
- 12. Middaugh, Michael F. 2010. Planning and Assessment in Higher Education: Demonstrating Institutional Effectiveness. San Fransisco: John Wiley & Sons, Inc
- 13. Shute, Valerie J., & Becker, Betsy Jane. 2010. Innovative Assessment for The 21st Century. New York: Springer
- 14. Stefaniak, J.E. 2021. Needs Assessment for Learning and Performance: Theory, Process, and Practice. New York: Routledge
- 15. Stufflebeam, DL., McCormick, CH., Brinkerhoff, RO., & Nelson, CO. 1985. Conducting Educational Needs Assessment. USA: Kluwer-Nijhoff Publishing
- 16. Tahir, Bilal. Educational Planning (Need based and Value based: An Indian Perspective). Jamima Millia Islamia
- 17. Thompson, J & Hayden, m. 2008. Internasional Schools: Growth and Influence. Paris: UNESCO.

Supporters:

1. Artikel dalam Jurnal Nasional dan Internasional Bereputasi

Supporting lecturer

- Dr. Soedjarwo, M.S. Dr. Karwanto, S.Ag., M.Pd. Dr. Nunuk Hariyati, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eva	luation	Lea Stud	Help Learning, arning methods, ent Assignments, Estimated time]	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)	- [References]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students are able to understand and build commitment in the lecture contract for the Educational Needs Assessment and Planning course for 1 semester	1.Students know the objectives of the Educational Needs Assessment and Planning course 2.Students know the competencies that must be achieved in the Educational Needs Assessment and Planning course 3.Students make a lecture contract which is agreed with the lecturer 4.Students know references that are relevant to lectures	Criteria: Activeness and Participation Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests	Cooperative Learning, Discussion, Questions and Answers 2 X 50		Material: Basic concepts of needs assessment References: Stufflebeam, DL., McCormick, CH., Brinkerhoff, RO., & Nelson, CO. 1985. Conducting Educational Needs Assessment. USA: Kluwer-Nijhoff Publishing Material: Needs Assessment Approach Bibliography: Stufflebeam, DL., McCormick, CH., Brinkerhoff, RO., & Nelson, CO. 1985. Conducting Educational Needs Assessment. USA: Kluwer-Nijhoff Publishing	2%

2	Students are able to abstract the concept of needs assessment and planning in the field of education in depth as demonstrated through active student responses in forums and asking questions related to the topic	1.Students are able to: conceptualize needs assessments in the field of education universally 2.conceptualize universal educational planning	Criteria: - Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning, Discussion, Questions and Answers 2 X 50		Material: Concept of needs assessment and planning References: Stefaniak, JE 2021. Needs Assessment for Learning and Performance: Theory, Process, and Practice. New York: Routledge	2%
---	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

3	Students are able to explore,	1.Students are able to:	Criteria: Turnitin test results		Project Based Learning (PjBL)	Material: Definition of	3%
	synthesize and interpret basic concepts,	Conceptualize the definition of	below 30%		2 X 50	educational needs	
	approaches, educational needs	educational needs	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities			assessment Reference:	
	assessment models and steps	assessment				Stefaniak, JE 2021. Needs	
	in determining holistic educational	2.Attributing approaches to				Assessment for Learning	
	needs assessment strategies	educational needs				and	
		assessment				Performance: Theory,	
		3. Conceptualizing				Process, and Practice. New	
		educational needs				York: Routledge	
		assessment models				Material:	
		4.Understand				Approaches to assessing	
		and determine the steps for				educational	
		assessing educational				needs References:	
		needs 5.Understand				Stefaniak, JE 2021. Needs	
		and develop educational				Assessment for Learning	
		needs				and Performance:	
		assessment strategies				Theory, Process, and	
						Practice. New York:	
						Routledge	
						Material:	
						Educational needs	
						assessment models	
						References: Stefaniak, JE	
						2021. Needs Assessment	
						for Learning and	
						Performance: Theory,	
						Process, and Practice. New	
						York: Routledge	
						Material: Steps for	
						assessing educational	
						needs References:	
						Stefaniak, JE 2021. Needs	
						Assessment for Learning	
						and Performance:	
						Theory, Process, and	
						Practice. New York:	
						Routledge	
						Material: Educational	
						needs	
						assessment strategies	
						Reference: Stefaniak, JE	
						2021. Needs Assessment	
						for Learning and	
						Performance: Theory,	
						Process, and Practice. New	
						York: Routledge	
			<u> </u>	l	I	1	

4	Students are able to explore, synthesize and interpret educational needs in terms of policy, economics, geography, culture and social as well as holistically assess needs in the 21st Century.	1.Students are able to: Understand educational needs in the 21st century 2.Identifying educational needs in the 21st century in terms of policy, economics, geography, politics, culture and social 3.Determining criteria for assessing educational needs in the 21st century	Criteria: Turnitin test below 30% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Project Based Learning (PjBL) 2 X 50	Material: Assessment of Educational Needs in the 21st Century References: Gupta, Kavita. 2007. A Practical Guide to Needs Assessment. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons	3%
5	Students are able to explore, synthesize and interpret the concept of educational planning along with its characteristics and principles comprehensively	1. Conceptualizing the definition of educational planning 2.Determining approaches in educational planning 3.Characterize educational planning and attribute the principles of its implementation	Criteria: Turnitin test below 30% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests	Project Based Learning (PjBL) 2 X 50	Material: Definition of educational planning Reference: Cunningham, WG 1982. Systematic Planning for Educational Change. Mountain View: USA Mayfield Publishing Company. Material: Approaches to educational planning Reference: Cunningham, WG 1982. Systematic Planning for Educational Change. Mountain View: USA Mayfield Publishing Company. Material: Educational Change. Mountain View: USA Mayfield Publishing Company. Material: Educational planning and attributing principles to its implementation Reference: Cunningham, WG 1982. Systematic Planning for Educational Change. Mountain View: USA Mayfield Publishing Company.	3%

			T		T	, -	
6	Students are able to explore, synthesize and interpret models and approaches, procedures, strategies and educational planning holistically	1.Understand and determine models in educational planning in accordance with the era of industrial revolution 4.0 2.Identify procedures in educational planning 3. Conceptualizing strategic planning in the field of education 4.Develop procedures for carrying out operational planning 5. Conceptualizing operational planning in the field of education 6.Develop procedures for carrying out strategic planning ut strategic planning	Criteria: Turnitin test below 30% Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Project Based Learning (PjBL) 2 X 50		Material: Models in educational planning Reference: Cunningham, WG 1982. Systematic Planning for Educational Change. Mountain View: USA Mayfield Publishing Company. Material: Procedures in educational planning Reference: Cunningham, WG 1982. Systematic Planning for Educational Change. Mountain View: USA Mayfield Publishing Company. Material: Strategic planning in the field of education Reference: Cunningham, WG 1982. Systematic Planning for Education Reference: Cunningham, WG 1982. Systematic Planning for Educational Change. Mountain View: USA Mayfield Publishing Company.	4%
7	Students are able to explore, synthesize and interpret operational planning and its procedures and budget planning and its procedures in a comprehensive and in-depth manner	1. Conceptualizing operational planning in the field of education 2. Develop procedures for carrying out operational planning 3. Conceptualizing budget planning in the education sector 4. Develop procedures for budget planning	Criteria: Turnitin test below 30% Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities		Project Based Learning (PjBL) 2 X 50	Material: Operational Planning and Budget Planning Reference: Cunningham, WG 1982. Systematic Planning for Educational Change. Mountain View: USA Mayfield Publishing Company.	4%
8	Students are able to carry out UTS in an orderly manner in accordance with mutually agreed regulations	UTS results	Criteria: - Form of Assessment : Test		UTS 2 X 50	Material: Material for 1 semester References:	20%

9	Students are able to create book	1.Students are able to:	Criteria: Attached	As in the 2 X 50 assessment	Material: Material	4%
	products in groups	Abstract literature study methods 2. Analyze a minimum of 10 articles in accredited National Journals 3. Analyze a minimum of 10 articles in accredited international journals 4. Analyze books and other relevant references	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	indicator	according to the topic Literature: Articles in reputable national and international journals	
10	Students are able to create book products in groups	1.Students are able to: Abstract literature study methods 2.Analyze a minimum of 10 articles in accredited National Journals 3.Analyze a minimum of 10 articles in accredited international journals 4.Analyze books and other relevant references	Criteria: Attached Forms of Assessment: : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	As in the 2 X 50 assessment indicator	Material: Material according to the topic Literature: Articles in reputable national and international journals	4%
11	Students are able to create book products in groups	1.Students are able to: Abstract literature study methods 2.Analyze a minimum of 10 articles in accredited National Journals 3.Analyze a minimum of 10 articles in accredited international journals 4.Analyze books and other relevant references	Criteria: Attached Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	As in the 2 X 50 assessment indicator	Material: Material according to the topic Literature: Articles in reputable national and international journals	4%
12	Students are able to create book products in groups	1.Students are able to: Abstract literature study methods 2.Analyze a minimum of 10 articles in accredited National Journals 3.Analyze a minimum of 10 articles in accredited international journals 4.Analyze books and other relevant references	Criteria: Attached Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests	As in the 2 X 50 assessment indicator	Material: National and International Articles Bibliography: Articles in Reputable National and International Journals	4%

13	Students are able	1 04	Criteria:	As in the	Material:	4%
	to create book products in groups	1.Students are able to: Abstract literature study methods 2.Analyze a minimum of 10 articles in accredited National Journals 3.Analyze a minimum of 10 articles in accredited international journals 4.Analyze books and other relevant references	Attached Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	2 X 50 assessment indicator	National and International Articles Bibliography: Articles in Reputable National and International Journals	770
14	Students are able to create book products in groups	1.Students are able to: Abstract literature study methods 2.Analyze a minimum of 10 articles in accredited National Journals 3.Analyze a minimum of 10 articles in accredited international journals 4.Analyze books and other relevant references	Criteria: Attached Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	As in the 2 X 50 assessment indicator	Material: National and International Articles Bibliography: Articles in Reputable National and International Journals	5%
15	Students are able to abstract the overall scope of educational needs assessment and educational planning in depth	1.Students are able to: Abstract the scope of educational needs assessment and educational planning holistically 2.Conceptualize principles, models, approaches, strategies in conducting needs assessments and preparing educational plans 3.Analyze articles in accredited journals and relevant books	Criteria: Attached Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning/Discussion/Q&A 2 X 50	Material: All material studied in 1 semester Reference: Cunningham, WG 1982. Systematic Planning for Educational Change. Mountain View: USA Mayfield Publishing Company.	5%

16	Students are able to complete the UAS in an orderly manner in accordance with mutually agreed regulations	UAS results	Criteria: Attached Form of Assessment: Test		Implementation of UAS 2 X 50	Material: All material studied in 1 semester References: Material: All Mat References: Cunningham, WG 1982. Systematic Planning for Educational Change. Mountain View: USA Mayfield Publishing Company. Material: All material studied in 1 semester Reference: Cunningham, WG 1982. Systematic Planning for Educational Change. Mountain View: USA Mayfield Publishing Company.	30%
----	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	----------------------------------------------	--	------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	37.33%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	1.33%
3.	Practice / Performance	3.33%
4.	Test	59%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
 obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
 Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.