



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Master of Education Education Management Study Program

			SEME	S	ΓΕ	RI	LE	AR	NI	NC	βP	L	ΑN	ı					
Courses		CODE			C	Course Fami		mily		Cre	Credit Weight		SE	MEST	ER	Con	npilation		
Capita Selecta Education Management		8610400108	Study Progra Elective Cou			s	T=0) I	P=0	ECTS=0		1		Apri	l 1, 2022				
AUTHORIZATION			SP Develope	SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator				Stu	Study Program Coordinator					
			Dr. Nunuk Ha	ariyat	i, M.	Pd.			[Or. N	unuk	Ha	riyati	, M.Pd.	Е	Or. Am		namidi Pd.	i, S.Pd.,
Learning model	Project Based Lo	ear	ning																
Program	PLO study prog	gra	m which is cha	arge	d to	the	cou	rse											
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-5	Able to Manifest the Character "Intelligent, Religious, Noble Character, Independent, Caring, Academic Ethics and Resilient in the Field of Work, Daily Behavior in Society and State																	
(. 23)	PLO-6	W	ble to develop log ork practices by a performance in	apply	ing a	an int	erdi	sciplin	ary c	r mu	İtidisc	ucti	ve th nary	inking thr approach	ough in m	scien aking	tific res decisi	search ons as	and proven
PLO-10 Able to apply concepts, theories and practices of educational leadership, educational management educational organizations, educational supervision using research methods, statistical contents interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary environmental conditions																			
	Program Objec	tiv	es (PO)																
	PO - 1		nderstand the co									atic	n ma	anageme	nt iss	issues, both in the macro and			
	PO - 2		evealing, explori gislation, concept					ng str	ateg	c is	sues	in	edu	cational	mana	ageme	nt in	the c	ontext of
	PLO-PO Matrix																		
		P.O	P.O PLO-5 PLO-6				O-6 PLO-10												
		PO-1																	
		PO-2																	
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																		
			P.O		_			I _					eek			T			
			PO-1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	0 11	12	13	14	15	16
			PO-2																
								1									I		
Short Course Description	This course discusor that the study perspective, both level. Apart from position education	in at tha	this course is a the macro level at, through this co	abou at th ourse	t stra e na stu	ategi tiona dents	c iss Il an s are	sues r d regi e train	elate onal ed to	ed to level carr	Edud Is, as y out	ati we cri	on M ell as tical	lanageme at the m studies to	ent in icro l	ı Indo evel. a	nesia at the	from a educa	a national tional unit
References	Main :																		
	 Tim Dose Fattah, N 	en /	teng, 2006. Kapi Administrasi Pend ang, 1997. Landa de. 2004. Manaie	didika asan	an, L Man	IPI, 2 ajem	011 en F	. Man Pendid	ajem likan	en Po Ban	endid dung:	Re	emaja	a Rosdak					

4. Pidarta, Made. 2004. Manajemen Pendidikan Indonesia. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta

Supporters:

 ${\bf 1.} \ \ \, {\bf Artikel\ dalam\ Jurnal\ Nasional\ dan\ Internasional\ Bereputasi}$

Supporting lecturer Dr. Erny Roesminingsih, M.Si. Dr. Nunuk Hariyati, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eva	aluation	Learr Studen	p Learning, ing methods, t Assignments, imated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)	[References]		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	Students are able to build commitment to study and understand the objectives of study	Participation and activeness of participants	Criteria: Participation and activeness of participants Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities		Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers 1 X 50	Material: Foundations of Educational Management Literature: Articles in Reputable National and International Journals	3%	
2	Understanding the concept and theory of Capita Selecta educational management	Participation and activeness of participants	Criteria: Participation and activeness of participants Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests		Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers 1 X 50	Material: Definition, scope, objectives References: Syafrudin, Ateng, 2006. Capita Selekta. Yogyakarta: Citra Media	3%	
3	Students are able to master the theory of implementing the education system	Participation and activeness of participants	Criteria: Students are able to present the results of discussions with good performance and in-depth material Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities		Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers 1 X 50	Material: Education delivery system Reference: Syafrudin, Ateng, 2006. Kapita Selekta. Yogyakarta: Citra Media	3%	
4	Students are able to understand Decentralization in Education Management	Student performance	Criteria: Students are able to present the results of discussions with good performance and in-depth material Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities		Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers 1 X 50	Material: Centralization and decentralization of education Reference: Educational Administration Lecturer Team, UPI, 2011. Educational Management. Bandung: Alpha Beta	3%	
5	Students are able to identify micro education management issues	Student performance	Criteria: Students are able to present the results of discussions with good performance and in-depth material Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities		Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers 1 X 50	Material: Micro Educational Management Issues Reference: Fattah, Nanang, 1997. Foundations of Educational Management. Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	3%	

6	Students are able to identify macro education management issues	Participation and activeness of participants	Criteria: Students are able to present the results of discussions with good performance and in-depth material Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	1 X 50	Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers 1 X 50	Material: Macro Education Management Issues Bibliography: Fattah, Nanang, 1997. Foundations of Education Management. Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	3%
7	The Position of Educational Management in the Learning System	Student Performance	Criteria: Students are able to present the results of discussions with good performance and in-depth material Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Material: The Position of Educational Management in the Learning System Library: Educational Administration Lecturer Team, UPI, 2011. Educational Management. Bandung: Alpha Beta	3%
8	Students are able to carry out UTS well	UTS results	Criteria: According to the rubric Form of Assessment: Test	UTS	UTS 1 X 50	Material: All material from sections 1-7 References:	20%
9	Students are able to master educational organization theory material	Students are able to conceptualize organizational theory in educational institutions	Criteria: Students are able to present the results of discussions with good performance and in-depth material Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Material: Educational organization theory References: Fattah, Nanang, 1997. Foundations of Educational Management. Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	4%
10	Students are able to master educational leadership theory	Student performance	Criteria: Students are able to present the results of discussions with good performance and in-depth material Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Material: Educational leadership Reference: Syafrudin, Ateng, 2006. Capita Selekta. Yogyakarta: Citra Media	4%
11	Students are able to master classroom management theory comprehensively and in depth	Students are able to abstract classroom management theory	Criteria: Students are able to present the results of discussions with good performance and in-depth material Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Material: Classroom management Reference: Educational Administration Lecturer Team, UPI, 2011. Educational Management. Bandung: Alpha Beta	4%

			Т	ı	1		
12	Students are able to master organizational culture material comprehensively	Student Performance	Criteria: Students are able to present the results of discussions with good performance and in-depth material Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Material: Organizational culture Reference: Educational Administration Lecturer Team, UPI, 2011. Educational Management. Bandung: Alpha Beta	5%
13	Students are able to master the theory of change management in educational institutions	Student Performance	Criteria: Students are able to present the results of discussions with good performance and in-depth material Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Discussion, Q&A, Presentation	Material: Change Management Reference: Fattah, Nanang, 1997. Foundations of Educational Management. Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	4%
14	Students are able to master the Concept of School Based Management (MBS) comprehensively and in depth	Student Performance	Criteria: Students are able to present the results of discussions with good performance and in-depth material Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Material: Concept of School-Based Management (MBS) Reference: Educational Administration Lecturer Team, UPI, 2011. Educational Management. Bandung: Alpha Beta	4%
15	Students are able to master educational innovation theory comprehensively and in depth	Student Performance	Criteria: Students are able to present the results of discussions with good performance and in-depth material Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Discussion, Question and answer, Presentation 1 X 50	Material: educational innovation Reference: Educational Administration Lecturer Team, UPI, 2011. Educational Management. Bandung: Alpha Beta	4%
16	Students are able to carry out the UAS well	UAS results	Criteria: According to the rubric Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Written test 1 X 50	Written test 1 X 50	Material: All material from sections 1-7 References:	30%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	61.5%
2.	Practice / Performance	17%
3.	Test	21.5%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
 which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special
 skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.

- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or
 observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the
 course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.