

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Master of Education Education Management Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CODE			C	Course Family				Credit Weight					SEME	STER	Con	npilation			
Audit & Ç	Quali	ty Assurance Ski	lls,	8610402022				Compulsory Study			T=2 P=0 ECTS=4.48			1.48		2		1, 2023			
AUTHORIZATION			Program Subjects SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator				or	Study	Program	Coo	rdinator					
						Di	Dr. Erny Roesminingsih, M.si			si	Dr. Amrozi Khamidi, S.Pd., M.Pd.										
Learning model		Project Based Learning																			
Program Learning		PLO study prog	gram 1	that is charç	ged t	o the	cours	se													
Outcome (PLO)		PLO-5		Able to Manifest the Character "Intelligent, Religious, Noble Character, Independent, Caring, Academic Ethics and Resilient in the Field of Work, Daily Behavior in Society and State																	
		PLO-8	levels	to design plar s of education utions through	ı, solv	e prob	lems	and	provid	le adv	ocac	y in t	he	mana	ageme	ent and	lead	ership d	f educati	onal	
		Program Objec	tives	(PO)																	
		PO - 1	qualit	K-1 Able to unity assurance a in the manag	activit	ies. Ut	tilize lé	earni	ng re	source	es ar	nd info	orm	nation	tech	nology	to ap	ply aud	it and qu		
		PLO-PO Matrix																			
								_				_									
				P.O		PLC)-5		Р	LO-8											
				PO-1																	
PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																					
		PO Matrix at th	e enu	or each lea	ming	Stag	e (Su	ט-פי	J)												
				P.O									١	Neek							
				1.0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	T	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
			P	0-1																	
Short Course Descript	ion	Audit and quality a risk manageme internal and exte organization and	nt app ernal a	oroach, educa audits, initiatir	tional ng au	l plann	ing by	/ abs	stracti	ng ma	nage	emėn	t aı	udit c	once	pts, esp	ecial	ly risk a	pproach	es, au	ıdit steps,
Reference	ces	Main :																			
		•		ckett and Jen td, The Atriun						•							•	gement	Tool.Pub	lished	l by John
		Supporters:																			
	 1. Karla. M . Johnstone. Audrey. A. Gramling, Larry. E. Rittenberg. 2019. Auditing A Risk Based Approach. Cengage Learning Inc 2. 2. Karla. M . Johnstone. Audrey. A. Gramling, Larry. E. Rittenberg. 2014. Auditing A Risk Based Approach to Conducting A Quality Audit, Ninth Edition. United Stated: Copy Right Act 3. KH Spencer Pickett . 2004. Auditing The Risk Management Process. New Jersey: John Wiley & Son, Inc. Hoboken. 4. Sayle, A.J. 1981. Management Audit, The Assessment of Quality, Management Systems. London: McGraw-Hill Bod Company (UK) Ltd 							ucting A													
Supporting Dr. Erny Roesminingsih, M.Si.																					
Wash		al abilities of h learning		E	valua	tion					Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]						rning erials		essment		
Week- stage (Sub-PO)																		eriais rences]	We	eight (%)	

		1.1	0.00.00.00	0(0)			
		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	1.Able to understand academic and non-academic quality 2.Understand the meaning of managerial and financial audits, why all educational institutions need audits and quality assurance	1.1. Explain the concept of quality and academic and non-academic quality standards 2. Describe the scope of academic and non-academic quality 3. Explain how to measure the achievement of academic quality and non-academic quality and non-academic quality 2. Students are required to understand the concept of auditing and quality assurance in organizations and educational institutions	Criteria: 1.Correct gets 10, wrong gets 5 2.The assessment is divided into the value of the ability to ask and answer Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	2 X 50	lectures, discussions and questions and answers	Material: Understanding managerial and financial audits, why all educational institutions need audits and quality assurance. Literature:	5%
2	1.Able to understand the quality assurance system in education 2.Understand the scope, goals and objectives of management audits	1.1. Explain the quality assurance system in education. 2. Explain the quality assurance organizational structure required by schools/PTs to carry out quality assurance 2. Explain the scope, goals and objectives of the management audit	Criteria: True = 10 False = 5 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50	Small group discussion	Material: internal and external audit concepts, regulatory compliance and basic audit components. Reader: KH Spencer Pickett and Jennifer M Pickett. 2005. Auditing for Managers, The Ultimate Risk Management Tool. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England	5%

3	1.Able to understand setting quality standards 2.Able to abstract audit activities at managerial and audit team levels	Describe and examine the concept of internal and external audit, regulatory compliance and basic audit components.	Criteria: 1.True=10False=5 2.International assessment of the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, showing a good understanding of the concept. 3. The presentation media (PPT) is in accordance with the criteria, the answers to the questioner are correct, and able to provide appropriate suggestions Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Discussion, Q&A, and individual presentations 2 X 50	Small group discussions	Material: internal and external audit concepts, regulatory compliance and basic audit components. Reader: KH Spencer Pickett and Jennifer M Pickett. 2005. Auditing for Managers, The Ultimate Risk Management Tool. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England	5%
4	Review risk models, risk identification, risk assessment and risk appetite, common mistakes that often occur. why risk management	Review risk models, risk identification, risk assessment and risk appetite, common mistakes that often occur. why risk management	Criteria: LAIA is divided into the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, showing a good understanding of the concept. 3. The presentation media (PPT) is in accordance with the criteria, the answers to the questioner are correct, and able to provide applicable suggestions. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance		Small Group Discussion	Material: risk models, risk identification, risk assessment and risk appetite, common mistakes that often occur. why risk management Bibliography: KH Spencer Pickett and Jennifer M Pickett. 2005. Auditing for Managers, The Ultimate Risk Management Tool. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England	10%
5	Examining differences in current, past and future disturbances and problems, developing an audit approach	Examining differences in current, past and future disturbances and problems, developing an audit approach	Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance		Presentation, Discussion, Question and answer, Review	Material: current, past and future disturbances and problems, developing an audit approach. Reader: KH Spencer Pickett and Jennifer M Pickett. 2005. Auditing for Managers, The Ultimate Risk Management Tool. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England	5%

6	Understanding and analyzing crocodiles directs risks, determines strategies and creates reports.	the task of analyzing efforts to direct risks, determine strategies and create reports	Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Project based learning	Material: directing risks, determining strategies and creating risk assessment reports. Reader: KH Spencer Pickett and Jennifer M Pickett. 2005. Auditing for Managers, The Ultimate Risk Management Tool. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England	5%
7	Understand, review and analyze the initial audit team concept, determine programs, carry out workshops, and get the best human resources	Assessment of initial audit team simulation project results	Criteria: Assessment based on data from the project Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Presentation. 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer. 4. Tasks	Material: initial audit team concept, establishing a program, carrying out workshops, and getting the best human resources. Reference: 3. KH Spencer Pickett. 2004. Auditing the Risk Management Process. New Jersey: John Wiley & Son, Inc. Hoboken.	5%
8		Meeting indicators 1 to 7	Criteria: The UTS exam is carried out once by accessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, averaged and given a weight of 2 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Test	Test	Material: all meeting materials 1 to 7 References:	10%
9	Develop risk concepts, risk policies, control risks, direct and lead risks, and be ready to face risks that exist within the organization.	Tasks of analyzing risk policies, controlling risks, directing and leading risks, and being ready to face risks that exist within the organization.	Criteria: on the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, shows a good understanding of the concept, the presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to the questioner are correct, and is able to provide applicable suggestions. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Small group discussions	Material: risk, risk policy, controlling risk, directing and leading risk, and being ready to face risks that exist within the organization. Bibliography: 2. Karla. M. Johnstone. Audrey. A. Gramling, Larry. E. Rittenberg. 2014. Auditing A Risk Based Approach to Conducting A Quality Audit, Ninth Edition. United States: Copy Rights Act	5%

10	Understand the stage 1, 2, 3, 4 risk appetite model and the final risk appetite model	Understand and analyze the stage 1, 2, 3, 4 risk appetite model and the final risk appetite model	Criteria: on the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, shows a good understanding of the concept, the presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, the answer to the questioner is correct, and is able to provide applicable	Project based le	1, 2, 3, 4 risk appetite model and final risk appetite model References: 2. Karla. M. Johnstone. Audrey. A. Gramling, Larry. E. Rittenberg. 2014. Auditing A Risk Based	5%
			suggestions Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance		Approach to Conducting A Quality Audit, Ninth Edition. United States: Copy Rights Act	
11	Understand and analyze the Control Model of self-evaluation stages 1, 2, 3, 4 and final	Analyzing the Control Model Self-evaluation stages 1, 2, 3, 4 and final	Criteria: 1.International assessment of the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, showing a good understanding of the concept. 3. The presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to the questioner are correct, and able to provide applicable suggestions. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	small group discussions	Material: Control Model Self-evaluation stages 1, 2, 3, 4 and final References: KH Spencer Pickett and Jennifer M Pickett. 2005. Auditing for Managers, The Ultimate Risk Management Tool. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England	5%
12	Understand the Framework for audit evidence		Criteria: 1.International assessment of the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, showing a good understanding of the concept. 3. The presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to the questioner are correct, and able to provide applicable suggestions. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Small group discussions	Material: Framework for audit evidence References: KH Spencer Pickett and Jennifer M Pickett. 2005. Auditing for Managers, The Ultimate Risk Management Tool. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England	5%

13	Understand and review audit planning: identify and respond to risks	reviewing audit planning: identifying and responding to risks	Criteria: 1.International assessment of the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, showing a good understanding of the concept. 3. The presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to	small group discussions	Material: audit planning: identifying and responding to risks Reader: KH Spencer Pickett and Jennifer M Pickett. 2005. Auditing for Managers, The Ultimate Risk	5%
			the questioner are correct, and able to provide applicable suggestions. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Management Tool. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England	
14		analyzing changes in quality culture through audits: public, professional and credibility statements, based on findings, using risk registers and good reporting.	Criteria: 1.International assessment of the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, showing a good understanding of the concept. 3. The presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to the questioner are correct, and able to provide applicable suggestions. Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Small group discussion	Material: change in quality culture through audit: public statement, professionalism and credibility, based on findings, using a risk register and good reporting. Bibliography: KH Spencer Pickett and Jennifer M Pickett. 2005. Auditing for Managers, The Ultimate Risk Management Tool. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England	5%
15	Create audit and quality assurance implementation projects in the form of audit instruments and/or publications related to audit substance and quality assurance	Create audit and quality assurance implementation projects in the form of audit instruments and/or publications related to audit substance and quality assurance	Criteria: 1.International assessment of the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, showing a good understanding of the concept. 3. The presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to the questioner are correct, and able to provide applicable suggestions. Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning	Material: all meeting materials 1 to 14 Reader: KH Spencer Pickett and Jennifer M Pickett. 2005. Auditing for Managers, The Ultimate Risk Management Tool. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England	9%

16	Meeting indicators 1 to 15	Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Project based learning	Material: all material Reader: KH Spencer Pickett and Jennifer M Pickett. 2005. Auditing for Managers, The Ultimate Risk Management Tool. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England	10%
----	----------------------------	---	--	------------------------	--	-----

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	30.02%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	49.02%
3.	Practice / Performance	15.02%
4.	Test	5%
		99.06%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
 Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.