

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business, Master of Economics Education Study Program

Document Code

UNESA	Master of Economics Education Study Program																	
		:	SEM	IES	TE	R L	EA	RN	INC	3 P	LAI	N						
Courses	CODE				Cou	rse Fa	amily		С	redit V	Veight		SEM	MESTE	R	Co	mpilation te	
Science phylo	87103020	)48			Com					=0 EC	TS=4.48		1		Ma	y 10, 2023		
AUTHORIZATI	ON	SP Devel	oper	Program Súbje pper				subject		urse (	Cluste	r Coor	dinator	Stud	dy Pro	gram C	oordir	nator
		Prof. Dr. I	Hariyati,	Ak., I	М.Si., (	CA.			Pro S.F	of. Drs I.,M.N	. Yoyo I., Ph.[	k Soesa D.	atyo,	Dw	i Yuli R	≀akhma Ph		.Si., M.Si.,
Learning model	Case Studies																	
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course																	
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																	
(PLO)	PO - 1	Utilizing learning resources and ICT to support student achievement of competencies related to understanding and ability to think philosophically, logically creatively and critically according to scientific principles																
	PO - 2	Have knowledge and insight into the philosophy of science to think critically and creatively and philosophically in dealing with problems.																
	PO - 3	Have critical and creative thinking skills and be innovative in dealing with problems																
	PO - 4	Have a responsible and objective attitude in developing critical and creative thinking skills as well as being philosophical in dealing with problems.																
	PO - 5	Utilizing learning resources and ICT to support student achievement of competencies related to understanding and ability to think philosophically, logically creatively and critically according to scientific principles																
	PO - 6	Have knowledge and insight into the philosophy of science to think critically and creatively and philosophically in dealing with problems.																
	PLO-PO Matrix																	
		P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 PO-5																
	PO Matrix at th	e end of each le	arning	stag	e (Sul	b-PO)	)											
		P.O									Wee	k						_
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		PO-1																
		PO-2																
		PO-3																
		PO-4																
		PO-5 PO-6																
		PO-0																
Short Course Description	(materialism, idea Educational Philo (Social Construc	ains: 1) The natur alism/spiritualism, osophy (Essential tionism, Hermene ery of critical litera	realism) ism, Pe utics, D	); (3) rennia	theorie alism,	es of t Progr	ruth; ( essivi:	(4) ont sm, Ex	ologic kisten	al asp tialism	pects, n, Rec	episten onstruc	nological tion, Cri	aspe tical F	cts, and Pedago	d axiolo gy); (6	ogical a ) Posti	aspects; (5) modernism,

- Fautanu, Idzam.. 2012. Filsafat Ilmu. Teori dan Aplikasinya
   Jujun S. Suriasumantri. 2009. Ilmu Dalam Perspektif. Kumpulan Kara
   Nigel Warbuton. 2013. Philosophy The Basics. New York: Routledge Jujun S. Suriasumantri. 2009. Ilmu Dalam Perspektif. Kumpulan Karangan Tentang Hakekat Ilmu
- 4. Walter Ott & Alex Dunn. 2010. Modern Philosophy. Virginia: University of Virginia press

## Supporters:

- James Ladyman. 2002. Understanding Philosophy of Science. London: Routledge
   The Liang Gie. 2004. Pengantar Filsafat Ilmu. Yogyakarta: Liberty
- 3. Hariyati. Pengembangan Evaluasi Diri Unesa sebagai PTN-BH (Penelitian Penugasan Kebijakan Strategis Universitas Batch 3 Dana PNBP 2020)

## Supporting lecturer

Prof. Drs. Yoyok Soesatyo, S.H., M.M., Ph.D. Prof.Dr. Waspodo Tjipto Subroto, M.Pd. Prof. Dr. Hariyati, Ak., M.Si., CA.

Week-	Prof. Dr. Hariyati, Final abilities of each learning stage		luation	Lea Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, ent Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	[10000000]	Weight (70)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Mastering the nature of the philosophy of science and its scope.	1. Explain the meaning of the essence of the philosophy of science 2. Describe the Substance of the Philosophy of Science 3. Explaining the Benefits of Philosophical Thinking 4. Classifying the Characteristics of philosophical thinking 5. Describes the Ancient Greek Era 6 BC	Criteria: non test: discussing the nature of the philosophy of science  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Mastering the nature of the philosophy of science and its scope.  References: Fautanu, Idzam 2012. Philosophy of Science. Theory and Applications	3%
2	Analyzing the history of the development of science from myth to logic.	1.Describes the Ancient Greek Era 6 BC 2.Explaining the Age of Palto, Socrates and Aristotle 3.Distinguish between the dark ages of science and the ages of enlightenment 4.Describing the Aufklarung Era 5.Describing the Substance of the Philosophy of Science	Criteria: non test: Analyzing the history of the development of science from myth to logic.  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Analyzing the history of the development of science from myth to logic.  References: Fautanu, Idzam 2012. Philosophy of Science. Theory and Applications	3%
3	Analyzing the substance and function of the philosophy of science	1.Classifying the Functions of philosophy in life 2.Differentiating philosophical approaches 3.Describe the Ontology Approach 4.Explaining the Epistemological Approach 5.Describe the Axiological Approach	Criteria: non test: Analyzing the substance and function of the philosophy of science Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Analyzing the substance and function of the philosophy of science. Reader: Nigel Warbuton. 2013. Philosophy The Basics. New York: Routledge	3%
4	Understand the study of ontology, epistemology and axiology.	1. Explaining the teachings of the philosophy of materialism 2. Describe the teachings of idealism 3. Explaining the teachings of realism 4. Spiritualism teachings	Criteria: non test: Understand the study of ontology, epistemology and axiology.  Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Understanding the study of ontology, epistemology and axiology. Bibliography: Walter Ott & Alex Dunn. 2010. Modern Philosophy. Virginia: University of Virginia press	3%

5	Understand the main teachings of the philosophy of materialism, idealism/spiritualism, realism).	1.Explain the coherence theory of truth 2.Explain Correspondence Theory 3.Describe the theory of religious pragmatism 4.Describe philosophical thinking	Criteria: non test: Understanding the main teachings of the philosophy of materialism, idealism/spiritualism, realism).  Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Understanding the main teachings of the philosophy of materialism, idealism/spiritualism, realism). Bibliography: James Ladyman. 2002. Understanding the Philosophy of Science. London: Routledge	3%
6	Understand theories of truth (coherence, pragmatic correspondence, religion).	1.Explain the coherence theory of truth 2.Explain Correspondence Theory 3.Describe the theory of religious pragmatism 4.Describe philosophical thinking	Criteria: non test: Understanding theories of truth (coherence, correspondence, pragmatism, religion).  Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Understanding theories of truth (coherence, pragmatic correspondence, religion). Bibliography: James Ladyman. 2002. Understanding the Philosophy of Science. London: Routledge	3%
7	Critical Thinking Skills (relativity of reasoning power, mastery of basic literacy, mastery of critical literacy)	1.Classifying     Critical thinking     2.Describe     literacy mastery     3.Describe the     development of     reasoning	Criteria: Non Test: • Discusses critical thinking, literacy mastery, reasoning development  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practical / Performance	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Critical Thinking Skills (relativity of reasoning power, mastery of basic literacy, mastery of critical literacy) Library: Walter Ott & Alex Dunn. 2010. Modern Philosophy. Virginia: University of Virginia press	7%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: UTS Form of Assessment : Test	UTS 2 X 50	UTS 2 X 50	Material: - Library:	20%

9	Analyzing the Humanism and Renaissance movements	1.explain the meaning of the essence of the philosophy of science 2.Explain the Substance of the Philosophy of Science 3.explain the benefits of philosophical thinking 4.Explain the characteristics of philosophical thinking 5.Describe the Ancient Greek Era 6 BC 6.explain the Age of Palto, Socrates and Aristotle 7.Describe the dark ages of science and the ages of enlightenment 8.Explain the Substance of the Philosophy of Science 10.Explain the function of philosophy in life 11.Differentiating philosophical approaches 12.Explain the Ontology Approach 13.Explain the Epistemological Approach 14.Explain the Epistemological Approach 15.explain the teachings of the philosophy of materialism 16.Describe the teachings of the philosophy of materialism 17.explain the contribution of realism 18.Spiritualism teachings 19.Explain the coherence theory of truth 20.Melaskan Correspondence theory 21.Explain the theory of	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Analyzing the Humanism and Renaissance movements Reader: Walter Ott & Alex Dunn. 2010. Modern Philosophy. Virginia: University of Virginia press	3%
		materialism 16.Describe the teachings of idealism 17.explain the doctrine of realism 18.Spiritualism teachings 19.Explain the coherence				
		Correspondence theory 21.Explain the theory of religious pragmatism 22.Describe philosophical thinking 23.Explain the characteristics				
		of scientific thinking 24.Classifying Critical thinking 25.Explain literacy mastery 26.Explain the development of reasoning				

10	Skilled in thinking rationally, empirically, empirically, critically and pragmatically.	1.Explaining the humanist movement 2.Describe the Renaissance Movement 3.Describe the flow of rationalism 4.Explaining Empiricism	Criteria: non test: Skilled in thinking rationally, empirically, critically and pragmatically.  Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Skilled in thinking rationally, empirically, critically and pragmatically. Bibliography: Nigel Warbuton. 2013. Philosophy The Basics. New York: Routledge	3%
11	Analyzing postmodern flows (Social Constructionism, Hermeneuti ka)	1.Postmodern flow 2.Social constructionism 3.Hermeneutics 4.Explaining postmodern flow	Criteria: B = Fairly Good  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Analyzing postmodern schools (Social Constructionism, Hermeneuti ka) References: Jujun S. Suriasumantri. 2009. Science in Perspective. Collection of Essays on the Nature of Science	3%
12	Understanding postmodernism (Deconstruction, Structuralism).	1.Describing     Criticism     2.Explaining the     flow of     Pragmatism     3.Postmodern     flow     4.Social     constructionism	Criteria: B = Fairly Good  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Understanding postmodernism (Deconstruction, Structuralism). Bibliography: Walter Ott & Alex Dunn. 2010. Modern Philosophy. Virginia: University of Virginia press	3%
13	Analyzing ontological aspects about the nature of existence	1.Explaining postmodern flow     2.Describe the flow of deconstruction     3.Describe the Structural Flow of lization	Criteria: Non Test: • Discuss the postmodern flow, the deconstruction flow, the structuralization flow  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Analyzing ontological aspects of the nature of existence. Reference: Walter Ott & Alex Dunn. 2010. Modern Philosophy. Virginia: University of Virginia press	3%
14	Analyzing aspects of epistemology in philosophical schools	1.Describe the Structural Flow of lization 2.Explains aspects of Ontology in the Existing Nature 3.Describe aspects of scientific ontology 4.Describes philosophical ontology	Criteria: non test: Analyzing aspects of epistemology in philosophical schools  Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Analyzing aspects of epistemology in philosophical schools. Library: Nigel Warbuton. 2013. Philosophy The Basics. New York: Routledge	3%
15	Skilled in applying axiolgy in terms of utility values and value judgments and knowledge	1.Describe the individual and social constructivism     2.Differentiate Methods of acquiring knowledge     3.Explaining Truth in epistemology	Criteria: Non Test: • Discuss individual and social constructivism, and Truth in epistemology  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Lectures, Cooperative Learning, Analyzing case studies related to 2 X 50 study materials	Material: Skilled in applying axiolgy in utility values and value judgments and science. Reader: James Ladyman. 2002. Understanding the Philosophy of Science. London: Routledge	7%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: UAS Form of Assessment : Test	UAS 2 X 50	UAS 2 X 50	Material: - Library:	30%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

LVC	iluation Fercentage Recap. Case Study	
No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	13.5%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	1.75%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	11.5%
4.	Practical Assessment	9.75%
5.	Practice / Performance	13.5%
6.	Test	50%
		100%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
  graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
  obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
  predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria
  can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.