

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business, Master of Economics Education Study Program

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CODE			Course Family			Credi	Credit Weight			TER	Con	npilati	on	
ECONOMIC LITERACY STUDY						Compulsory Study								Date	e	
	8710302093				oulsory : am Sub		1_					3	-	/ 22, 20		
AUTHORIZAT	SP Develop	er					Cours	e Cluste	er Coor	dinator	Study I	Program	Coor	dinato	r	
		Dr. Susanti,	S.Pd.,	, M.Si				Dr. No	rida Car	nda Sak	tti, M.Si	Dwi Y	′uli Rakh M.Si.,			.,
Learning model	Case Studies															
Program	PLO study prog	gram that is char	ged to	o the c	ourse											
Learning Outcomes	PLO-12	Faithful to God Almighty and able to uphold human values in carrying out duties based on religion, morals and e								l ethics	;					
(PLO)	Program Object	Program Objectives (PO)														
	PO - 1	Utilizing science a	nd tech	hnolog	y as a t	ool for d	levelo	oing liter	acy							
	PO - 2	Mastering the con	cept of	f literac	y devel	opment	as a s	ocial pr	actice a	nd litera	cy in learn	ing				
	PO - 3	Make strategic dec	cisions	s in des	igning l	iteracy i	resear	ch in ac	cordanc	e with a	pplicable p	rocedure	s and co	des of	f ethics	;
	PO - 4	Be responsible a toughness in comp											e, hones	ty, ca	ring, a	and
	PLO-PO Matrix															
	PO Matrix at the	P.O           PO-1           PO-2           PO-3           PO-4	rning	PLO-		-	6	7	Wee 8 9	ek 10		2 13		15	16	
Short Course Description References	discussion of mul literacy, multilitera Main : 1. Pahl, К &	rious things about timodal literacy, lite acies, literacy and id	racy a lentity	as a so , as we	cial pra ell as the	ctice, ne e implica	ew lite ations	racy stu of the S utledge	idies, mi chool Lit	ultimoda teracy M	al literacy, Aovement t	literacy le	arning, I	ocal a	ınd glo	
	<ol> <li>Street, B &amp; Leftstein, A. 2000. Literacy: An Advanced Sourcebook. London: Routledge</li> <li>Dewayani, S. &amp; Retnaningdyah, P. 2017. Suara dari Marjin: Literasi sebagai Praktik Sosial. Bandung: Rosda</li> <li>Laksono, Kisyani &amp; Retnaningdyah, P. 2017. Strategi Literasi dalam Pembelajaran. Jakarta: Kemendikbud</li> <li>Barton, D. &amp; Hamilton, M. 2000. Situated Literacies. London: Routledge</li> <li>Wiedarti, Pangesti &amp; Laksono, Kisyani. 2018. Desain Induk GLS. Jakarta: Kemndikbud</li> </ol>															

		<ol> <li>Subroto N Mikro (Pe</li> <li>Harti, Sus</li> </ol>	W.T. dan Hakim L. enelitian Kompetitif santi, Sakti N.C., c	ngan dan Tetap Survive S 2019. Analisis Gaya Bela Dana PNBP Unesa 2019) Jan Wulandari S.S. 2021. h di Surabaya (Penelitian	ajar dan Literasi V Pengaruh Orient	Visual Mahasiswa terhada tasi Pasar terhadap Kiner	ap Hasil Pembelaj ja Usaha melalui	aran Ekonomi
Support lecturer	D D	rof.Dr. Waspodo r. Norida Canda r. Harti, M.Si. rof. Dr. Susanti,	,	Pd.				
Week-	ek- Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)		Ev	valuation	Learn Studen	lp Learning, ning methods, it Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
			Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline( offline)	Online ( online )	[References]	
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1		rstand the role eracy in	Students can create a mind map of the role of literacy in education	Criteria: Non test: Students understand the role of literacy in education Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Questions and answers, discussion 2 X 50	Questions and answers, discussion 2 X 50	Material: The role of literacy in education References: Pahl, K & Rowsell, J. 2010. Literacy in Education. London: Routledge	3%
2	of the Litera (GLS	ents rstand the role e School acy Movement j in education donesia	<ol> <li>Students         <ul> <li>can explain</li> <li>the role of</li> <li>GLS</li> </ul> </li> <li>Students         <ul> <li>can create</li> <li>a mind map</li> <li>regarding</li> <li>the role of</li> <li>GLS</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Criteria: Non test: Students understand the role of the School Literacy Movement (GLS) in education in Indonesia Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Discussion, assignment 2 X 50	Discussion, assignment 2 X 50	Material: The Role of the School Literacy Movement (GLS) in Education in Indonesia <b>Reference:</b> Street, B & Leftstein, A. 2000. Literacy: An Advanced Sourcebook. London: Routledge	3%
3	as a (Intro Kate	rstand literacy social practice oduction to Pahl and ifer Rowsell's	Students are able to explain literacy as a social practice	Criteria: Non test: Students understand literacy as a social practice (Introductory part of Kate Pahl and Jennifer Rowsell's book) Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Discussion, assignment 2 X 50	Discussion, assignment 2 X 50	Material: Literacy as a social practice (Introductory part of Kate Pahl and Jennifer Rowsell's book) <b>References:</b> Dewayani, S. & Retnaningdyah, P. 2017. Voices from the Margins: Literacy as a Social Practice. Bandung: Rosda	3%
4	litera (Cha book	ents rstand new cy studies pter I of the Literacy and ation)	<ol> <li>Students are able to explain new literacy</li> <li>Students are able to make a mind map for chapter I</li> </ol>	Criteria: Non test: Students understand the new literacy study (Chapter I of the book Literacy and Education) Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion, assignment 2 X 50	Discussion, assignment 2 X 50	Material: New literacy study (Chapter I of the book Literacy and Education) References: Laksono, Kisyani & Retnaningdyah, P. 2017. Literacy Strategies in Learning. Jakarta: Ministry of Education and Culture	3%

5	Students understand multimodal literacy (Part 2 of the Literacy and Education Book)	<ol> <li>Students are able to explain multimodal literacy</li> <li>Students are able to make a mind map for part 2 of the Literacy and Education Book</li> </ol>	Criteria: Non test: Students understand multimodal literacy (Part 2 of the Literacy and Education Book) Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentations, discussions, assignments 2 X 50	Presentations, discussions, assignments 2 X 50	Material: Multimodal literacy (Part 2 of the Literacy and Education Book) <b>References:</b> Barton, D. & Hamilton, M. 2000. Situated Literacies. London: Routledge	3%
6	Students understand texts for children (part 3 of the book Literacy and Education)	<ol> <li>Students are able to explain texts to children</li> <li>Students are able to make a mind map for part 3 of the Literacy and Education book</li> </ol>	Criteria: Non-tets: Students understand texts for children (part 3 of the Literacy and Education book) Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Presentations, discussions, assignments 2 X 50	Presentations, discussions, assignments 2 X 50	Material: Text for children (part 3 of the book Literacy and Education) Library: Wiedarti, Pangesti & Laksono, Kisyani. 2018. GLS Master Design. Jakarta: Ministry of Education and Culture	3%
7	Students understand how to bridge local and global literacy (Part 4 of the Literacy and Education Book)	<ol> <li>Students are able to explain local and global literacy</li> <li>Mamou students make. mind map part 4 of the book Literacy and Education</li> </ol>	Criteria: Non test: Students understand how to bridge local and global literacy (Part 4 of the Literacy and Education Book) Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Presentations, discussions, assignments 2 X 50	Presentations, discussions, assignments 2 X 50	Material: Local and global literacy (Part 4 of the Literacy and Education Book) References: Subroto WT and Hakim L. 2019. Analysis of Students' Learning Style and Visual Literacy on Microeconomics Learning Outcomes (2019 Unesa PNBP Fund Competitive Research)	3%
8	Midterm Exam (UTS)	Midterm Exam (UTS)	Criteria: Midterm Exam (UTS) Form of Assessment : Test	Midterm Exam (UTS) 2 X 50	Midterm Exam (UTS) 2 X 50	Material: - Library:	20%
9	Students understand literacy and identity (section 5 in the book Literacy and Education)	<ol> <li>Students are able to explain literacy and identity</li> <li>Students are able to make a mind map for part 5 of the Literacy and Education book</li> </ol>	Criteria: Non test: Understanding literacy and identity (part 5 in the book Literacy and Education) Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Presentations, discussions, assignments 2 X 50	Presentations, discussions, assignments 2 X 50	Material: Literacy and identity (part 5 in the book Literacy and Education) References: Harti, Susanti, Sakti NC, and Wulandari SS 2021. The Influence of Market Orientation on Business Performance through Digital Literacy in Small and Medium Enterprises in Surabaya (Basic Research for the Unesa Dana Postgraduate Program PNBP 2021)	3%

10	Students understand the role of new literacies in curriculum and education (Part 6 of the Literacy and Education Book)	<ol> <li>Students are able to explain the role of new literacy in the curriculum and education</li> <li>Students are able to make a mind map for part 6 of the Literacy and Education book</li> </ol>	Criteria: Non test: Understanding the role of new literacy in curriculum and education (Part 6 of the Literacy and Education Book) Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Presentations, discussions, assignments 2 X 50	Presentations, discussions, assignments 2 X 50	Material: New literacy in curriculum and education (Part 6 Literacy and Education Book) <b>References:</b> Pahl, K & Rowsell, J. 2010. Literacy in Education. London: Routledge	3%
11	Students understand the role of new literacies in curriculum and education (Part 6 of the Literacy and Education Book)	<ol> <li>Students are able to explain the role of new literacy in the curriculum and education</li> <li>Students are able to make a mind map for part 6 of the Literacy and Education book</li> </ol>	Criteria: Non test: Understanding the role of new literacy in curriculum and education (Part 6 of the Literacy and Education Book) Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Presentations, discussions, assignments 2 X 50	Presentations, discussions, assignments 2 X 50	Material: New literacy in curriculum and education (Part 6 Literacy and Education Book) <b>References:</b> Pahl, K & <i>Rowsell, J.</i> 2010. Literacy in Education. London: Routledge	3%
12	Students understand literacy questions well	Students are able to compose good literacy questions	Criteria: Non test: Students understand literacy questions well Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, assignment 2 X 50	Discussion, assignment 2 X 50	Material: Students understand good literacy questions. Reference: Street, B & Leftstein, A. 2000. Literacy: An Advanced Sourcebook. London: Routledge	7%
13	Students understand literacy questions well	Students are able to compose good literacy questions	Criteria: Non test: Students understand literacy questions well Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, assignment 2 X 50	Discussion, assignment 2 X 50	Material: Students understand good literacy questions. Reference: Street, B & Leftstein, A. 2000. Literacy: An Advanced Sourcebook. London: Routledge	3%
14	Students understand literacy assessments	<ol> <li>Students discuss actively about the topics discussed.</li> <li>Students revise the questions they have created</li> </ol>	Criteria: Non test: Students understand the literacy assessment Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Presentation, discussion 2 X 50	Presentation, discussion 2 X 50	Material: Students understand literacy assessment Reference: Laksono, Kisyani & Retnaningdyah, P. 2017. Literacy Strategies in learning. Jakarta: Ministry of Education and Culture	3%

15	Students understand literacy assessments	<ol> <li>Students discuss actively about the topics discussed.</li> <li>Students revise the questions they have created</li> </ol>	Criteria: Non test: Students understand the literacy assessment Forms of Assessment Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Presentation, discussion 2 X 50	Presentation, discussion 2 X 50	Material: Students understand literacy assessment Reference: Laksono, Kisyani & Retnaningdyah, P. 2017. Literacy strategies in learning. Jakarta: Ministry of Education and Culture	7%
16	FINAL SEMESTER EXAMINATION (UAS)	FINAL SEMESTER EXAMINATION (UAS)	Criteria: FINAL SEMESTER EXAMINATION (UAS) Form of Assessment : Test	FINAL SEMESTER EXAMINATION (UAS) 2 X 50	FINAL SEMESTER EXAMINATION (UAS) 2 X 50	Material: - Library:	30%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	26.33%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	11.33%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	9.33%
4.	Practice / Performance	3%
5.	Test	50%
		99.99%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are
- used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
   Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.