

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Master of Arts and Culture Education Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN													
Courses			(	CODE		Course	Family		Cred	lit Weig	ht	SEMESTER	Compilation Date
Anthropological Theory of Art			8	3810902043					T=2	P=0 I	ECTS=4.48	0	July 18, 2024
AUTHORIZATION			5	SP Developer				Course Cluster Coordinator			ordinator	Study Program Coordinator	
												Dr. Anik Juwariyah, M.Si.	
Learning model	J	Case Studies											
Progran		PLO study program that is charged to the course											
Learning		Program Object	tives (P	0)									
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix											
				P.O									
		PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)											
			P.O				Week						
				1 2	3 4	5 6	7	8 9	10	0 11	. 12	13 14	15 16
Short Course Description  Study and analyze various kinds of material objects produced by people not solely as aesthetic objects but are unde broader role in human life, for example in beliefs and rituals. The material studied includes sculptures, masks, pa baskets, pots, weapons, dance, acting, singing and the human body as well as the symbolic meanings encoded materials and techniques used to produce them both focusing on the historical dimension, economics and aesthetics in as well as what is known as 'tribal art' which is valuable in arts and culture education.							ntings, textiles, in the objects,						
References		Main :											
<ol> <li>Denzin, Norman K ad Yvonna S. Lincoln (ed.), Handbook of Qualitative Research, New Delhi London: Sage Public.</li> <li>Ratna, Nyoman Kutha, 2010, Metodologi Penelitian: Kajian Budaya dan Ilmu Sosial Humaniora Pada Umumnya. Y Pustaka Pelajar</li> <li>Sugiono, 2005, Memahami Penelitian Kualitatif. Bandung: CV. Alfabeta</li> <li>Kaelan, 2012, Metode Penelitian Kualitatif Interdisipliner: Bidang Sosial, Budaya, Filsafat, Seni, Agama, dan H Yogyakarta: Paradigma.</li> <li>Levitt, Paul M., 1971, A Structural Approach to the Analysis of Drama. Paris: Mouton De Gruyter</li> <li>Marinis, Marco De, 1993, The Semiotic of Performance, terj. Aine O 19Healy. Bloomington and Indianapoli University Press.</li> <li>Soedarsono, 2001, Metodologi Penelitian Seni Pertunjukan dan Seni Rupa. Bandung: MSPI.</li> <li>Spradley, James P., 2007, Metode Etnografi. Yogyakarta: Tiara Wacana.</li> </ol>								ra. Yogyakarta: an Humaniora.					
		Supporters:											
Supporting lecturer		SUHARSONO Dr. Autar Abdillah Dr. Anik Juwariya		M.Si.									
Week-	eac	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)		Evaluation  ndicator Criteria & Forn		Form	Offi	Leari Studer	Student Assignments, material [Estimated time] Reference		Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)	
	, , ,			dicator			offl	ine )				1	
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)		(!	5)		(6	)	(7)	(8)

	Able A		T			
1	Able to understand and explain and master the basic concepts of the Anthropological Theory of Art	1.Explains the basic concepts of the Anthropological Theory of Art 2.2. Identify the meaning of Anthropology 3. Describe the types of anthropological studies, such as physical, cultural and social anthropology	Criteria: Able to answer questions and carry out analysis of the answers submitted	Discussion, questions and answers 2 X 50		0%
2	Explaining the Anthropological Theory of Art in the context of Material and non-Material Culture	Able to explain: Context of Anthropological Theory of Art in Material and Non- Material Culture	Criteria: Able to write descriptions in report form	Cooperative, Watching People's Performances and carrying out objective analysis 2 X 50		0%
3	Identify and examine Colonialism, Infrastructure and Media	Describing     Colonialism     Finding     Infrastructure     and Media	Criteria: Able to explain Colonialism, Infrastructure and Media	Discussion, and questions and answers 2 X 50		0%
4	Examining and developing the concept of Artifacts, Primitive Art and Museums	Able to explain and develop the concept of Artifacts, Primitive Art and Museums	Criteria: Able to explain and map the concept of artifacts, primitive art and museums	Cooperative Discussion Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
5	Identifying and mapping the Anthropological Theory of Art in a Global Context	Able to explain and map the Anthropological Theory of Art in a Global context	Criteria: Able to explain the Anthropological Theory of Art in a Global Context	Cooperative Discussion Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
6	Identifying and studying Art Problems and Art Anthropology Theory	Able to explain Art Problems and Art Anthropology Theory	Criteria: Completely explains the Problems of Art and the Anthropological Theory of Art	Cooperative, Discussion and Question and Answer 2 X 50		0%
7	Identifying the Art Market: Artists, Circulation and Identity	Able to explain the Art Market: Artists, Circulation and Identity	Criteria: Completely analyze the Art Market: Artists, Circulation and Identity within the framework of Art Anthropology Theory	Discussion and Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
8	Identifying the differences between Semiotics, Performance and Representation	Able to differentiate Semiotics, Performance and Representation	Criteria: Completely explains in oral and written form Semiotics, Performance and Representation within the framework and application of the Anthropological Theory of Art	Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers, and 2 X 50 Assignments		0%
9	Examining Aesthetics, Style and Taste	Able to study Aesthetics, Style and Taste	Criteria: Completely explains Aesthetics, Style and Taste within the framework of the Anthropological Theory of Art	Discussion and Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
10	Identifying the Agency of Things	Able to identify the Agency of Objects	Criteria: Completely explains the Agency of Objects within the framework of the Anthropological Theory of Art	Discussion and Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
11	Explaining Cultural Property, Heritage and Copyright	Capable of Cultural Property, Heritage and Copyright	Criteria: Completely explains Cultural Property, Heritage and Copyright within the framework of the Anthropological Theory of Art	Lectures and Discussions 2 X 50		0%

12	identifying the Value of Education in the Anthropological Theory of Art	Able to identify the Value of Education in the Anthropological Theory of Art	Criteria: Completely explains the Value of Education in the Anthropological Theory of Art	Discussion and Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
13	Explaining a New Approach to the Anthropological Theory of Contemporary Art	Able to explain the New Approach to the Anthropological Theory of Contemporary Art	Criteria: Completely explains the New Approach to the Anthropological Theory of Contemporary Art	Discussion and Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
14	Making a Study of Art Anthropology Theory in Arts and Culture Education	Able to make an Anthropological Theory of Art Study in Arts and Culture Education	Criteria: Completely explains the Study of Art Anthropology Theory in Arts and Culture Education	Discussion and Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
15	Presenting a Study of Art Anthropology Theory in Arts and Culture Education	Able to present a Study of Art Anthropology Theory in Arts and Culture Education	Criteria: Completely explains the Study of Art Anthropology Theory in Arts and Culture Education	Discussion and Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
16						0%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study** 

LVU	idution i cit	cittage recoup	. ousc	Ota
No	Evaluation	Percentage		
		0%		

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
  predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and
  unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.