

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business Bachelor of Accounting Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

										1				_						
Courses		С	ODE			С	Course Family			Cre	edit W	/eight		S	EMES	TER	Con Date	npilat e	ion	
Thesis		6	220106089)		С	omp	ulsory Im Sul	Stuc	ly s	T=(6 P=	0 EC	TS=9.54	4	8		Мау	[,] 8, 20	23
AUTHORIZAT	ION	s	SP Developer				5,00		ourse Cluster Coordinator Study Program C					m Coo	ordina	ator				
			Dr. Rohmawati Kusumaningtias, S.E., Ak., MSA.				Rendra Arief Hidayat, S.Ak., M.Sc			I	Dr. Rohmawati Kusumaningtias, S.E., Ak., MSA.									
Learning model	Project Based L	earning																		
Program	PLO study prog	gram tha	n that is charged to the course																	
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-3		p logical, cr rdance with											ecific wo	ork in	their f	ield of	exper	tise a	nd
	PLO-11	Able to	design and	l carry	y out re	esear	rch in	the fie	eld o	f accoui	nting	and c	ommu	nicate tl	he re	sults				
	Program Objec	tives (P	90)																	
	PO - 1	Develop	o logical, cri	itical,	syster	natic	and	creativ	/e thi	nking in	cond	ductin	g spec	cific rese	earch	l				
	PO - 2	Able to	carry out re	eseard	ch in th	ne fiel	ld of	accou	nting	and co	mmu	nicate	the re	esults						
	PLO-PO Matrix																			
			P.O		PLC	0-3		Pl	_0-1	1										
			PO-1		1															
			PO-2				~													
	PO Matrix at th	e end of	f each lea	rning	j stag	e (Sı	ub-P	0)												
				i —																1
			P.0				r –		1	1 1	V	Veek	<u> </u>	1			,			
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		PO-1				1						1	1	~			~		1	
		PO-2	2	1	1		1	1	1	1	~				1	1		1]
Short Course Description	The course aims to methods that various approach problems and pra	have bee les and m	en identifie nethods tha	d sys it invo	temati	cally	and	critica	lly w	ith logic	al ā	gume	entatio	n. Learr	ning	activiti	ies are	e indiv	idual	with
References	Main :																			
	Boris Blu	 John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall. Artikel Penelitian Terkait 																		
	Supporters:	rs:																		

Support lecturer	Prof. Dr. Puijono, Prof. Dr. Eni Wur Prof. Dr. Dian An Susi Handayani, Lintang Venusita, Mariana, S.Pd., N Made Dudy Satya Dr. Dewi Prastiwi Dr. Ni Nyoman Al Dr. Rohmawati K Aisyaturrahmi, S. Ambar Kusumani Merlyana Dwinda	SE., Ak., M.Si. yani, S.E., M.Si., Ch ita Nuswantara, S.E. S.E., Ak., M.Ak., CA S.E., M.Si., Ak. A.A. awan, S.E., M.Si., Ak, s.E., Ak., M.Si. it Triani, S.E., M.Ak usumaningtias, S.E. E., M.A., Ak. ngsih, S.E., Ak., CA yanthi, S.E., S.T., ayat, S.P.d., M.Sc. S.E., M.Si., Ak. ono, M.Ak. a, S.E., M.A.	., M.Si., Ak. A. ., Ak., MSA. A., M.A.						
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eva	luation	Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		ation Learning met Student Assign		Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)]			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
1	Able to take responsibility for the results of written proposals in the proposal exam	Accuracy in taking responsibility for the results of the written proposal in the proposal examination	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	• Student Sempro Exams provide direct guidance per individual [TM: 6x(170')] [TM: 3x(170')]	Vi-Learning: SiDia [TM: 3x(170')]	Material: Qualitative Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Quantitative Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	20%		
2	Evaluate and revise the thesis proposal according to the examining lecturer's suggestions	Accuracy in evaluating and revising the thesis proposal according to the examining lecturer's suggestions	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	• Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] • Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] • Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Material: Qualitative Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Quantitative Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	3%		

3	Able to analyze and collect data in the field responsibly	Careful analysis and responsible collection of data in the field	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Material: Qualitative Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Quantitative Bibliography: Boris Bibliography: Boris Bibliography: Boris Bibliography: Boris Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	4%
4	Able to analyze, identify and classify data	Accuracy of analyzing, identifying and classifying data	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Material: Qualitative Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Quantitative Bibliography: Boris Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	4%

5	Able to test and evaluate the validity of data according to the chosen method	Accuracy in testing and evaluating the validity of data according to the chosen method	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Material: Qualitative Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Quantitative Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	4%
6	Able to process and evaluate data according to the test equipment that has been identified	Accuracy in processing and evaluating data according to the test equipment that has been identified	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Material: Kuali Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Quantitative Bibliography: Bibliography: Bibliography: Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	4%
7	Able to process and evaluate data according to the test equipment that has been identified	Accuracy in processing and evaluating data according to the test equipment that has been identified	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Material: Qualitative Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Quantitative Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	4%

8	Able to analyze and present the results of data analysis in research results	Accuracy of analyzing and presenting the results of data analysis in research results	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Material: Qualitative Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Quantitative Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	4%
9	Able to analyze and interpret data descriptively in the discussion	Accuracy in analyzing and interpreting data descriptively in the discussion	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Material: Qualitative Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Quantitative Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	4%
10	Able to analyze and interpret data with responsible reference support	Accurate analysis and interpretation of data with responsible reference support	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Material: Qualitative Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Quantitative Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	4%

11	Able to analyze and present discussions systematically, argumentatively and critically	Accuracy in analyzing and presenting discussions systematically, argumentatively and critically	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Material: Qualitative Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Quantitative Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	4%
12	Able to analyze and synthesize research conclusions and suggestions	Accuracy of analyzing and synthesizing research conclusions and suggestions	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Material: Qualitative Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Quantitative Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	4%

13	Able to be accountable for the results of the final thesis work in the proposal examination	Accuracy in taking responsibility for the final results of the thesis writing in the thesis examination	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Thesis Examination Students receive direct guidance per individual [TM: 6x(170')] [TM: 3x(170')]	Through online learning media, such as zoom or Google Meet [TM: 3x(170')]	Material: Research Methods Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Research Methods Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	25%
14	Able to revise the thesis in accordance with suggestions for improvement from the examining lecturer	Carefully revise the thesis in accordance with suggestions for improvement from the examining lecturer	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Material: Research Methods Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Research Methods Bibliography: Boris Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	4%

15	Able to evaluate, synthesize and summarize theses in articles	Accurately evaluate, synthesize and summarize the thesis in the article	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Material: Research Methods Bibliography: John W. Creswell, 2013, Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, SAGE Material: Research Methods Bibliography: Boris Blumberg, Donald R. Cooper, Pamela S. Schindler, 2014, Business Research Methods, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall.	4%
16	Able to get a letter of acceptance from the intended journal	Compliance with obtaining a letter of acceptance from the intended journal	Criteria: Descriptive rubric for accuracy in implementing indicators Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Comprehension of material [BM: 1x(6x60')] Student assignments to carry out assignments from the supervisor [PT: 1x(6x60')] Consultation Students carry out guidance directly on an individual basis [TM: 1x(6x50')]	Through online learning media, such as Zoom or Google Meet [TM: 1x(6x50')]		4%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	100%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are
 used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods. 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.