

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business Bachelor of Accounting Study Program

Document Code

			5	SEN	/IES	STE	RI	LEA		IIN	G P	LA	N							
Courses			CODE				Cou	irse Fa	amily			Cred	it Weig	ght	1	SEMES	TER	Co Da	ompilat ite	ion
ENTREPREN	EURSHIP PRACT	CE	622010304	7					ry Stuc	ly Pro	ogram	T=2	P=0	ECTS=	3.18		4	Ma	ay 8, 20)23
AUTHORIZA	TION		SP Develo	per			Sub	jects		C	Course	Clust	er Coo	rdinato	r	Study F	rogran	n Coor	dinato	r
			Mariana, S	.Pd. M	A						intang 1.Si	Venus	ita, S.E	E.,Ak., C	CA.,	Dr. Roh	mawati S.E., A			ias,
Learning model	Project Based Lo	earning																		
Program	PLO study prog	gram tha	at is char	ged to	the	cours	se													
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-3	evelop logical, critical, systematic and creative thinking in carrying out specific work in their field of expertise and in ccordance with work competency standards in the field concerned																		
	PLO-4	Develo	Develop yourself continuously and collaborate.																	
	Program Objec	tives (P	0)																	
	PO - 1	Demons	strate a res	ponsib	le atti	tude t	oward	s entre	eprene	urial	work ir	ndeper	dently	and in g	groups					
	PO - 2	Have ar	n independ	ent atti	tude a	and er	ntrepre	eneuria	al spirit	in co	ompleti	ng pro	ect tas	ks						
	PLO-PO Matrix																			
			P.0		PLC)-3		PLC)-4											
			PO-1	1																
			PO-2					1												
	PO Matrix at the	e end of	f each lea	rning	stage	e (Su	b-PO))												
				0	0															
			P.0									Wee	k							7
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	-
		PO-2	1	-	2		-	Ŭ	Ŭ		0	5	10		12	10	14	10	10	
		PO-2	2																	-
			_																	1
Short Course Description	This course conta Salesmanship, Br project based lear	usinėss F																		
References	Main :																			
	Main : 1. Tim Kewirausahaan Unesa. 2016. Kewirausahaan. UNIPRESS. Surabaya2. Tim Kewirausahaan FE Unesa 2015. Kewirausahaan										aan.									

UNIPRESS. Surabaya3. Kaplan, Robert S. 2010. Conceptual Foundation of The Balance Scorecard. Working Paper. Harvard Business
School. Harvard University : 10-0744. David, Fred R. 2011. Manajemen Strategik: Konsep. Jakarta: Salemba Empat5. Robinson &
Pearce. 2008. Manajemen Strategik Edisi 10. Jakarta : Salemba Empat6. Cravens, David W. & Nigel F. Pearcy. 2006. Strategic
Marketing, 8th edition. McGraw-Hill.7. Tim Kewirausaahn FE Unesa.2018. Buku Pedoman Praktek Kewirausahaan.
2. Kaplan, Robert S. 2010. Conceptual Foundation of The Balance Scorecard. Working Paper. Harvard Business School. Harvard
University : 10-074

- David, Fred R. 2011. Manajemen Strategik: Konsep. Jakarta: Salemba Empat
 Robinson & Pearce. 2008. Manajemen Strategik Edisi 10. Jakarta : Salemba Empat
 - Cravens, David W. & Nigel F. Pearcy. 2006. Strategic Marketing, 8th edition. McGraw-Hill.
 Tim Kewirausaahn FE Unesa.2018. Buku Pedoman Praktik Kewirausahaan.

	Supporters:				
	1. Robert T	Kyiuosaki. 2004. Rich Dad, Poor Dad. Jakarta: F	PT SUN		
Support lecturer	ing Lintang Venusita, Mariana, S.Pd., M Insyirah Putikade	1.A.			
Week-	Final abilities of each learning	Evaluation	Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)

	stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	[References]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Preparing activities to start a business start-up.	 Accuracy in understanding the objectives of entrepreneurial practice activities Accuracy in identifying activities prepared for entrepreneurial practice Accuracy in reviewing business plans that have been made to be put into practice Accuracy in choosing an internship location according to the type of business start-up 	Criteria: Descriptive rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	TM (1x(3x50')): Explanation of material and discussion BM (1x(3x60')): Comprehension of material PT (1x(3x60')): Individual Assignment 2 X 50	VI-Learning: SIDIA 2 X 50	Material: Building a Business Startup Library: Unesa Entrepreneurship Team. 2016. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya 2. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team 2015. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya3. Kaplan, Robert S. 2010. Conceptual Foundation of The Balanced Scorecard. Working Papers. Harvard Business School. Harvard University: 10- 0744. David, Fred R. 2011. Strategic Management: Concept. Jakarta: Salemba Empat5. Robinson & Pearce. 2008. Strategic Management Edition 10. Jakarta: Salemba Empat6. Cravens, David W. & Nigel F. Pearcy. 2006. Strategic Marketing, 8th edition. McGraw- Hill.7. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team.2018. Entrepreneurship Practice Handbook.	2%

2	Preparing activities to start a business start-up.	 Accuracy in understanding the objectives of entrepreneurial practice activities. (Understanding the objectives of entrepreneurial practical activities) Accuracy in identifying activities prepared for entrepreneurial practice. (Identifying activities prepared for entrepreneurship practice) Accuracy in reviewing business plans that have been made to be put into practice. (Reviewing the business plans that have been created for practical implementation) Accuracy in choosing an internship location according to the type of business venture (Selecting an internship location that aligns with the type of business venture) 	Criteria: Descriptive rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	TM (1x(3x50')): Explanation of material and discussion BM (1x(3x60')): Comprehension of material PT (1x(3x60')): Individual Assignment 2 X 50	Vi-Learning: SIDIA 2 X 50	Material: Negotiation and internship preparation Reference: Kaplan, Robert S. 2010. Conceptual Foundation of The Balance Scorecard. Working Papers. Harvard Business School. Harvard University: 10- 074	2%
3	Carry out and create business internship reports	 Accuracy in negotiating to get an internship place. Accuracy in identifying aspects that need to be observed. Accuracy in compiling observation results in the form of an internship report. 	Criteria: Descriptive rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	TM (1x(3x50')): Explanation of material and discussion BM (1x(3x60')): Comprehension of material PT (1x(3x60')): Individual Assignment 2 X 50	Vi learning : SIDIA 2 X 50	Material: Business management Reference: David, Fred R. 2011. Strategic Management: Concept. Jakarta: Salemba Empat	2%
4	Carry out and create business internship reports	 Accuracy in negotiating to get an internship place Accuracy in identifying aspects that need to be observed Accuracy in compiling observation results in the form of an internship report 	Criteria: Descriptive rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	TM (1x(3x50')): Explanation of material and discussion BM (1x(3x60')): Comprehension of material PT (1x(3x60')): Individual Assignment 2 X 50	Vi learning : SIDIA 2 x 50	Material: Business reporting management Reader: Robert T Kyiuosaki. 2004. Rich Dad, Poor Dad. Jakarta: PT SUN	2%

5	Can present		Criteria:	TM (1x(3x50')).	vi learning SIDIA	Material	20%
5	Can present internship reports in the form of presentations	 Accuracy in presenting internship results reports Accuracy in linking internship results with business plans to be carried out 	Criteria: Descriptive rubric Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	TM (1x(3x50')): Explanation of material and discussion BM (1x(3x60')): Comprehension of material PT (1x(3x60')): Individual Assignment 2 X 50	vi learning SIDIA 2 x 50	Material: Internship Report Reader: Unesa Entrepreneurship Team. 2016. Entrepreneurship UNIPRESS. Surabaya 2. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team 2015. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya3. Kaplan, Robert S. 2010. Conceptual Foundation of The Balanced Scorecard. Working Papers. Harvard Business School. Harvard University: 10- 0744. David, Fred R. 2011. Strategic Management: Concept. Jakarta: Salemba Empat5. Robinson & Pearce. 2008. Strategic Management 10th Edition. Jakarta: Salemba Empat6. Cravens, David W. & Nigel F. Pearcy. 2006. Strategic Marketing, 8th edition. McGraw- Hill 7. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team.2018. Entrepreneurship Practice Handbook.	2%
6	Can present internship reports in the form of presentations	 Present a report on the results of the internship Link the results of the internship with the business plan that will be carried out 	Criteria: Descriptive rubric Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	TM (1x(3x50')): Explanation of material and discussion BM (1x(3x60')): Comprehension of material PT (1x(3x60')): Individual Assignment 2 X 50	Vi learning SIDIA 2 x 50	Material: Internship Report Reader: Unesa Entrepreneurship Team. 2016. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya 2. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team 2015. Entrepreneurship Team 2015. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya3. Kaplan, Robert S. 2010. Conceptual Foundation of The Balanced Scorecard. Working Papers. Harvard Business School. Harvard University: 10- 0744. David, Fred R. 2011. Strategic Management: Concept. Jakarta: Salemba Empat5. Robinson & Pearce. 2008. Strategic Management 10th Edition. Jakarta: Salemba Empat6. Cravens, David W. & Nigel F. Pearcy. 2006. Strategic Marketing, 8th edition. McGraw- Hill.7. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team.2018. Entrepreneurship Practice Handbook.	1%

7	Starting the implementation of a business start-up	 Accuracy in coordinating business premises, resources, business strategies, business schedules, and other supporting capacities for starting a business Accuracy in starting to plan Accuracy in recording to plan Accuracy in recording business administration 	Criteria: Descriptive rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Field practice 2 X 50	field practice 2 x 50	Material: Implementation of a business start- up Reference: Unesa Entrepreneurship Team. 2016. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya 2. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team 2015. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya3. Kaplan, Robert S. 2010. Conceptual Foundation of The Balanced Scorecard. Working Papers. Harvard Business School. Harvard University: 10- 0744. David, Fred R. 2011. Strategic Management: Concept. Jakarta: Salemba Empat5. Robinson & Pearce. 2008. Strategic Management 10th Edition. Jakarta: Salemba Empat6. Cravens, David W. & Nigel F. Pearcy. 2006. Strategic Marketing, 8th edition. McGraw- Hill.7. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team.2018.	2%
8	MIDTERM EXAM		Criteria: Descriptive rubric Form of Assessment : Test	MID SEMESTER EXAMINATION 2 X 50	MID SEMESTER EXAMINATION 2 X 50		10%

9	Business	1.Accuracy in	Criteria:	marketing	marketing practices in	Material:	2%
	management	identifying	Descriptive rubric	practices in the	the field	Business	
		Segmenting		field	2 X 50	management	
		Targeting	Form of Assessment :	2 X 50		Reference:	
		Positioning	Portfolio Assessment,			Unesa	
			Practice / Performance			Entrepreneurship	
		(STP)				Team. 2016.	
		2.Accuracy in				Entrepreneurship.	
		identifying				UNIPRESS.	
		Customer value				Surabaya 2.	
		(Explaining				Unesa FE	
		Customer Value)				Entrepreneurship	
						Team 2015.	
						Entrepreneurship.	
						UNIPRESS.	
						Surabaya3.	
						Kaplan, Robert S.	
						2010. Conceptual	
						Foundation of	
						The Balanced	
						Scorecard.	
						Working Papers.	
						Harvard Business	
						School. Harvard	
						University: 10-	
						0744. David, Fred	
						R. 2011.	
						Strategic	
						Management:	
						Concept. Jakarta:	
						Salemba Empat5.	
						Robinson &	
						Pearce. 2008.	
						Strategic	
						Management	
						10th Edition.	
						Jakarta: Salemba	
						Empat6.	
						Cravens, David	
						W. & Nigel F.	
						Pearcy. 2006.	
						Strategic Marketing, 8th	
						edition. McGraw-	
						Hill.7. Unesa FE	
						Entrepreneurship	
						Team.2018.	
						Entrepreneurship Practice	
						Practice Handbook.	
						панироок.	

10	Business	1.Accuracy in	Criteria:	marketing	online marketing	Material:	2%
	development	identifying	Descriptive rubric	practices in the	practices	Business	
	practices	Segmenting		field	2 X 50	Development	
		Targeting	Form of Assessment :	2 X 50		Practices	
		Positioning	Participatory Activities,			Reference:	
			Portfolio Assessment			Unesa	
		(STP)				Entrepreneurship	
		2.Accuracy in				Team. 2016.	
		identifying				Entrepreneurship.	
		Customer value				UNIPRESS.	
		(Explaining				Surabaya 2.	
		Customer Value)				Unesa FE	
						Entrepreneurship	
						Team 2015.	
						Entrepreneurship.	
						UNIPRESS.	
						Surabaya3.	
						Kaplan, Robert S.	
						2010. Conceptual	
						Foundation of	
						The Balanced	
						Scorecard.	
						Working Papers.	
						Harvard Business	
						School. Harvard	
						University: 10-	
						0744. David, Fred	
						R. 2011.	
						Strategic	
						Management:	
						Concept. Jakarta:	
						Salemba Empat5.	
						Robinson &	
						Pearce. 2008.	
						Strategic Management	
						Edition 10.	
						Jakarta: Salemba	
						Empat6. Cravens, David	
						W. & Nigel F.	
						Pearcy. 2006.	
						Strategic	
						Marketing, 8th	
						edition. McGraw-	
						Hill.7. Unesa FE	
						Entrepreneurship	
						Team.2018.	
						Entrepreneurship	
						Practice	
						Handbook.	

11	Advanced business	1.Accuracy in	Criteria:	Direct learning	Direct learning in the	Material:	2%
	management	developing a	Descriptive rubric	in the field	field	Business	270
	practices		Decemptive rubite	2 X 50	2 X 50	Development	
		business based	Forms of Assessment	27000	2,700	Practices	
		on plans that				Reference:	
		have been	Participatory Activities,			Unesa	
		prepared	Portfolio Assessment,			Entrepreneurship	
		Accuracy in	Practice / Performance			Team. 2016.	
		evaluating	r radioe / r chormanoe			Entrepreneurship.	
		products,				UNIPRESS.	
		packaging and				Surabaya 2.	
		marketing					
		manceung				Unesa FE	
						Entrepreneurship Team 2015.	
						Entrepreneurship.	
						UNIPRESS.	
						Surabaya3.	
						Kaplan, Robert S.	
						2010. Conceptual	
						Foundation of	
						The Balanced	
						Scorecard.	
						Working Papers.	
						Harvard Business	
						School. Harvard	
						University: 10-	
						0744. David, Fred	
						R. 2011.	
						Strategic	
						Management:	
						Concept. Jakarta:	
						Salemba Empat5.	
						Robinson &	
						Pearce. 2008.	
						Strategic	
						Management	
						Edition 10.	
						Jakarta: Salemba	
						Empat6.	
						Cravens, David	
						W. & Nigel F.	
						Pearcy. 2006.	
						Strategic	
						Marketing, 8th	
						edition. McGraw-	
						Hill.7. Unesa FE	
						Entrepreneurship	
						Team.2018.	
						Entrepreneurship	
						Practice	
						Handbook.	
L	ļ ļ		ļ		Į		

12 Advanced business predations 1.Accuracy in development have been prepared. Ordenta: business based on plans that have been prepared. Differ teaming in the field Differ teaming in the field Business Development Predices: Uness Business Development Predices: Uness Business Development Predices: Uness Business Development Predices: Uness Business Development Predices: Uness Business Development Predices: Uness Business Development Predices: Uness Business Development Predices: Uness Business Development Predices: Uness 14 2.4 So So Business Business 2.4 So So Business Business 2.4 So So Business Business 15 Factories/Performance Factories/Performance Factories/Performance 16 Factories/Performance Factories/Performance Factories/Performance 17 Factories/Performance Factories/Performance Factories/Performance 18 Factories/Performance Factories/Performance Factories/Performance 19 Factories/Performance Factories/Performance Factories/Performance 10 Factories/Performance Factories/Performance Factories/Performance 10 Factories/Performance Factories/Performance Factories/Performance 10 Factories/Performance <th>10</th> <th>Advanced business</th> <th>1</th> <th>Critoria</th> <th>Direct le emin -</th> <th>Direct loorning in the</th> <th>Motorial</th> <th>20/</th>	10	Advanced business	1	Critoria	Direct le emin -	Direct loorning in the	Motorial	20/
	12		business based on plans that have been prepared. 2.Accuracy in	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities,			Development Practices Reference: Unesa Entrepreneurship Team. 2016. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya 2. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team 2015. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya3. Kaplan, Robert S. 2010. Conceptual Foundation of The Balanced Scorecard. Working Papers. Harvard Business School. Harvard University: 10- 0744. David, Fred R. 2011. Strategic Management: Concept. Jakarta: Salemba Empat5. Robinson & Pearce. 2008. Strategic Management Edition 10. Jakarta: Salemba Empat6. Cravens, David W. & Nigel F. Pearcy. 2006. Strategic Marketing, 8th edition. McGraw- Hill.7. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team.2018.	2%

13	Advanced business	1	Critorio	Direct loorning	Direct loorning in the	Motorial	204
13	Advanced business management	1.Accuracy in developing a	Criteria: Descriptive rubric	Direct learning in the field	Direct learning in the field	Material: Business	3%
	practices		Descriptive rubito	2 X 50	2 X 50	Development	
		business based	Form of Assessment :	2 / 30	2 X 30	Practices	
		on plans that	Practice / Performance			Reference:	
		have been	r ractice / r chormanee			Unesa	
		prepared					
		2.Accuracy in				Entrepreneurship	
		developing				Team. 2016.	
		marketing and				Entrepreneurship.	
		building a brand				UNIPRESS.	
		building a brand				Surabaya 2.	
						Unesa FE	
						Entrepreneurship	
						Team 2015.	
						Entrepreneurship.	
						UNIPRESS.	
						Surabaya3.	
						Kaplan, Robert S.	
						2010. Conceptual	
						Foundation of	
						The Balanced	
						Scorecard.	
						Working Papers.	
						Harvard Business	
						School. Harvard	
						University: 10-	
						0744. David, Fred	
						R. 2011.	
						Strategic	
						Management:	
						Concept. Jakarta:	
						Salemba Empat5.	
						Robinson &	
						Pearce. 2008.	
						Strategic	
						Management	
						Edition 10.	
						Jakarta: Salemba	
						Empat6.	
						Cravens, David	
						W. & Nigel F.	
						Pearcy. 2006.	
						Strategic	
						Marketing, 8th	
						edition. McGraw-	
						Hill.7. Unesa FE	
						Entrepreneurship	
						Team.2018.	
						Entrepreneurship	
						Practice	
						Handbook.	

14	Carrying out entrepreneurial activities	 Accuracy in carrying out joint entrepreneurial activities on campus Accuracy in building teamwork and the wider community Accuracy in creating business collaborations 	Criteria: Descriptive rubric Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Field activities 2 X 50	Field activities	Material: Entrepreneurial Celebration Library: Unesa Entrepreneurship Team. 2016. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya 2. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team 2015. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya3. Kaplan, Robert S. 2010. Conceptual Foundation of The Balanced Scorecard. Working Papers. Harvard Business School. Harvard University: 10- 0744. David, Fred R. 2011. Strategic Management: Concept. Jakarta: Salemba Empat5. Robinson & Pearce. 2008. Strategic Management Edition 10. Jakarta: Salemba	5%
						Robinson & Pearce. 2008. Strategic Management Edition 10. Jakarta: Salemba Empat6. Cravens, David W. & Nigel F. Pearcy. 2006. Strategic	
						Marketing, 8th edition. McGraw- Hill.7. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team.2018. Entrepreneurship Practice Handbook.	

15	Successfully implementing entrepreneurial activities and building partnerships with business people	 Accuracy in compiling business administration documents Accuracy in measuring business achievements 	Criteria: Descriptive rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	practice on the field 2 X 50	practice on the field 2 x 50	Material: Final evaluation of business management Reference: Unesa Entrepreneurship Team. 2016. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya 2. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team 2015. Entrepreneurship Team 2015. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya3. Kaplan, Robert S. 2010. Conceptual Foundation of The Balanced Scorecard. Working Papers. Harvard Business School. Harvard University: 10- 0744. David, Fred R. 2011. Strategic Management: Concept. Jakarta: Salemba Empat5. Robinson & Pearce. 2008. Strategic Management 10th Edition. Jakarta: Salemba Empat6. Cravens, David W. & Nigel F. Pearcy. 2006. Strategic Marketing, 8th edition. McGraw- Hill.7. Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team.2018. Entrepreneurship Practice Handbook.	10%
16	Final evaluation of business management and preparation of the final report	 Prepare business administration documents Measuring business achievements Create final business practice reports 	Criteria: Descriptive rubric Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Practice on the 2 x 50 field	Practice on the 2 x 50 field		50%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	17.67%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	50%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	10.17%
4.	Practice / Performance	11.17%
5.	Test	10%
		99.01%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
 obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
 Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.

- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.