

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business Bachelor of Accounting Study Program

							S	EMEST	ER LE	ARNIN	G PLAN	J				
Cour	ses		CODE	CODE Course Family									Credit Weig	ght	SEMESTER	
Auditing and Assurance		622010412	6220104129 Compulsory Study Program Subjects										T=4 P=0	ECTS=6.36	2	
AUTH	ORIZATION		SP Develop	ber						Course Clu	uster Coordin	ator				Study Progra
			Made Dudy	e Dudy Satyawan, S.E.,Ak.,M.Si.,CA.,CPA.,CTA.,BKP					Merlyana Dwinda Yanthi, S.E.,S.T.,Ak.,M.A.,CA					Dr. Rohi		
Learr	Learning model Case Studies															
Prog		PLO study prog	gram that is charg	hat is charged to the course												
Lear	ning omes (PLO)	PLO-3 Develop logical, critical, systematic and creative thinking in carrying out specific work in their field of expertise and in accordance with work competency standards in the field concer										ncerned				
		PLO-6	Able to analyze fina	analyze financial reports and conduct audits in accordance with the professional code of ethics and audit standards with the support of information technology												
		Program Objec	tives (PO)													
		PO - 1	Develop critical and	l systematic th	ninking in carryin	ig out spe	cific work in th	e field of aud	iting in accord	lance with the	e professional	standards of	public acco	untants		
		PO - 2	Able to conduct aut	lits in accorda	ince with the Pro	ofessional	Ethics and Au	dit Standards	s with the sup	port of inform	ation technolo	gу				
		PLO-PO Matrix														
			P.O	PLO-3	PLO	9-6										
			PO-1	1												
			PO-2		1											CTS=6.36 2 Study Progra Dr. Rohi Dr. Rohi Dr. Rohi he field concerned Dr. Rohi 12 13 rsitu of Surahava. The me
						d creative thinking in carrying out specific work in their field of expertise and in accordance with work competency standards in the field concerned onduct audits in accordance with the professional code of ethics and audit standards with the support of information technology g in carrying out specific work in the field of auditing in accordance with the professional standards of public accountants with the Professional Ethics and Audit Standards with the support of information technology PLO-6										
		PO Matrix at th	e end of each lear	ning stage (Sub-PO)											
			P.0		Week											
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7			10	11	12	13
			PO-1	-				-			-					
			PO-2	-		-			-	-		-		-	1	1
			102													
	t Course ription	market, audit thee and audit reportin	ory, audit and insura ng of financial staten	nce services, ients. In addit	code of ethics fo ion, there is mat	or the publ	lic accounting ted to the lates	profession, ri: it research pr	sk-based aud oblems in the	it, stages of t field of audi	he audit proces ting and the au	ss: pre-audit Iditor profess	assignment sion. The stu	, risk assessn idy material c	versity of Su nent, respon comes from	rabaya. The ma se to risks that various kinds of
Refe	rences	Main :														
		 Prinsip-Prinsip Pengauditan, International Standars on Auditing, Hayes.R, Wallage.P dan Gortemaker (2017), Pearson-Salemba Empat Auditing and Assurance Services, Alvin A. Arens, Randal J. Elder, Mark S. Beasley, Chris E. Hogan (2023), Pearson Tuanakotta.TM (2011). Berpikir Kritis dalam Auditing . Salemba Empat. Standar Profesional Akuntan Publik (SPAP, 2013). Undang-Undang No. 5 Tahun 2011 tentang Pro. 20 Tahun 2015 tentang Kode Etik Profesi Akuntan Publik Per Juli 2020 														
		Supporters:														
		 Auditing Guide to Titera, W DeFond 	Using ISAs in the Au /. R. (2013). Updatin & Zhang (2014). A re	meriksaan Ak Idits of Small 9 Audit Standa eview of archiv	untan oleh Akun and Medium-Siz ard—Enabling A val auditing resea	tan Publik ed Entitie udit Data . arch. Jour	k", Sukrisno A s Vol 1 Core C Analysis. Jour rnal of account	goes, Edisi 4- Concepts & 2 nal of Informa ing and econ	Buku1 & 2, 2 Practical Guid ation Systems omics, 58(2-3	xu1 & 2, 2012, Salemba Empat ctical Guidance- Third Edition (IFAC, 2011) 1 Systems, 27(1), 325–331. doi:10.2308/isys-50427 cs, 58(2-3), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2014.09.002 ccounting Education, 38, 3–8. doi:10.1016/j.jaccedu.2016.12.009						
Supp lectu	oorting Irer	Dr. Ni Nyoman Al Frizkana Meilia	awan, S.E., M.Si., Al lit Triani, S.E., M.Ak. S.E., M.A. ingsih, S.E., Ak., CA a , S.E., M.A.													
Week	Final abilities learning stag (Sub-PO)	s of each Je		Evaluation						Learning Student A [Estim]	.earning, y methods, ssignments, ated time]					L
			Indicator	Crite	ria & Form				Offline	(offline)				Online (online)	
(1)		(2)	(3)		(4)					(5)				(6	5)	

1	Able to define the nature of	Accuracy in	Criteria:	[TM: 1x(4x50")]	Vi-Learning; SIDIA	Material: 6. D
1	auditing and differentiate between several audit service	explaining the theory of audit	1.max score 10 if 4	[11: X(4x50')] [PT(1)x(4x60') BM:(1)x(4x60')]	4 X 50	review of arch of accounting
	theories	demand and supply; Other Audit Theories		Lecture Presentation of Main Material by Lecturer • Discussion in small groups of max 5 people		https://doi.org
		Theories	Domain, Knowledge/Cognitive	(Class Learning) • Open discussion via Google Meet and WA Chat (Online Learning), • Task-1: Create/design a caricature or emoticon image that reflects the auditor's professional life as		International S Hayes.R, Wal
			Domain, General Skills/Psychomotor	perceived by students and describe the meaning of the caricature or emoticon image. (Online Learning), • Assignment-2: Create and Present in groups the theme "It's My Audit Life" about the		Pearson-Sale
			Domain & Specific Skills/Psychomotor	Auditor and KAP Profession based on Multimedia (Video, YouTube, Animation, etc.) (Class Learning) • Individual/group assignments: • Source Analysis : •		Material: A re research
			Domain; 2.max score 8 if 3	https://pixabay.com/id/images/search/audit/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cx_OrNcB-O4 4 X 50		References: , International S
			aspects are Attitudes/Affective			Hayes.R, Wal Pearson-Sale
			Domain, Knowledge/Cognitive			
			Domain, General Skills/Psychomotor			
			Domain & Specific Skills/Psychomotor			
			Domain; : 8 if 3 aspects : 6 if 2			
			aspects : 4 if 1 aspect : 2			
			3.max score 6 if 2 aspects are			
			Attitudes/Affective Domain,			
			Knowledge/Cognitive Domain, General			
			Skills/Psychomotor Domain & Specific			
			Skills/Psychomotor Domain;			
			4.max score 4 if 1 aspect is			
			Attitudes/Affective Domain,			
			Knowledge/Cognitive Domain, General			
			Skills/Psychomotor Domain & Specific			
			Skills/Psychomotor Domain;			
			Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities			
2	1.Able to differentiate KAP	1.Accuracy in	Criteria:	[TM: 1x(4x50")] [PT(1)x(4x60")	Vi-Learning; SIDIA 4 X 50	Material: 6. D review of arch
	assurance and non- assurance services	explaining assurance and	1.max score 10 if 4 aspects are Attitudes/Affective	[**(1)<(4,00)] BM:(1)×(4x60")]	4 × 50	of accounting https://doi.org/
	2.Able to analyze the roles, functions and	non-assurance services;	Domain, Knowledge/Cognitive	Lecture Presentation of Main Material by the Lecturer Discussion in small groups of max 5 people (Class Learning) Open discussion via Google Meet and WA Chat (Online Learning),		References:
	responsibilities of IAPI, auditors and litigation threats	 Accuracy in explaining the roles and 	Domain, General Skills/Psychomotor	Task-1: Create/design a caricature or emoticon image that reflects the auditor's professional life as perceived by students and describe the meaning of the caricature or emoticon image. (Online		Hayes.R, Wal Pearson-Sale
	uneats	responsibilities of IAPI and	Domain & Specific Skills/Psychomotor	Learning), • Assignment-2: Create and Present in groups the theme "It's My Audit Life" about the Auditor and KAP Profession based on Multimedia (Video, YouTube, Animation, etc.) (Class		Material: Pub
		public accountants in	Domain; 2.max score 10 if 4	Learning) • Individual/group assignments: • Source Analysis : • https://pixabay.com/id/images/search/audit/ • https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cx_OrNcB-O4 4 X 50		Reference: P
		the National Economy	aspects are Attitudes/Affective	4 X 50		
		Leonomy	Domain, Knowledge/Cognitive			
			Domain, General Skills/Psychomotor			
			Domain & Specific Skills/Psychomotor			
			Domain; 3.max score 10 if 4			
			aspects are Attitudes/Affective			
			Domain, Knowledge/Cognitive			
			Domain, General Skills/Psychomotor			
			Domain & Specific Skills/Psychomotor			
			Domain; 4.max score 10 if 4			
			aspects are Attitudes/Affective			
			Domain, Knowledge/Cognitive			
			Domain, General Skills/Psychomotor			
			Domain & Specific Skills/Psychomotor			
			Domain;			
			Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities			
3	1.Able to understand and	1.Accurate	Criteria: 1.Max score 10	[TM: 1x(4x50")] [PT(1)x(4x60")	Vi-Learning; SIDIA 4 X 50	Material: 7. C Accountant Pr
	review Public Accountant Professional Ethics /	understanding and internelization	1.Max score 10 2.if 4 aspects: 8 3.if 3 aspects: 6	[P'1(JX(4x60)] BM:(1)x(4x60")] • Lecture Presentation of Main Material by Lecturer • Discussion in small groups of max 5 people	77.50	References: , International S
	KEPAP 2020 2.Able to understand	internalization of the Basic Dringiples of	4.if 2 aspects: 4	(Class Learning) • Open discussion via Google Meet and WA Chat (Online Learning), • Task-1: Make a news summary from various trusted sources, both print and online media, clearly include		Hayes.R, Wal Pearson-Sale
	Internal Professional Regulations, Quality	Principles of Ethics stated in	5.if 1 aspect: 2 Form of Assessment :	the source of the quotation regarding cases of public accountant deviation from basic ethical principles: Integrity, Objectivity , Professional Competence and Due Care; Confidentiality,		
	Control Systems and Quality Reviews	the Code of Ethics for the	Participatory Activities	Professional Behavior Time: 1 x 1 (face to face 50 x 1; independent 50 x 3) 4 X 50		
		Public Accountant				
		Profession; 2.Accuracy in				
		identifying and implementing				
		internal professional				
		rules, quality control systems				
1		and quality			1	1
		reviews;				

4	 Able to explain and apply Audit Standards Adopted International Standards on Auditing (SPAP: 2013) which are based on Risk Able to identify audit risk components 	 Accuracy in explaining the main concepts of risk-based audit in SPAP 2013 Accuracy in describing the risk-based audit process model; Accuracy in determining activities, objectives and documents at each stage in the general risk-based audit model; Accuracy of identifying audit risk components 	Criteria: 1.ma'am score 10 2.if 4 aspects: 8 3.if 3 aspects: 6 4.if 2 aspects: 4 5.if 1 aspect: 1 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	[TM: 1x(4x50')] [PT(1)x(4x60') BM:(1)x(4x60')] • Lecture Presentation of Main Material by the Lecturer • Discussion in small groups of max 5 people (Class Learning) • Open discussion via Google Meet and WA Chat (Online Learning), • Task-1: Create an Identification Report on Audit Risk Components: Inherent Risk, Control Risk and Detection Risk. • Analysis Source: https://www.garuda-indonesia.com/id/id/investor- relations/annual-report-dan-sustainability-report/annual-report 4 X 50	Vi-Learning; SIDIA 4 X 50	Material: Prof Accountants (References: , International S Hayes, R, Wal Pearson-Sale
5	Able to explain and analyze Pre-Engalgement Audit activities, Acceptance and Continuity of Audit Assignments	 Accuracy in explaining the basis for the auditor's consideration in accepting the assignment 2.Accuracy in determining the preconditions of an audit; Accuracy in preparing the parts of the audit engagement letter; Accuracy of documenting the pre- engagement stage 	Criteria: 1.ma'am score 10 2.if 4 aspects: 8 3.if 3 aspects: 8 3.if 3 aspects: 4 5.if 1 aspect: 2 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	[TM: 1x(4x50'')] [PT(1)x(4x60'')] *Lecture Presentation of Main Material by Lecturer • Discussion in small groups of max 5 people (Class Learning) • Open discussion via Google Meet and WA Chat (Online Learning), •Task-1: Create an Analysis Report on Acceptance and Sustainability Audit Assignment based on identification of Risks Related to the Following Topics: Changes in Management and Shareholders, Government Regulations, Business Development, Debt Restructuring, Related Party Transactions, Tax Compliance, Application of Financial Accounting Standards. • Analysis Source: https://www.garuda-indonesia.com/id/id/investor-relations/annual-report-dan-sustainability- report/annual-report 4 x 50	Vi-Learning; SIDIA 4 X 50	Material: Prof Accountants (References: , International Sec Hayes.R, Wal Pearson-Sale,
6	Able to design audit planning stages, determine materiality and prepare audit programs	1.Accuracy in designing the audit planning stage 2.Accuracy in determining materiality 3.Accuracy in preparing the audit program	Criteria: 1.ma'am score 10 2.if 4 aspects: 6 3.if 3 aspects: 6 4.if 2 aspects: 4 5.if 1 aspect: 2 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	[TM: 1x(4x50°)] [PT(1)x(4x60°) BM:(1)x(4x60°) • Lecture Presentation of Main Material by Lecturer • Discussion in small groups of max 5 people (Class Learning) • Open discussion via Google Meet and VA Chat (Online Learning), • Task-1: Create Audit Planning Documentation, Determination of Materiality and Audit Program. • Material Source • • http://politisc.leics.gov.uk/documents/s12609/ZESPO_2016_17_External Audit Plan.pdf • https://www.kapiticoast.govt.nz/media/24318/corp-16-1882-ernst -young-audit-plan-for-the-year- ended-30-june-2016-updated.pdf 4 x 50	Vi-Learning; SIDIA 4 X 50	Material: Aud International S (SPAP:2013) Library: Audii Standards on and Gortemak Empat
7	Able to assess Internal Control Risks and explain the type of Communication with TCWG regarding deficiencies in the client's internal control system	 Accuracy in assessing risks arising from deficiencies in the client entity's internal control system Accuracy of explaining the auditor's obligation to communicate internal control weaknesses to Management and TCWG (SA 265); 	Criteria: 1.max value 10 2.if aspect 4 : 8 3.if aspect 3 : 6 4.if aspect 2 : 4 5.if aspect 1 : 2 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	 [TM: 1x(4x50")] [PT(1)x(4x60")] BM:(1)x(4x60")] Lecture Presentation of Main Material by Lecturer • Discussion in small groups of max 5 people (Class Learning) • Open discussion via Google Meet and VA Chat (Online Learning), • Task-1: Create an internal control assessment report from the aspect of the existence of an internal control task force in the corporate governance structure (banking & manufacturing business sector) • Analysis Source: • http://media.corporate-ir.net/media_files/IROL/14/146157/SO-Indonesianpdf • https://www.astra.co.id/About-Astra/Astra-Organization-Structure 	Vi-Learning; SIDIA 4 X 50	Material: Prof Accountants (References: , International 5 Hayes.R, Wal Pearson-Sale.
8	Midterm exam	Mid-semester Evaluation: Validate the results of the assessment, evaluation and improvement of the subsequent learning process	Form of Assessment : Test			
9	 Able to design audit responses to assessed risks Able to identify and determine tests of assertions in financial reports 	 Accuracy in recording audit procedures that are responsive to the assessed risks Accuracy of identifying Management Assertions for groups of transactions, account balances and disclosures Accuracy of defining standards for management assertions: completeness, accuracy, rights and obligations, valuation, existence, cut- off, classification, presentation and disclosure 	Criteria: 1. Max score: 10 2. If 4 aspects: 8 3. If 3 aspects: 6 4. If 2 aspects: 4 5. If 1 aspect: 2 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	[TM: 1x(4x50°)] [PT(1)x(4x60°)] * Lecture Presentation of Main Material by the Lecturer • Discussion in small groups of max 5 people (Class Learning) • Open discussion via Google Meet and WA Chat (Online Learning), • Assignment-1: Solve the questions in Main Book No. 1, namely Question 9.17 Financial Statement Assertions, Pages 390 -391 • Source Material: 4 X 50	Vi-Learning; SIDIA 4 X 50	Material: Prof Accountants (References: , International 2: Hayes, R, Val Pearson-Sale

10	 Able to describe analytical procedures Able to understand why and how analytical procedures can be used at each audit stage Able to understand the concepts and risks of audit sampling and apply sampling techniques 	 Accuracy Understand the basic nature of analytical procedures in general Accuracy Calculates ratios commonly used during the planning stage to determine accounts that can represent important risks to the entity's ilquidity, solvency, profitability and activities 	Criteria: 1.Max score: 10 2.if 4 aspects: 8 3.if 3 aspects: 6 4.if 2 aspects: 4 5.if 1 aspect: 2 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	[TM: 1x(4x50")] [PT(1)x(4x60")] BM:(1)x(4x60")] + Lecture Presentation of Main Material by the Lecturer • Discussion in small groups of max 5 people (Class Learning) • Open discussion via Google Meet and VA Chat (Online Learning), • Task 1: Carry out analytical procedures, namely calculating the analysis of liquidity ratios, solvency, profitability and LK activities of the B&BR Thk entity in the link below, then provide an explanation of the results of these calculations. • Material Source: • https://s3-ap-southeast- Lamazonaws.com/Dakrie- brothers/uploads/documents/document/5e81a0526632610006080000/Bakrie_Brothers_Report- Billingual_Dec_31_2019_FINAL.pdf 4 x 50	Vi-Learning; SIDIA 4 X 50	Material: Prof Accountants (References: , International § Hayes, R, Wal Pearson-Sale
11	 Able to describe analytical procedures Able to understand why and how analytical procedures can be used at each audit stage Able to understand the concepts and risks of audit sampling and apply sampling techniques 	1.Accuracy Describes analytical procedures 2.Accuracy Calculating financial trends and ratios that are commonly used during the planning stage to determine accounts that can represent important risks to the entity's liquidity, solvency, profitability and activities 3.Accuracy Explains audit sampling methods and techniques	Criteria: 1.max score ; 10 2.if 4 aspects: 8 3.if 3 aspects: 6 4.if 2 aspects: 4 5.if 1 aspect: 2 Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	[TM: IX(4x607)] [PT(1)x(4x607) BM:(1)X(4x607) = Lecture Presentation of Main Material by Lecturer • Discussion in small groups of max 5 people (Class Learning) • Open discussion via Google Meet and WA Chat (Online Learning), • Task-1: Carry out analytical procedures, namely calculating the analysis of liquidity ratios, solvency, profitability and LK activities of the B&BR Tbk entity in the link below, then provide an explanation of the results of these calculations. • Material Source: https://s3-ap-southeast- 1.amazonaws.com/bakire- brothers/uploads/documents/document/5e81a0526632610006080000/Bakrie_Brothers_Report- Billingual_Dec_31_2019_FINAL.pdf 4 X 50	Vi-Learning; SIDIA 4 X 50	Material: Prof Accountants (References: , International Y Hayes, R, Wa Pearson-Sale
12	Able to design substantive procedures and appy adequate and appropriate audit evidence collection techniques	1.Accuracy determines the adequacy of adequate audit evidence 2.Accuracy of explaining considerations of reliability and relevance of audit evidence; 3.Accuracy of using audit evidence collection techniques; 4.Accuracy in understanding the use of confirmation procedures; 5.Accurately understand the importance of obtaining written representations from management; Accurately understand and manage audit documentation and working papers	Criteria: 1.Mom's score: 10 2.If 4 aspects: 8 3.If 3 aspects: 6 4.If 2 aspects: 4 5.If 1 aspect: 2 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	[TM: 1x(4x50°)] [PT(1)x(4x60°)] • Lecture Presentation of Main Material by Lecturer • Discussion in small groups of max 5 people (Class Learning) • Open discussion via Google Meet and VA Chat (Online Learning), • Task-1: Write down a minimum of 20 items of audit documentation which are included in the grouping of permanent archives and archives for the current year period of the audit. Material Source: 4 x 50	Vi-Learning; SIDIA 4 X 50	Material: Prof Accountants (References: , International S Hayes, R, Val Pearson-Sale
13	 Able to understand and apply substantive procedures and techniques for collecting sufficient and appropriate audit evidence Able to understand the form and content of Written Representations from Management Able to document audit evidence and manage audit working papers 	 Accuracy determines the adequacy of adequate audit evidence Accuracy of explaining considerations of reliability and relevance of audit evidence; Accuracy of using audit evidence collection techniques; Accuracy in understanding the use of confirmation procedures; Accurately understand and manage audit documentation and working papers Accurately understand the importance of obtaining written representations from management; 	Criteria: 1.Mom's score: 10 2.Mom's score: 8 3.Mom's score: 4 5.Mom's score: 2 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	[TM: 1x(4x50'')] [PT(1)x(4x60'')] BM:(1)x(4x60'')] + Lecture Presentation of Main Material by the Lecturer • Discussion in small groups of max 5 people (Class Learning) • Open discussion via Google Meet and WA Chat (Online Learning), • Task-1: White down a minimum of 20 titems of audit documentation which are included in the grouping of permanent archives and archives for the current year period of the audit. • Source Material: 4 X 50	Vi-Learning; SIDIA 4 X 50	Material: Prof Accountants (References: , International 5 Hayes, R, Wal Pearson-Sale

14	 Able to Design Audit Completion Reports Able to Review Advanced Audit Procedures Able to compare various types of audit opinions in audit reports on financial statements 	 Accuracy Explains the procedures incluided in the review of financial statements, including disclosures and other information presented together with the audited financial statements Accuracy in Designing and Carrying out settlements The accuracy of knowing the form and editorial of the Letter to management Accuracy of reviewing Commitments, Contingencies, Subsequent Events, and Business Continuity of the Entity SAccurately know the meaning of components, components, auditors, and business group assignment partners 	Criteria: 1.ma'am score 10 2.if 4 aspects: 8 3.if 3 aspects; 4 5.if 3 aspect; 4 5.if 1 aspect: 2 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	[TM: 1x(4x507)] [PT(1)x(4x607)] BM:(1)x(4x607)] I-Lecture Presentation of Main Material by Lecturer • Discussion in small groups of max 5 people (Class Learning) • Open discussion via Google Meet and VA Chat (Online Learning), • Task-1: Create a resume/summary of the main content of the letter to management as exemplified in the source material access link below. • Material Source: • https://www.ifac.org/system/files/downloads/ a015-2010-iaasb-handbook-isa-265.pdf • https://www.ifes.org/sites/default/files/r/p-20-010_term_of_reference_tor_audit_services.pdf 4 x 50	Vi-Learning; SIDIA 4 X 50	Material: Prof Accountants (References: , International & Hayes, R, Wal Pearson-Sales
15	 Able to explain the form and content of letters to management/Management Letters Able to review aspects of commitments, contingencies, special hub party transactions, subsequent events and business continuity of the entity Able to explain the concept of business group audit 	1.Accuracy in describing the form and editorial of the Letter to management 2.Accuracy of reviewing Commitments, Contingencies, Transactions of Special Hub Parties, Subsequent Events, and Business Continuity of the Entity 3.Accuracy in explaining the meaning of components, component auditors, and business group assignment partners	Criteria: 1.Max score 10 2.If 4 aspects: 8 3.If 3 aspects: 6 4.If 2 aspects: 4 5.If 1 aspect: 2 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	[Tf: 1x(4x50')] [PT(1)x(4x60')] *Lecture Presentation of Main Material by Lecturer • Discussion in small groups of max 5 people (Class Learning) • Open discussion via Google Meet and WA Chat (Online Learning), • Task-1: Create a resume/summary of the main content of the letter to management as exemplified in the source material access link below. • Material Source: • https://www.ifac.org/system/files/downloads/ a015-2010-iaasb-handbook-isa-265.pdf • https://www.ifac.org/sites/default/files/r/p-20-010_term_of_reference_tor_audit_services.pdf 4 x 50	Vi-Learning; SIDIA 4 X 50	Material: Prof Accountants (References: , International § Hayes R, Wal Pearson-Sale
16	Final exams		Form of Assessment : Test			

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

1. Participatory Activities 50% 2. Portfolio Assessment 10% 3. Practice / Performance 10% 4. Test 30%	No	Evaluation	Percentage
2. Portfolio Assessment 10% 3. Practice / Performance 10%	NU		Fercentage
3. Practice / Performance 10%	1.	Participatory Activities	50%
	2.	Portfolio Assessment	10%
4. Test 30%	3.	Practice / Performance	10%
	4.	Test	30%
100%		-	100%

Notes
 Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
 The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
 Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
 Subject sub-PO (sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PD that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is

Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described inform the PO that can be measured or observed and is the linital ability that is planted at each tearning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
 Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
 Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessment: test and non-test.
 Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning:

forms of learning.

forms of learning. 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics. 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%. 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.