



## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Postgraduate School, Vocational Education Doctoral Study Program

		9	SEMI	EST	ER	LE.	ARI	VIN	IG PI	LA	N								
Courses		CODE		Cou	rse Fa	mily	Credit	Weig	ht		SEME	STER		Comp	ilation	Date			
Vocational Le	earning Study	1303260208			pulsor		T=2 F	P=0 E	ECTS=5.	04		2		Febru	ary 1, 2	2024			
AUTHORIZAT	ΓΙΟΝ	SP Developer	•	Subj	<del>y Prog</del> ects	Cour	se Clu dinato				Study Program Coordinator								
		Prof. Dr. Tri W MT, Dr. Meini Ratna Suhartir	Sondang	o, M.P. g, M.P.	d., I, Dr.	Prof. M.Pd		Wrah	atnolo,				Dr. Rat	na Suh	artini, N	м.Si.			
Learning model	Case Studies	ies																	
Program	PLO study program which is charged to the course																		
Learning Outcomes	Program Obje	ctives (PO)																	
(PLO)		Able to study vocational education theory in depth and interdisciplinary science, technology and art in the field of vocational science through inter, multi/transdisciplinary																	
	PO - 2	Able to solve educational and learning problems in a broader context so as to produce work that is creative, original, tested, and useful for scientific development																	
		Able to prepare scientific arguments and solutions based on a critical view of facts, concepts, principles or theories that can be justified scientifically and academically and communicate them through mass media																	
	PO - 4	Able to compile Appropriate Technology (TTG) research and information systems that are new and original in the field of vocational education and science																	
	PLO-PO Matrix																		
		P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4																	
	PO Matrix at th	e end of each le	arning	stage	(Sub-	PO)													
		P.O							10	Veek								 1	
		F.O	1 2	2 3	4	5	6	7	1	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	1	
		PO-1			+	+ -							12	10	1-7	13	10	1	
		PO-2																	
		PO-3																-	
		PO-4																	
																		•	
Short Course Description	21st Century Sk Order Thinking S Learning, proble	erial examines vari ills, Quality Compe Skills, innovative le m based learning, <sub>l</sub> journals, discussio	tition, Ti arning, c project b	rends a cognitiv ased le	and Im re learr earning	pacts on ning the g, coop	of the 4 eory, b erative	Ith Inc ehavio learn	dustrial R orism and ing, self i	Revolo d cor regul	ution on nstruct lated le	on the ivism, earning	Ŵorld α learninα ι (e-lear	of Work g methoring), I	t, Lifeld ods (Co Learnin	ng Leá ompete	rning, I ncy Ba	ing, high by Based	
References	Main :																		

- Tim Kementerian Koordinator Bidang Perekonomian RI. 2017. Roadmap Kebijakan PengembanganVokasi Di Indonesia 2017-2025
- Sumbawati, M.S, dkk. 2021. Kajian Pembelajaran Vokasi. Surabaya: CV Prima Abadi Jaya
- Nurlaela, Luthfiyah, dkk. 2019. Strategi Belajar Berpikir Kreatif. Jakarta: PT Mediaguru Digital Indonesia
- Heather Fry, Steve Ketteridge & Stephanie Marshall. (2013). Handbook Teaching and Learning inHigherEducation. New York: Routledge
- Trilling, Bernie & Fadel, Charles. 2009. 21 st Century Skills: Learning For Life in Our Times. SanFrasisco: Jossey Bass
- Joy A. Palmer, (2010). 50 pemikir paling berpengaruh terhadap Dunia Pendidikan Modern. Jogjakarta:Penerbit Laksana
- Molly Zhou & David Brown. (2015). Educational Learning Theories: 2nd Edition. https://oer.galileo.usg.edu/education-textbooks/1
- 8. Robert E. Slavin. (2010). Cooprative Learning: Theory, Research, and Practice. London: AllymandBacon
- Moodie , Gavin. 2016. Universities, Disruptive Technologies, and Continuity in Higher Education: TheImpact of Information Revolutions. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- 10. Moore, B.N. 2021. Critical Thinking 13th Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill
- 11. Schunk, D.H. 2017. Handbook of Self-Regulation of Learning and Performance. New York:
- 12. John Burke. (1989). Competency-Based Education and Training. New York: Routledge
- 13. Skinner, B. F. (1974). About behaviorism (1st ed.). New York, NY: Random House
- 14. Bandura, A. (1999). A social cognitive theory of personality. In L. Pervin, & O. John (Eds.), Handbookof personality, 2nd ed., pp. 154-196). New York, NY: Guilford Publications

## Supporters:

- Richter, Olaf Zawack & Insung Jung. 2023. Handbook of Open, Distance and Digital Education. Singapore: Springer
- Faraday, Sally & Overton, Carole, & Cooper, Sarah. 2010. Effective Teaching and Learning in Vocational Education. UK: LSN.
- Geoffrey Squires. 2003. Teaching as a Professional Discipline. Philadelphia: Falmer Press
- 4. UNESCO-UNEVOC. 2007. Technical and Vocational Education and Training: Issues, Concerns, and Prospects. Springer Publisher.
- 5. Bünning. Frank & Zhao. Zhi-Qun (eds.) (2003), TVET Teacher Education on the Thresholdof Internationalisation. Unesco-Unevoc
- Lee Kuan Yew School of Public Policy. 2016. Technical and Vocational Education and Training in Indonesia:Challenges and Opportunities for the Future
- 7. ) OECD (2012), Education Indicator in Focus: OECD Indicators, OECD Publishing

## Supporting lecturer

Dr. Meini Sondang Sumbawati, M.Pd. Prof.Dr. Tri Wrahatnolo, M.Pd., M.T.

Dr. Ratna Suhartini, M.Si. Dr. Rina Harimurti, S.Pd., M.T.

Dr. Yeni Anistyasari, S.Pd., M.Kom.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Ev	aluation	Le Stu	Help Learning, earning methods, dent Assignments, Estimated time]	Learning materials [ References ]	Assessment
		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( <i>online</i> )		Weight (%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Analyzing and synthesizing cognitive learning theory in vocational education	Active participation in discussions			Discussion, problem solving, and reflection Working on Literature Review 1		0%
2	Analyzing and synthesizing cognitive learning theory in vocational education	Active participation in discussions			Discussion, problem solving, and reflection Working on Literature Review 1 2 hours		5%
3	Analyzing and synthesizing learning for work readiness (Learning for Work Readiness) in vocational education	presentation activities	Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment		Discussion, presentation 2x50 minutes		5%
4			Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Offline 2 x 50 minutes			0%

5	Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	offline 2 x 50 minutes	Material: 9) Nurlaela, Luthfiyah, et al. 2019. Creative Thinking Learning Strategies. Jakarta: PT Mediaguru Digital Indonesia. 10) Joy A. Palmer, (2010). 50 most influential thinkers on the world of modern education. Jogjakarta: Laksana Publishers. 11)Molly Zhou & David Brown. (2015). Educational Learning Theories: 2nd Edition. https://oer.galileo.usg.edu/education-textbooks/1 12)Skinner, B.F. (1974). About behaviorism (1st ed.). New York, NY: Random House 13)Bandura, A. (1999). A social cognitive theory of personality. In L. Pervin, & O. John (Eds.), Handbook of personality, 2nd ed., pp. 154-196). New York, NY: Guilford Publications. References:	0%
6	Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	luting 2 x 50 minutes	Material: 8) Sumbawati, MS, et al. 2021. Vocational Learning Study. Surabaya: CV Prima Abadi Jaya. 9) Nurlaela, Luthfiyah, et al. 2019. Creative Thinking Learning Strategies. Jakarta: PT Mediaguru Digital Indonesia. 10) Joy A. Palmer, (2010). 50 most influential thinkers on the world of modern education. Jogjakarta: Laksana Publishers. 11)Molly Zhou & David Brown. (2015). Educational Learning Theories: 2nd Edition. https://oer.galileo.usg.edu/educationtextbooks/1 12)Moore, B.N. 2021. Critical Thinking 13th Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill. References:	0%
7	Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	offline 2 x 50 minutes		0%
8				0%
9				0%
10				0%
11				0%
12				0%
13				0%
14				0%
15				0%
16				0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage						
1.	Participatory Activities	1.67%						
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	1.67%						
3.	Portfolio Assessment	1.67%						
		5 01%						

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
  graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
  obtained through the learning process.
- obtained through the learning process.

  The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.

- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
   Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and
- sub-topics.
- The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
   TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.