

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business, Doctoral Management Study Program

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

| Courses                                      |  | CODE  |              |         | C                | Cours             | se Fa          | mily   |                 | Cre            | edit V        | /eight  | :  | S              | EMES               | TER              | Con<br>Date      | npilatior<br>e      |
|--|--|---|--------------|---------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---------|--|----------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| STRATEGIC                                    | 6100103  | 0103002   |              |         |                  | T=3 P=0 ECTS=7.56 |                |  | 56              | 1              |               | July    | 19, 202  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
| AUTHORIZA                                    | TION   | SP Dev  | SP Developer |         |                  |                   |                | Cour   | se C            | luste          | r Cool        | dinato  | r Si   | tudy P         | rograi             | n Coc            | ordinato         |                     |
|  |  |   |              |         |                  |                   |                | Prof. Dr. Dewie Tri Wijayati<br>Wardoyo, M.Si. |                 |                |               |         | Prof. Dr. Dewie Tri Wijayati<br>Wardoyo, M.Si. |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
| Learning<br>model                            | Case Studies   |   | I            |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
| Program                                      | PLO study pro  | gram that is o  | charged      | to the  | e cou            | urse              |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
| Learning<br>Outcomes                         | PLO-1  | Able to demor   | nstrate reli | gious,  | , natio          | onal a            | and ci         | ultura   | l valu          | es, a          | s well        | as ac   | ademic   | ethic          | s in ca            | rrying           | out the          | eir dutie           |
| (PLO)  | PLO-2  | Demonstrate the character of being tough, collaborative, adaptive, innovative, inclusive, lifelong learning and entrepreneurial spirit  |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  | PLO-3  | PLO-3 Develop logical, critical, systematic and creative thinking in carrying out specific work in their field of expertise and in accordance with work competency standards in the field concerned |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  | PLO-4  | Develop yourself continuously and collaborate.  |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  | PLO-6 Graduates are able to manage organizations ethically.                                |   |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  | PLO-7 Graduates are able to communicate effectively  |   |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  | PLO-8 Graduates are able to make strategic decisions for self-development appropriately.   |   |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  | Program Objectives (PO)  |   |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  | PO - 1 Graduates are able to manage organizations ethically.                               |   |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  | PO - 2 Graduates are able to communicate effectively                                       |   |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  | PO - 3 Graduates are able to make strategic decisions for self-development appropriately.  |   |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  | PLO-PO Matrix  | c   |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  |  |   |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  |  | P.O   | PLO-1        |         | PLO-2            |                   |                | PLO-3  |                 | PLO-4          |               |         | PLO-6  |                | PLO-7              |                  | PLO-8            |                     |
|  |  | PO-1  | 1            |         | 1                |                   |                | 1  |                 |                | 1             |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  |  | PO-2  |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  |  | PO-3  |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  |  |   |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  | PO Matrix at th  | e end of eacl   | h learnin    | n sta   | 0 (S             | Sub-              | 201            |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  | i o mainx ai d   |   | liteanin     | y sia   | 90 (0            |                   | 0)             |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  |  | P.O   |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                | Wee           | L.      |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  |  | F.0   | 1            | 2       | 2                | 4                 | 5              | 6  | 7               | 8              |               |         | 11   | 10             | 12                 | 14               | 15               | 16                  |
|  |  | 56.4  | 1            | 2       | 3                | 4                 | 5              | 6  | 7               | 8              | 9             | 10      | 11   | 12             | 13                 | 14               | 15               | 16                  |
|  |  | PO-1  | 1            |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  |  | PO-2  |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  |  | PO-3  |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  |  |   |              |         |                  |                   |                |  |                 |                |               |         |  |                |                    |                  |                  |                     |
|  | Strategic leaders  |   | roach tha    | t uses  | s stra<br>e 21s  | tegie<br>st cer   | s for<br>ntury | déali<br>(Leac                                 | ng wi<br>dershi | th co<br>p Wis | mple:<br>sdom | kity ar | nd chan<br>learnii                             | ge wing me     | ith a re<br>ethods | efreshi<br>Coope | ng pe<br>erative | rspectiv<br>Learnir |
| Course                                       | with a strategic<br>which is oriented<br>(CL) and Problet<br>creations of God<br>decisions | l towards wise<br>m Base Learnir  | na (PBL) i   | to fost | ter so<br>cter c | ocial s           | ng int         | tellige  | ent, in         | depe           | enden         | i, hone | est, cari                                      | and t<br>ng ar | he bus<br>nd toug  | iness<br>Ih in m | enviro<br>Iaking | nment a<br>strategi |
| Short<br>Course<br>Description<br>References | which is oriented  | l towards wise<br>m Base Learnir  | na (PBL) i   | to fost | ter so<br>cter c | ocial s<br>of bei | ng int         | tellige  | ent, in         | depe           | enden         | , hone  | est, cari                                      | and t<br>ng ar | he bus<br>nd toug  | iness<br>h in m  | enviro<br>Iaking | nment a<br>strategi |

|                     | 2. Michael  | J Stahl & David W   | •   | ajemen for dec  | entation and control. Mc (<br>sision making ,PWS-Kent<br>Jakarta, 2005  |   | 92.                             |
|---------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------|
|                     | Supporters:   |   |   |   |   |   |                                 |
|                     | York an<br>2. 2. Davi<br>Transitio<br>3. 3. Maxu<br>Leaders                                       | d London : Routledg<br>d L. Dotlich, Jame<br>onsThat Make or Brivell, John C.2020.  | ge Taylor& Francis Grou<br>es L. Noel, Norman V<br>eak a Leader, Hoboken:<br>T h e L e a d e r' s G r   | p<br>Valker, 2004 L<br>by John Wiley<br>e at e s t R                            | And Influenceskills (The<br>Leadership Passages (T<br>& Sons, Inc. Published I<br>e t u r n, The United Sta<br>iladhelpia, New Delhi : Ko | he Personal and<br>by Jossey-BassA<br>tes of America : I  | d Professional<br>Wiley Imprint |
| Support<br>lecturer | Prof. Dr. Jun Su  | Tri Wijayati Wardoyo<br>rjanti, S.E., M.Si.<br>o, SE., Ak., M.Si.   | o, M.Si.  |   |   | _   |                                 |
| Week-               | Final abilities of<br>each learning<br>stage  | Eva   | luation   | Help Learning,<br>Learning methods,<br>Student Assignments,<br>[Estimated time] |   | Learning<br>materials<br>[ References ]   | Assessment<br>Weight (%)        |
|                     | (Sub-PO)  | Indicator   | Criteria & Form   | Offline(<br>offline)  | Online ( <i>online</i> )  | [References]  |                                 |
| (1)                 | (2)   | (3)   | (4)   | (5)   | (6)   | (7)   | (8)                             |
| 1                   | Describe and<br>analyze: The<br>Nature of Leaders<br>and Leadership<br>(Leader and<br>Leadership) | 1. Definition of a<br>Leader 2.<br>Definition of<br>Leadership 3.<br>Philosophy of<br>Leadership 4.<br>Leadership<br>Style in the<br>Global Era   | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80- 85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)   | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL)     | On line   |   | 5%                              |
|                     |   |   | Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment  |   |   |   |                                 |
| 2                   | Describe and<br>analyze:<br>Leadership and<br>Management  | 1. Theoretical<br>Foundations of<br>Leadership and<br>Management 2.<br>Human<br>Resources<br>Management 3.<br>Differences in<br>Characteristics<br>of Leadership<br>and<br>Management 4.<br>Relationship<br>between Leader<br>Roles 5.<br>Leadership<br>Theories<br>According to<br>Experts | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80- 85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL)     | On line   | Material: 1.<br>Theoretical<br>Foundations of<br>Leadership<br>and<br>Management<br>2. Human<br>Resources<br>Management<br>3. Differences<br>in<br>Characteristics<br>of Leadership<br>and<br>Management<br>4. Relationship<br>between<br>Leader Roles<br>5. Leadership<br>Theories<br>According to<br>Literature<br>Experts: | 0%                              |
| 3                   | Describe and<br>analyze: How to<br>Become a Leader<br>(Being A Leader)                            | 1. How to<br>Become a<br>Leader (Being A<br>Leader) 2.<br>Requirements<br>for Becoming a<br>Leadership 3.<br>Spirit to<br>Become a<br>Leader 4.<br>Leader 4.<br>Leadership<br>according to<br>several religions<br>5. Success in<br>becoming a<br>leader                                    | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80-85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment  | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL)     | On line   | Material: 1.<br>Theoretical<br>Foundations of<br>Leadership<br>and<br>Management<br>2. Human<br>Resources<br>Management<br>3. Differences<br>in<br>Characteristics<br>of Leadership<br>and<br>Management<br>4. Relationship<br>between<br>Leader Roles<br>5. Leadership<br>Theories<br>According to<br>Literature<br>Experts: | 0%                              |

| 4 | Describe and<br>analyze:<br>Leadership<br>Mastery   | 1. Leadership<br>Mastery 2. Four<br>Aspects of<br>Leadership<br>Mastery 3.<br>Today's<br>Leadership<br>Needs 4.<br>Abilities that<br>leaders must<br>have 5.<br>Teamwork 6.<br>Effective<br>Leaders 7.<br>Inspirational<br>Leadership  | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80- 85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL) | On line | Material: 1.<br>Theoretical<br>Foundations of<br>Leadership<br>and<br>Management<br>2. Human<br>Resources<br>Management<br>3. Differences<br>in<br>Characteristics<br>of Leadership<br>and<br>Management<br>4. Relationship<br>between<br>Leader Roles<br>5. Leadership<br>Theories<br>According to<br>Literature<br>Experts: | 5% |
|---|---|--|---|---|---------|---|----|
| 5 | Describe and<br>analyze:<br>Leadership<br>Influence, Power<br>and Conflict<br>Management<br>(Leadership<br>Influence, Power,<br>and Conflict<br>Management) | 1. Conflict<br>Management 2.<br>Power<br>Management 3.<br>Conflict<br>management   | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80- 85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL) | On line | Material: 1.<br>Theoretical<br>Foundations of<br>Leadership<br>and<br>Management<br>2. Human<br>Resources<br>Management<br>3. Differences<br>in<br>Characteristics<br>of Leadership<br>and<br>Management<br>4. Relationship<br>between<br>Leader Roles<br>5. Leadership<br>Theories<br>According to<br>Literature<br>Experts: | 5% |
| 6 | Describe and<br>analyze: Strategic<br>Leadership  | 1. Strategic<br>Leadership 2.<br>Strategic<br>Management<br>Process 3.<br>Strategic<br>management<br>considerations<br>4.<br>Competencies<br>that a Leader<br>Needs to Have<br>5. Effect of CEO<br>Succession and<br>Top<br>Management<br>Team<br>Composition on<br>Strategy 6.<br>Creating a<br>Balance of<br>Organizational<br>Control | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80-85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment  | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL) | On line | Material: 1.<br>Theoretical<br>Foundations of<br>Leadership<br>and<br>Management<br>2. Human<br>Resources<br>Management<br>3. Differences<br>in<br>Characteristics<br>of Leadership<br>and<br>Management<br>4. Relationship<br>between<br>Leader Roles<br>5. Leadership<br>Theories<br>According to<br>Literature<br>Experts: | 5% |

| 7  | Understand<br>Describe and<br>analyze: What is<br>Global Leadership                                | Global<br>Leadership •<br>Part I : Issues in<br>Leadership and<br>Governance •<br>The<br>Phenomenon of<br>Leadership •<br>The Uncertain<br>Place of<br>Leadership in<br>Higher<br>Education •<br>Motifs in<br>Leadership • A<br>Case Study in<br>Leadership •<br>Toward a<br>Phenomenology<br>of Relational<br>Leadership •<br>Leadership •<br>Leadership •<br>Leadership •<br>Leadership •<br>Leadership •<br>Leadership •<br>Leadership •<br>Leadership •<br>Leadership • | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80- 85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment                              | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL) | On line | Material: 1.<br>Theoretical<br>Foundations of<br>Leadership<br>and<br>Management<br>2. Human<br>Resources<br>Management<br>3. Differences<br>in<br>Characteristics<br>of Leadership<br>and<br>Management<br>4. Relationship<br>between<br>Leader Roles<br>5. Leadership<br>Theories<br>According to<br>Literature<br>Experts: | 15% |
|----|--|---|--|---|---------|---|-----|
| 8  |  | Materials 1-7   | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80- 85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment                              | Offline   | on line |   | 20% |
| 9  | Describe and<br>analyze:<br>Ambiguity and<br>Possibilities in<br>Leadership in<br>Higher Education |   | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80- 85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Participatory<br>Activities, Project<br>Results Assessment /<br>Product Assessment | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL) | on line |   | 0%  |
| 10 | Describe and<br>analyze 21st<br>Century<br>Leadership Issues                                       | 1. 21st Century<br>Leadership<br>Issues 2.<br>General<br>description of<br>21st Century<br>society 3. 21st<br>Century<br>Leadership<br>Issues and<br>Challenges 4.<br>Description of<br>21st Century<br>Leadership<br>According to<br>Experts 5.<br>Criteria for 21st<br>Century<br>Leaders   | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80- 85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment                              | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL) | on line |   | 0%  |

| 11 | Analyzing: 1.<br>Strategic and<br>Leadership<br>Theory; 2. 2.<br>Strategic<br>Leadership Value | 1. Integrating<br>Leadership and<br>the Strategy<br>Process 2.<br>Levels of<br>Strategic 3.<br>Strategic Initiatives and<br>Imperatives 4.<br>Strategic Goals<br>5. Actions 1.<br>Strategic Goals<br>5. Actions 1.<br>Strategic Quality 2.<br>Strategic<br>Leadership and<br>Powerful<br>Learning 3.<br>Admissions:<br>Brands or<br>Stories? 4. The<br>Students<br>Experience 5.<br>Strategy and<br>Facilities 6.<br>Strategy and<br>Financial<br>Resources 7.<br>Fund-raising                   | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80-85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment  | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL) | on line | 0% |
|----|--|--|---|---|---------|----|
| 12 | Analyzing:<br>Strategic Theory<br>and Leadership   | 1.<br>Communication<br>about Strategy<br>2. Strategy and<br>Culture : Norms,<br>Stories, Rituals,<br>and<br>Ceremonies 3.<br>Authority :<br>Leadership,<br>Management,<br>and Control<br>systems 4.<br>Strategy and<br>Accreditation 5.<br>Strategic<br>Assessment 6.<br>Strategic<br>Program<br>Reviews 7. The<br>Governing<br>Board and  | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80- 85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>(< 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment  | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL) | on line | 0% |
| 13 | Analyzing<br>Relational<br>Leadership for<br>Strategic<br>Sustainability.                      | 1. Conflict and<br>Change: The<br>Limits and<br>Possibilities of<br>Strategic<br>Leadership 2.<br>Strategic<br>Leadership and<br>Structural<br>Conflict 3. The<br>Strategic<br>Resolution of<br>Structural<br>Conflict in<br>Colleges and<br>Universities 4.<br>Adversarial<br>Limits to<br>Strategic<br>Leadership 5.<br>Strategic<br>Leadership 5.<br>Strategic<br>Leadership 5.<br>Strategic<br>Leadership and<br>Change 6. The<br>Nature of<br>Strategic<br>Change<br>Embedded<br>Leadership | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80- 85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL) | on line | 0% |
| 14 | Analyzing<br>Leadership as<br>Tools for Strategic<br>Management                                | 1.<br>Recapitulation<br>2. The<br>Discipline of<br>Strategic<br>Leadership 3.<br>The Process of<br>Strategic<br>Leadership 4.<br>The dialectics of<br>Leadership 5.<br>The Strategic<br>Integration of<br>Leadership   | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80-85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment  | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL) | on line | 0% |

| 15 | Analyzing<br>Strategic<br>Management of<br>Ethical Behavior | 1. Issues In<br>Leadership and<br>Governance 2.<br>Preparing for<br>Strategic<br>Leadership 3.<br>The Limits and<br>Possibilities of<br>Strategic<br>Leadership | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80- 85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment | Cooperative<br>Learning<br>(CL and<br>Problem<br>Based<br>Learning<br>(PBL) | on line | 15% |
|----|---|---|---|---|---------|-----|
| 16 |   |   | Criteria:<br>1.Assignments,<br>participation, UTS<br>and UAS 2.A = Very<br>Good (85-100) 3.B<br>= Good (80- 85) 4.C<br>= Fairly Good (70-<br>75) 5.D = Poor (60-<br>65) 6.E = Not Good<br>( < 60)<br>Form of<br>Assessment :<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment | Writing test  |         | 30% |

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study** 

| No | Evaluation                                      | Percentage |
|----|---|------------|
| 1. | Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 100%       |
|    |   | 100%       |

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of
  their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.