

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts

Document Code

UNESA	Faculty of Languages and Arts Doctoral Study Program in Language and Literature Education																			
					S	EME	ESTE	ER L	EAF	RNIN	G PI	LAN								
Courses			CODE					Course	Family					Credit \	Veight		SEMESTE		Compila Date	tion
Philosophy of Pedagogy	of Language-Lite	ature	880010	2075				Compul	sory Stu	dy Prog	ram Sub	jects		T=2 P:	=0 ECTS	S=5.04	1		May 1, 20	023
AUTHORIZATION			SP Dev	/elopei	r							Cou	rse Clus	ster Co	ordinator	•	Study Program Coordinate		tor	
			Dr. Ali Mustofa, M.Pd.				Dr. Oikurema Purwati, M.Appl. Ling.			l.	Dr. Suhartono, M.Pd.									
Learning model	Case Studies																			
Program	PLO study pro	gram which	ı is chaı	rged to	o the c	ourse														
Learning Outcomes	Program Obje	ctives (PO)																		
(PLO)	PO - 1																			
	PO - 2																			
	PO - 3	Make strate	gic decis	sions in	n develo	ping ba	sic cond	cepts for	languaç	ge-literat	ure educ	cation pl	ans in a	n adapti	ve and cr	eative r	manner			
	PO - 4	responsible for work in the field of language and literature education independently, intelligently, honestly, committed, sincere and sincere in developing students' attitudes, values and abilities																		
	PLO-PO Matrix	κ .																		
		PC PC)-2)-3																	
	PO Matrix at tl	ne end of ea	ch learr	ning s	tage (S	ub-PO))													
			1																	7
		P.0	0				1 .	1 -		-		Week		1	1 40	1.0	1		1.0	-
		PO-1		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	-
		PO-2						-												-
		PO-3																		1
İ		PO-4																		
Short Course Description	Use of theories literature educat literature educat issues through in	ion plans in a	an adapt	ive and Iangua	d creati	ve man ature le	ner. The	e study 1 approach	opics for	or this co	ourse ind	clude the	e diversi and epi	ity of phistemolo	nilosophic	al unde	rstandings	regardi	ng langu	age-
References	Main: 1. Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press 2. Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum 3. New, Christopher. 1999. Philosophy of Literature: An Introduction. New York: Routledge																			
	Supporters:																			
Supporting lecturer	Dr. Ali Mustofa,	S.S., M.Pd.																		
icciui ei													⊔oln I o							

Supporting ecturer	[

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)		Evaluation	Learning Student A	earning, methods, ssignments, ated time]	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)

1	At the end of the session, the students are able to: distinguish the notion of philosophy of language and literature pedagogy identify the nature of philosophy of language in education identify the nature of philosophy of literature in education write a critical review over the philosophy of language and pedagogy literature	1.The session will be successful if the students have already mastered the abilities to: distinguish the notion of philosophy of language and literature pedagogy 2.identify the nature of philosophy of language in education 3.identify the nature of philosophy of literature in education 4.write a critical review over the philosophy of language and literature pedagogy	Criteria: 1.Creativity and Originality 2.Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3.Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4.Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Tests	Offline: The strategies used in the session are : LecturingSharing and Discussion Presentation Q and A 2 X 50	Material: The Nature of Philosophy Bibliography: Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press	5%
2	At the end of the session, the students are able to: Discuss the topics related to Nietsche and Darwin in language and literature learningIdentify the problems related to Nietsche and Darwin in language and literature learningIdentify the notions of dialectical materialism in the context of Darwinism and Nietsche Write an argumentative review over the topics provided during the session	1.The success of the session is indicated if the students are able to: Discuss the topics related to Nietszche and Darwin in language and literature learning 2.Identify the problems related to Nietsche and Darwin in language and literature learning 3.Identify the notions of dialectical materialism in the context of Darwinism and Nietszche 4.Write an argumentative review over the topics provided during the session	Criteria: 1.Creativity and Originality 2.Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3.Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4.Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	The strategies used in the session are: LecturingPresentation Sharing and Discussion Q and A Individual Projects 2 X 50	Material: Nietsche and Educational Policy Reader: Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: Philosophy of Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum	5%
3	At the end of the session, the students are able to : Define the notions of pragmatism and logic in language and literature pedagogy Identify some important issues related to pragmatic and logic in language and literature pedagogy Identify the notions of rhetoric and logic in language and literature pedagogy Write argumentative essays on pragmatism, rethoric, and logic in language and literature pedagogy Write argumentative essays on pragmatism, rethoric, and logic in language and literature pedagogy	1. The session is successful if the students are able to: Define the notions of pragmatism and logic in language and literature pedagogy 2. Identify some important issues related to pragmatics and logic in language and literature pedagogy 3. Identify the notions of rhetoric and logic in language and literature pedagogy 4. Write argumentative essays on pragmatism, rhetoric, and logic in language and literature pedagogy	Criteria: 1. Creativity and Originality 2. Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3. Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4. Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	The strategies used in the session are: Lecturing Presentation Sharing and Discussion Small Project Q and A 2 X 50	Material: Pragmatics and Education Reader: Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: Pragmatics, Language, and Logic References: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum	5%

4	In the end of the stession, the students are able to : Discuss Sigmund Freud and Psychoanalysis and its relation with philosophy of language and literature pedagogy Identify the notions of consciousness and unconsciousness and their relations with human psychology Determine the notions of id, ego, superego and their relationship with language and literature learning Discuss some important key issues in Freudian psychoanalysis and its relationship with language and literature pedagogy	1.The session is indicated successful if the students are able to: Discuss Sigmund Freud and Psychoanalysis and its relation with philosophy of language and literature pedagogy 2.Identify the notion of consciousness and unconsciousness and unconsciousness and their relationship with human psychology 3.Determine the notions of id, ego, superego and their relationships with language and literature learning 4.Discuss some important key issues in Freudian psychoanalysis and its relationship with language and literature pedagogy	Criteria: 1. Creativity and Originality 2. Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3. Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4. Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	The strategies used in the session are: Lecturing Presentation Sharing and Discussion Q and A Small project investigation 2 X 50	Material: Sigmund Freud and Psychoanalysis Bibliography: Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: Sigmund Freud and Education Reader: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum Material: Sigmund Freud and The Interpretation of Dreams Bibliography: New, Christopher. 1999. Philosophy of Literature: An Introduction. New York:	5%
5	At the end of the session, the students are able to distinguish the notion of existentialism and its relationship with language and literature pedagogy identify the elements of existentialism and its relationship with language and literature pedagogy distinguish the nature of freedom of will and freedom to choose identify some important figures in the work of existentialism write critical reviews over existentialism and its relationship with language and literature pedagogy	1.The session was successful as indicated by the students' abilities to :distinguish the notion of existentialism and its relationship with language and literature pedagogy 2.identify the elements of existentialism and their relationship with language and literature pedagogy 3.distinguish the nature of freedom of will and freedom to choose 4.identify some important figures in the work of existentialism 5.write critical reviews over existentialism and its relationship with language and literature pedagogy	Criteria: 1. Creativity and Originality 2. Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3. Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4. Writing Elements Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	The strategies used in the session are: Lecturing Presentation Sharing and Discussion Small Project Investigation Q and A 2 X 50	Routledge Material: Soren Kierkegaard and Existentialism in Education and Pedagogy References: Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: Existentialism and Education in the Modern Era Reader: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum	5%

6	In the end of the session, the students are able to :Distinguish the notion of post-structuralism and post-modernism Distinguish the idea of logocentrism Identify the problems of Derridas deconstruction Distinguish the position of deconstruction in language and literature learning/pedagogy Identify the key issues in Derridas ideas related to language and literature pedagogy	1.The session is successful if the students are able to :Distinguish the notion of post-structuralism and post-modernism 2.Identify the problems of Derrid's deconstruction 3.Distinguish the position of deconstruction in language and literature learning/pedagogy 4.Identify the key issues in Derridas ideas related to language and literature pedagogy	Criteria: 1. Creativity and Originality 2. Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3. Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4. Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	The strategies used in the session are: Lecturing Presentation Sharing and Discussion Small project investigation Q and A 2 X 50	Material: Derrida and the Deconstruction of Education References: Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: Postmodernists' Thoughts and Ideas in Education Reference: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum Material: Decostruction of Text and Context of Language and Literature Bibliography: New, Christopher. 1999. Philosophy of Literature: An Introduction. New York: Routledge	5%
7	In the end of the session, the students are able to: Distinguish the notion of semiotics and its relationship with language and literature pedagogy Identify some important figures in semiotics studies distinguish the notions of sense, reference, and implication Write some critical reviews on semiotics in language and literature pedagogy	1.The session was successful as indicated by the abilities of the students to: Distinguish the notion of semiotics and its relationship with language and literature pedagogy 2.Identify some important figures in semiotic studies 3.distinguish the notions of sense, reference, and implication 4. Write some critical reviews on semiotics in language and literature pedagogy	Criteria: 1. Creativity and Originality 2. Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3. Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4. Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	The strategies used in the session are: Lecturing Presentation Sharing and Discussion Q and A 2 X 50	Material: Charles Alexander Pierce on Semiotics Reader: Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: Roland Barthes on Semiotics Reader: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum Material: Semiotics in Literary and Cultural Studies Bibliography: New, Christopher. 1999. Philosophy of Literature: An Introduction. New York: Routledge	5%

			Г	1_, , ,		
8	In the session, the students are supposed to write a paper work for their mid term test. The paper work is to measure their: ability to apply some theoretical frameworks for the philosophy in language and literature pedagogy during the first half of the semester competencies in sharing and discussing some critical issues in the philosophy in language and literature pedagogy during the first half of the semester critical understanding on the critical theories used in the philosophy of language and literature pedagogy during the first half of the semester critical theories used in the philosophy of language and literature pedagogy during the first half of the semester	1.the success of the mid term test is indicated by the abilities of the students to write a paper work for their mid term project which shows their : ability to apply some theoretical frameworks for the philosophy in language and literature pedagogy during the first half of the semester 2.competencies in sharing and discussing some critical issues in the philosophy in language and literature pedagogy during the first half of the semester 3.critical understanding on the critical theories used in the philosophy of language and literature pedagogy during the first half of the semester 3.critical theories used in the philosophy of language and literature pedagogy during the first half of the semester shalf of the semester	Criteria: 1. Creativity and Originality 2. Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3. Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4. Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	The strategies used in the Mid term test are: Individual Paper work Argumentative and critical essay on some issues which have been covered in the first half of the semester 2 X 50	Material: All topics covered in half of the semester Reader: Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: All topics covered in half of the semester Reader: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum Material: All topics covered in half of the semester Reader: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum Material: All topics covered in half of the semester Reader: New, Christopher. 1999. Philosophy of Literature: An Introduction. New York: Routledge	10%
9	at the end of the session, the students are able to : determine the nature of pragmatists on language and truth Identify the nature of pragmatists ideas on language and truth Identify some important figures on pragmatics on language and truth write critical reviews on pragmatics on language and truth write and truth	1.The session is successful if the students are able to: determine the nature of pragmatists on language and truth 2.Identify the nature of pragmatists ideas on language and truth 3.identify some important figures on pragmatics on language and truth 4.identify the important issues on language games in language games in language and literature pedagogy 5.write critical reviews on pragmatics on language and literature on pragmatics on language and literature pedagogy	Criteria: 1. Creativity and Originality 2. Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3. Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4. Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	The strategies for the session are: Lecturing Presentation Sharing and Discussion Q and A 2 X 50	Material: Pragmatics and Education Reader: Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: The Truth Value in Language and Literature Research References: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum	5%
10	In the end of the session, the students are able to : determine the nature of epistemology and subdivisions determine the nature of Pierce's methods on science determine Freges' ideas on Logic, Psychology, and Epistemology identify Wittgenstein's ideas on Certainty	1.The session is successful if the students are able to: determine the nature of epistemology and subdivisions 2.determine the nature of Pierce's methods on science 3.determine Freges ideas on Logic, Psychology, and Epistemology 4.identify Wittgenstein's ideas on Certainty	Criteria: 1. Creativity and Originality 2. Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3. Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4. Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	The strategies used in the session are: Lecturing Sharing and Discussion Presentation Q and A 2 X 50	Material: Logic and Rhetoric Reader: Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: Witgenstein and Language Teaching Reader: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum	5%

11	At the end of the session, the students will be able to: distinguish the nature of ethics in language and literature pedagogy identify the domain of Modifications of Utilitarianism identify the idea of Analytic Ethics write some critical reviews and arguments on the ethics in language and literature pedagogy	1. The session is successful if the students are able to: distinguish the nature of ethics in language and literature pedagogy 2. identify the domain of Modifications of Utilitarianism 3. identify the idea of Analytical Ethics 4. write some critical reviews and arguments on the ethics in language and literature pedagogy	Criteria: 1. Creativity and Originality 2. Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3. Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4. Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	The strategies used in the session are: Lecturing Presentation Sharing and Discussion Problem based solvingQ and A 2 X 50	Material: Ethics in Language and Literature Pedagogy Reference: Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: Marxism and Utilitarianism Reader: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum Material: Maxxist and Literary Criticism Bibliography: New, Christopher. 1999. Philosophy of Literature: An Introduction. New York: Routledge	5%
12	At the end of the session, the students are able to: Distinguish the nature of aesthetics and its relationship with language and literature pedagogy identify the ideas of Kierkegaard on Music and arts determine Nietzsches ideas on Tragedy in arts and literature determine Art and Morality for the sake of language and literature pedagogy	1.The session is achieved as indicated by the students' abilities to: Distinguish the nature of aesthetics and its relationship with language and literature pedagogy 2.identify the ideas of Kierkegaard on Music and arts 3.determine Nietzsches ideas on Tragedy in arts and literature 4.determine Art and Morality for the sake of language and literature pedagogy	Criteria: 1. Creativity and Originality 2. Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3. Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4. Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	The strategies used in the session are: Lecturing Presentation Sharing and Discussion Small group project Q and A 2 X 50	Material: Esthetics in Language and Literature Pedagogy Reader: Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: Morality in Language and Literature Pedagogy Reader: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum Material: Music, Arts and Existentialism Bibliography: New, Christopher. 1999. New York: Routledge	5%

13	In the end of the session, the students are able to : distinguish the nature of Art for Art's Sakeldentify some philosophical approaches on Art for Art's Sakeldentify some important figures who hold the ideas of Art for Art's Sakeldentify some important figures who hold the ideas of Art for Art's Sake	1.The session is achieved the students are able to: distinguish the nature of Art for Art's Sake 2.Identify some philosophical approaches on Art for Art's Sake 3.Identify some important figures who hold the ideas of Art for Art's Sake	Criteria: 1. Creativity and Originality 2. Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3. Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4. Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	The strategies used in the session are: Lecturing Sharing and discussion Presentation Q and A 2 X 50	Material: The Nature of Arts Nature of Arts for Arts' Sake Bibliography: Kenny. Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: School of Criticism Bibliography: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum Material: New Criticism and The Fallacies in Interpretation of Arts, Culture, and Literature: New, Christopher. 1999. New York: Routledge	5%
14	At the end of the session, the students are able to : distinguish the nature of Utilitarianism and Liberalism identify Kierkegaard and Schopenhauer's ideas on Women distinguish the nature of Marx on Capital and Labor determine the nature of Closed and Open Societies	1. The session was successful as indicated by the students' abilities to: distinguish the nature of Utilitarianism and Liberalism 2. identify Kierkegaard and Schopenhauer's ideas on Women 3. distinguish the nature of Marx on Capital and Labor 4. determine the nature of Closed and Open Societies	Criteria: 1. Creativity and Originality 2. Identifying the key themes and elements 3. Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4. Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	The strategies used in the session are: Lecturing Presentation Sharing and Discussion Q and A 2 X 50	Material: Liberalism and Utilitarianism in Education Reference: Kenny, Anthony, 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: Marx on Capital and Labor and Their Relations with Education References: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum	5%
15	At the end of the session, the students will be able to: identify the nature of Faith vs. Alienation distinguishes the nature of The Theism of John Stuart Mill identifies the problems of Creation and Evolution determines Newman's ideas on Philosophy of Religion determines the thoughts of The Death of God and the Survival of Religion determines Freud's ideas on Religious Illusion determines the thoughts on Religious Illusion determines the thoughts on Philosophical Theology after Wittgenstein	1.The session was successful if it was indicated by the abilities of the students to: identify the nature of Faith vs. Alienation 2.distinguish the nature of The Theism of John Stuart Mill 3.identify the problems of Creation and Evolution 4.determine Newman's ideas on Philosophy of Religion 5.distinguish the thoughts of The Death of God and the Survival of Religion 6.determine Freud's ideas on Religions 7.determine the thoughts on Philosophical Theology after Wittgenstein	Criteria: 1.Creativity and Originality 2.Identification of Key Themes and Elements 3.Analysis of Key Elements and Themes 4.Writing Quality Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	The Session will be using the following strategies: Lecturing Sharing and Discussion Presentation Small Project/InvestigationQ and A 2 X 50	Material: Faith and Alienation Bibliography: Kenny, Anthony. 2007 Philosophy in the Modern World 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press Material: Creatine, Evolution, and Religion References: Lee, Barry. 2011. Philosophy of Language: Key Thinkers. New York: Continuum	5%

16	At the end of the	1.The session	Criteria:	Take home exam and	Material: All 20%
	session, the	would be	 Some criteria for essay/paper writing as 	library	topics
	students are able to accomplish their	achieved if the	shown in the following rubric:	investigation/study	discussed
	project for final	students were	2.	2 X 50	during the
	term test which	able to	http://home.snu.edu/~hculbert/criteria.pdf		classroom
	shows some	accomplish their	3.Creativity and Originality		sessions
	requirements of	project for final	4.Identification of Key Themes and		Reader: Kenny,
	competencies : the	term test which	Elements		Anthony. 2007
	ability to show their		5.Analysis of Key Elements and Themes		Philosophy in
	critical arguments and thoughts on	shows some			the Modern
	applying certain	requirements of	6.Writing Quality		World 4th
	approaches for	competencies	Forms of Assessment :		Edition. New
	their small projects	which include their			York: Oxford
	the ability to think	abilities to: show	Participatory Activities, Project Results		University
	critically over	and expose their	Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio		Press
	certain approaches which include their	critical arguments	Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests		
	high order thinking	and thoughts on			Material: All
	in exposing and	applying certain			topics
	explaining the	approaches for			discussed
	hierarchy of	their small			during the
	thoughts from	projects			classroom
	different experts	2.think critically and			sessions
	and specialists for the sake of	logically over			Reader: Lee.
	visibility, reliability,				Barry, 2011.
	and originality of	certain			Philosophy of
	ideas and	approaches which			Language: Key
	arguments the	include their high			Thinkers, New
	competency in both	order thinking in			York:
	sharing and	exposing and			Continuum
	synthesizing the arguments from	explaining the			
	different sources in	hierarchy of			Material: All
	order to have better	thoughts from			topics
	insights of the	different experts			discussed
	nature of the	and specialists for			during the
	theoretical	the sake of			classroom
	frameworks they uncover.	visibility, reliability,			sessions
	uncover.	and originality of			Reader: New.
		ideas and			Christopher.
		arguments			1999.
		3.share and			Philosophy of
					Literature: An
		synthesize the			Introduction.
		arguments from			New York:
		different sources			Routledge
		in order to have			, todacago
		better insights of			
		the nature of the			
		theoretical			
		frameworks they			
		uncover.			
ldot				l l	

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	20.75%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	20.75%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	20.75%
4.	Practice / Performance	19.5%
5.	Test	18.25%
	_	100%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the
- internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.

 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of
- a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.

 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course. 4.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are quidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning. 8.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.

 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.