Document Code



Supporters:

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Doctoral Study Program in Language and Literature Education

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN																				
Courses			CODE				Cou	rse Fa	mily		Credit Weight			SEME	STER	Co	mpilati te	on		
Literature in Education			8800102074		Compulsory Study Program		T=2	P=0	ECTS	=5.04		1	Ма	y 1, 20	23					
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer			Subjects Course C		luster Coordinator		•	Study Program Coordinator		or							
			Prof. Dr. Darni, M.Si.				Prof. Dr. Setya Yuwana, M.A.				Dr. Suhartono, M.Pd.									
Learning model	Case Studies																			
Program	PLO study program which is charged to the course																			
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-8	PLO-8 Able to develop new scientific theories/concepts/ideas based on managing research data and information and contribute to the development of science and technology by paying attention to humanities values, scientific methodology and developments in the academic world											:0							
	PLO-12																			
	Program Objectives (PO)																			
	PO - 1	Utilizing science and technology to develop logical, critical, systematic, creative and innovative thinking through literary content analysis in the field of language and literature education																		
	PO - 2	Master	ring literary t	heoret	tical co	oncep	ts in th	ne field	d of lan	guag	ge and literature education									
	PO - 3	Makin	g strategic de	ecisior	ns in li	terary	conte	nt ana	ılysis ir	the f	e field of language and literature education									
	PO - 4		nsible for w e and sincer														y, hon	estly, o	committe	ed,
	PLO-PO Ma	trix																		
							_													
			P.O PLO-8 PLO-12																	
			PO-1																	
			PO-2																	
			PO-3																	
			PO-4																	
	PO Matrix a	t the er	nd of each	learn	ing st	tage (Sub-	PO)												
																				i
			P.O			•						Week	(
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		РО	-1																	
		РО	-2																	
		РО	-3																	
		РО	-4																	
Short Course Description	Use of theorielements relacourse incluing presentations	ated to la des liter	anguage and rary analysis	d litera s and	ature e its r	educat econs	ion in tructio	to an n in	origina a lang	l inte juage	grative and	langu literat	uage ai ure ed	nd litera	ature le	earning	model	. The t	opic of	this
References	Main :																			
	1. buku 2. E-bo 3. Jurna	ok																		

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evalu	ation	Help Le Learning Student As [Estima	Learning materials [References]	Assessmen Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students are able to understand the concepts and objectives of learning literature	1.Students are able to explain the concept of literary learning. 2.Students are able to explain the objectives of learning literature.	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures/discussions 2x45		Material: Gani, R. (1981). Teaching Literature: Response and Analysis. Jakarta: P3G Department of Education and Culture. Library: books Material: Suryaman, M. (2006). "Literature Teaching Strategy". Lecture Diktat (Not Yet Published). Yogyakarya: Department of Indonesian Language and Literature Education FBS UNY. Library: books	0%
2		1.Assessing the	Criteria:	Presentation 2x45		Material: Joyce, B. and M. Weil. (1982). Models of Teaching. New Jersey: Prentice/Hall International Inc. Library: books Material: Johnson, E. B.	0%
		Appropriateness of Presentation Objectives and Content 2. Judging in terms of presentation appearance 3. Assessing the Validity of Presentation Content 4. Assessing the Validity of Presentation Content 5. Assess from the perspective of props/support 6. Judging in terms of the size of the sound	1.100-90: Very good 2.89-70: OK 3.69-60: Fairly Good 4.59-50: not good Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance			(2006). Contextual Teaching & Learning. (Translator by Ibn Setiawan). Bandung: MLC Library: books Material: Gani, R. (1981). Teaching Literature: Response and Analysis. Jakarta: P3G Department of Education and Culture. Library: books Material: Joyce, B. and M. Weil. (1982). Models of Teaching. New Jersey: Prentice/Hall International Inc. Library: books	
						Material: Moody, HLB (1971). The Teaching of Literature with Special Reference to Developing Countries. London:	

				Longman. Library: books Material: Waluyo, HJ (2001). Drama: Its Theory and Teaching. Yogyakarta: Hanindita. Library: E-books Material: Sari, Indah. 2023. SINCERITY VALUE IN MERINDU CAHAYA DE AMSTEL MOVIE (STUDY SOCIOLOGY OF LITERATURE). Disastri: Jombang. vol 5, no 1. Bibliography: Scientific Journal Material: Supratno, Haris. Raharjo, Resdianto Permata. 2022. Religious Values Internalization of Indonesian and Malaysian Literary Novels as Educational Media to Strengthen Local Culture for Culture- Community. Atlantis Press: Unima International Conference on Social Sciences and Humanities.	
3				Scientific Journal	0%
4					0%
5					0%
6					0%
7					0%
8					0%
9					0%
10					0%
11					0%
12					0%
13					0%
14					0%
15					0%
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

16				0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

		orrection of the open	٠.	
No	Evaluation	Percentage		
		0%		

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study
 program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
 Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.