



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Doctoral Study Program in Educational Technology

UNESA						9									3,					
			SE	ME	ST	ER	R LE	ΞΑΙ	RN	INC	G P	PLA	N							
Courses		C	CODE				Cou	rse Fa	amily	,	Crec	lit We	ight		SEM	IESTE	R	Cor	mpilat te	ion
Learning The	ories & Models	8	360030204	0							T=2	P=0	ECT	S=5.04		2		July	y 17, 2	024
AUTHORIZAT	TON	3	SP Develop	oer							rse C rdina	luste: tor	•		Stud	dy Pro	gram (Coordi	nator	
			Prof. Dr. Mu Wahyuda W					putra		Prof.	. Dr. N	ſlustaj	i, M.Pc	i.		Prof.	Dr. Mu	staji, N	Л.Pd.	
Learning model	Case Studies																			
Program Learning	PLO study pro	gram w	hich is ch	arge	d to t	he c	ourse	Э												
Outcomes (PLO)	Program Object		,																	
(1 20)	PO - 1		ng the cond		•	•														
	PO - 2		ng learning																	
	PO - 3	context	ng the cha ual, themati	c, eff	ective	, colla	the id aborat	earnir tive a	nd lea	ocess arner-	cente	ered	ciuae	interact	iive, r	iolistic,	, integ	rative,	scien	titic,
	PO - 4		ng learning J, project-ba J																	
	PLO-PO Matrix	(
			P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4																	
	PO Matrix at th	e end o	of each lea	rnin	ı sta	ae (S	ub-P	O)												
					,	J - (-		-,												
			P.O									Wee	k							
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	•
		PO-2	1																	•
		PO-2	2																	•
		PO-3	3																	
		PO-4	4																	
Short Course Description	Examining (1) the and connectivism thematic, effective collaborative leaffectively facilitative taxonomy of learnew learning molearning, problem	n, (3) the ve , colla rning, co ate learn ning moo odels. Th	e character aborative, a coperative ning, (5) ba del designs de methods	istics nd le learn asic p (clas /mode	of the arner- ing, p proced s, pro els us	e lear cente rojec dures duct sed fo	rning ered, t-base for o and s	proce (4) le ded lea develo systen dy lea	ss wharning arning oping orientation orien	nich i g mo g, pro learr nted) g are	nclude dels soblem- ning r and lectur	e inte such a -base model (7) Pr res, d	ractive as gro d lear s, ADI actice iscuss	, holisti up disc ning, oi DIE, Ho develor ions, qu	c, interussion r other plistic ping le uestio	egrativens, simer learred 4D, a earning	e, scientulation ning mand Floor nind Floor node	ntific, ons, cas lethods omp m lls for o	context se stud s that nodels, develop	tual, lies, can (6) ping
References	Main:																			

- Robert Maribe Branch Tonia A. Dousay. 2015. Survey of Instructional Development Models. Association for Educational Communications and Technology 320 West 8th Street, Suite 101 Bloomington, Indiana 47404-3745 USA aect.org
- 2. Charles M. Reigeluth. (2017). INSTRUCTIONAL-DESIGN THEORIES AND MODELS, VOLUME IV HISTORICITY The Learner-Centered Paradigm of Education. Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business
- 3. Tjeerd Plomp & Nienke Nieveen (2013). Educational Design Research Part A: An introduction http://international.slo.nl/publications/edr/ Enschede, November 2013

Supporters:

- 1. Anderson, L.R., & Kratwohl, D.R. 2001. A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives. A Bridged Edition. New York, NY: Longman 2. Robert Maribe Branch. 2009. Instructional Design: The ADDIE Approach. Springer New York Dordrecht Heidelberg London 3. Chee-Kit Looi , Lung-Hsiang Wong Christian Glahn , Su Cai. 2019. Seamless Learning: Perspectives, Challenges and Opportunities. Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 4. Charles M. Reigeluth and Yunjo An 2021. Merging the Instructional Design Process with Learner-Centered Theory: The Holistic 4D Model. Routledge 52 Vanderbilt Avenue, New York, NY 10017 5. Ronghuai Huang, J. Michael Spector, Junfeng Yang. 2019. Educational Technology A Primer for the 21st Century. Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd 6. M. D. Roblyer. 2015. Introduction to Systematic Instructional Design for Traditional, Online, and Blended Environments. USA. Pearson Education, Inc. All rights reserved. 7. Walter Dick, Lou Carey, and James O. Carey. 2015. The Systematic Design of Instruction. eighth edition. All rights reserved. Manufactured in the United States of America 8. Kemp. 2013, Designing Effective Instruction. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.
- 4. Charles M. Reigeluth and Yunjo An 2021. Merging the Instructional Design Process with Learner-Centered Theory: The Holistic 4D Model. Routledge 52 Vanderbilt Avenue, New York, NY 10017

Supporting lecturer Prof. Dr. Mustaji, M.Pd. Dr. Andi Kristanto, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evaluation		Le Stud	Help Learning, arning methods, dent Assignments, Estimated time]	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	[Holorolloco]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Lecture Orientation Course Theory and Learning Models	Describe the roadmap for the Theory and Learning Models course	Criteria: Accuracy in describing the road map for the Theory and Learning Models course Form of Assessment : Test	Lectures 2 X 50		Material: Concept Map Material Theory and Learning Models Library: Charles M. Reigeluth. (2017). INSTRUCTIONAL- DESIGN THEORIES AND MODELS, VOLUME IV HISTORICITY The Learner-Centered Paradigm of Education. Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an information business	0%

	T.		T		Г		
2	Students are able to examine concepts, types, and relationships between components of learning variables	1.Analyzing the concept of learning variables 2.describe the components of learning variables 3.analyze the relationship between components of learning variables	Criteria: 1.Accuracy of analyzing the concept of learning variables 2.Accuracy of describing the components of learning variables 3.Accuracy of analyzing the relationship between components of learning variables Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project-based learning 2 X 50		Material: learning variables References: Reigeluth CM 2007. Instructional Design Theories and Models: Anew Paradigm of Instructional Theory. New Jersey: Luaren Elrbaum Associate 2. Robert Maribe Branch and Tonia A. Dousay, 2015. Survey Of Instructional Models. Association for Educational Communications and Technology. 320 West 8th Street, Suite 101Bloomington, Indiana 47404- 3745 USA Material: variable components of learning Reference: Charles M. Reigeluth. (2017). INSTRUCTIONAL- DESIGN THEORIES AND MODELS, VOLUME IV HISTORICITY The Learner-Centered Paradigm of Education. Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an information business	5%

			1		1	1	
3	Students are able to examine the theoretical basis of learning for developing learning models	1.Analyzing learning theories and learning theories 2.Applying learning theory and behaviorist learning as a basis for developing learning theory and cognitivist learning as a basis for developing learning models 4.Applying learning models 4.Applying learning theory and constructivist learning as a basis for developing learning theory and constructivist learning as a basis for developing learning models 5.Applying learning theory and connectivist learning as a basis for developing learning theory and connectivist learning as a basis for developing learning models	Criteria: 1.Accuracy of analyzing learning theories and learning theories 2.The accuracy of applying learning theory and behaviorist learning as a basis for developing learning models 3.The accuracy of applying learning theory and cognitivist learning as a basis for developing learning models 4.The accuracy of applying learning models 4.The accuracy of applying learning theory and constructivist learning as a basis for developing learning models 5.The accuracy of applying learning theory and constructivist learning as a basis for developing learning models 5.The accuracy of applying learning theory and connectivist learning as a basis for developing learning models Form of Assessment Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project- based learning 2 X 50	a tt BCCRIII CCTT NOV PHELPER RIGIT TO GO INTO CCT PECCE SECOND CCT PECCE	Material: Learning ind learning neory bibliography: Charles M. Reigeluth. (2017). NSTRUCTIONAL-DESIGN THEORIES AND MODELS, VOLUME IV HISTORICITY The earner-Centered Paradigm of Education. Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an information iusiness. Material: learning neory References: 3. Chee-Kit Looi, ung-Hsiang Vong Christian Blahn, Su Cai. 1019. Seamless earning: Perspectives, Challenges and Opportunities. Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.	5%

-	1		T		ı	
	Students are able to examine the theoretical basis of learning for developing learning models	1.Analyzing learning theories and learning theories 2.Applying learning theory and behaviorist learning as a basis for developing learning models 3.Applying learning theory and cognitivist learning as a basis for developing learning models 4.Applying learning theory and constructivist learning as a basis for developing learning theory and constructivist learning as a basis for developing learning models 5.Applying learning models 5.Applying learning models 5.Applying learning theory and connectivist learning as a basis for developing learning models	Criteria: 1.Accuracy of analyzing learning theories and learning theories 2.The accuracy of applying learning theory and behaviorist learning as a basis for developing learning models 3.The accuracy of applying learning theory and cognitivist learning as a basis for developing learning models 4.The accuracy of applying learning models 4.The accuracy of applying learning theory and constructivist learning as a basis for developing learning models 5.The accuracy of applying learning models Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product	Project- based learning 2 X 50	Material: Learning and learning theory Bibliography: Charles M. Reigeluth. (2017). INSTRUCTIONAL-DESIGN THEORIES AND MODELS, VOLUME IV HISTORICITY The Learner-Centered Paradigm of Education. Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an information business Material: learning theory References: 3. Chee-Kit Looi, Lung-Hsiang Wong Christian Glahn, Su Cai. 2019. Seamless Learning: Perspectives, Challenges and Opportunities. Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.	5%

			1		
5 Students ar to examine characterist the learning process wh includes interactive, scientific, contextual, thematic, ef collaborativ learner-cen	ne the ristics of ing which e, holistic, e, lal, effective, tive and	Criteria: 1.Accuracy Evaluating the characteristics of an interactive learning process 2.Accuracy Evaluating the characteristics of a holistic learning process 3.Accuracy of Evaluating the characteristics of an integrative learning process 4.Accuracy of evaluating the characteristics of a scientific and contextual learning process 5.Accuracy of evaluating the characteristics of the thematic learning process 6.Accuracy Evaluating the characteristics of an effective learning process 7.Accuracy Evaluating the characteristics of a collaborative learning process 8.Accuracy of evaluating the characteristics of a collaborative learning process 8.Accuracy of evaluating the characteristics of a learner-centered learning process	Case Method 2 X 50	Material: Effective and learner-centered online learning Reference: Tim S. Roberts (2004). Online Collaborative Learning: Theory and Practice. Published in the United States of America by Information Science Publishing (an imprint of Idea Group Inc.) Material: Collaborative learning References: Reigeluth CM 2007. Instructional Design Theories and Models: Anew Paradigm of Instructional Theory. New Jersey: Luaren Elrbaum Associate 2. Robert Maribe Branch and Tonia A. Dousay, 2015. Survey Of Instructional Models. Association for Educational Communications and Technology. 320 West 8th Street, Suite 101Bloomington, Indiana 47404-3745 USA	

Ctudont ==	o ovamino di —		C	Messeel	F0.
6 Students en learning mo group discu simulations studies, collaborativ learning, probased learr problem-ba learning mo which can effectively flearning	models of scussions, ons, case ative tive project-aarning, -based or or other methods, an ely facilitate characteristics of the teambased learning model 2. Evaluating the characteristics of the project-based learning	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Case Method 2 X 50	Material: collaborative model Bibliography: Charles M. Reigeluth. (2017). INSTRUCTIONAL- DESIGN THEORIES AND MODELS, VOLUME IV HISTORICITY The Learner-Centered Paradigm of Education. Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an information business Material: project based learning model References: Reigeluth CM 2007. Instructional Design Theories and Models: Anew Paradigm of Instructional Theory. New Jersey: Luaren Elrbaum Associate 2. Robert Maribe Branch and Tonia A. Dousay, 2015. Survey Of Instructional Models. Association for Educational Communications and Technology. 320 West 8th Street, Suite 101Bloomington, Indiana 47404- 3745 USA Material: collaborative learning model Reference: Tim S. Roberts (2004). Online Collaborative Learning: Theory and Practice. Published in the United States of America by Information Science Publishing	5%

7	Students examine learning models of group discussions, simulations, case studies, collaborative learning, cooperative learning, project-based learning, or other learning methods, which can effectively facilitate learning	1.Evaluate the characteristics of the teambased learning model 2.Evaluating the characteristics of the project-based learning model 3.Evaluating the characteristics of problem-based learning models 4.Evaluate the characteristics of the Case Based Learning model 5.Evaluate the characteristics of the Collaborative learning model 6.Evaluate the characteristics of the Distance Learning model	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Case Method 2 X 50	Material: collaborative model Bibliography: Charles M. Reigeluth. (2017). INSTRUCTIONAL- DESIGN THEORIES AND MODELS, VOLUME IV HISTORICITY The Learner-Centered Paradigm of Education. Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an information business Material: project based learning model References: Reigeluth CM 2007. Instructional Design Theories and Models: Anew Paradigm of Instructional Theory. New Jersey: Luaren Elrbaum Associate 2. Robert Maribe Branch and Tonia A. Dousay, 2015. Survey Of Instructional Models. Association for Educational Communications and Technology. 320 West 8th Street, Suite 101Bloomington, Indiana 47404- 3745 USA Material: collaborative learning model Reference: Tim S. Roberts (2004). Online Collaborative Learning: Theory and Practice. Published in the United States of America by Information Science Publishing (an imprint of Idea Group Inc.)	5%

	6.Evaluate the characteristics of the Distance Learning		Elrbaum Associate 2. Robert Maribe Branch and Tonia A. Dousay, 2015. Survey Of Instructional	
	model		Models. Association for Educational Communications and Technology. 320 West 8th	
			Street, Suite 101Bloomington, Indiana 47404- 3745 USA	
			Material: collaborative learning model Reference: Tim S. Roberts (2004). Online Collaborative	
			Learning: Theory and Practice. Published in the United States of America by Information	
			Science Publishing (an imprint of Idea Group Inc.)	
			Group mony	

				1		
10	Students are able to examine the	1.Implement	Criteria:	Project- based	Material: ADDIE MODEL	10%
	basic procedures	learning	1.Accuracy of	learning	Bibliography:	
	for developing	model	implementing	2 X 50	Robert Maribe	
	learning models	development	learning model	2 X 30	Branch Tonia A.	
		procedures	development		Dousay. 2015.	
		using the	procedures using		Survey of	
		ADDIE	the ADDIE		Instructional	
		procedure	procedure		Development	
		2.Establish	2.Accuracy		Models.	
		procedures	Determining		Association for	
		for developing	procedures for		Educational	
		learning	developing		Communications	
		models using	learning models		and Technology	
		KEMP	using KEMP		320 West 8th	
			procedures		Street, Suite 101	
		procedures	3.Accuracy of		Bloomington,	
		3.Applying	,		Indiana 47404-	
		learning	implementing		3745 USA	
		model	learning model		aect.org	
		development	development		acci.org	
		procedures	procedures using			
		using the	the DICK and			
		DICK and	Carey procedure			
		Carey	4.Accuracy of			
		procedure	implementing			
		4.Implement	learning model			
		learning	development			
		model	procedures using			
		development	the Borg and Gall			
		procedures	procedure			
		using the	5.Accuracy of			
		Borg and Gall	implementing			
		procedure	learning model			
		5.Implement	development			
		learning	procedures using			
		model	The Holistic 4D			
		development	Model procedure			
		procedures	6.Accuracy of			
		using The	implementing			
		Holistic 4D	learning model			
			development			
		Model	•			
		procedure	procedures using			
		6.Implement	the MD Roblyer			
		learning	procedure			
		model	Form of Assessment			
		development				
		procedures	Project Deculte			
l		using the MD	Project Results Assessment / Product			
l		Roblyer	Assessment / Product			
		procedure	ASSESSITIETIL			

	1		1	1	T	ı	
	Students are able to examine the basic procedures for developing learning models	1.Implement learning model development procedures using the ADDIE procedure 2.Establish procedures for developing learning models using KEMP procedures 3.Applying learning model development procedures using the DICK and Carey procedure 4.Implement learning model development procedures using the Borg and Gall procedure 5.Implement learning model development procedures using The Holistic 4D Model procedure 6.Implement learning model development procedures using The Holistic 4D Model procedure 6.Implement learning model development procedure 5.Implement learning model development procedure 6.Implement learning model development procedure for the MD Roblyer procedure	Criteria: 1.Accuracy of implementing learning model development procedures using the ADDIE procedure 2.Accuracy Determining procedures for developing learning models using KEMP procedures 3.Accuracy of implementing learning model development procedures using the DICK and Carey procedure 4.Accuracy of implementing learning model development procedures using the Borg and Gall procedure 5.Accuracy of implementing learning model development procedures using the Borg and Gall procedure 5.Accuracy of implementing learning model development procedures using The Holistic 4D Model procedure 6.Accuracy of implementing learning model development procedures using the MD Roblyer procedure Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project-based learning 2 X 50		Material: ADDIE MODEL Bibliography: Robert Maribe Branch Tonia A. Dousay. 2015. Survey of Instructional Development Models. Association for Educational Communications and Technology 320 West 8th Street, Suite 101 Bloomington, Indiana 47404-3745 USA aect.org	15%
12	Students are able to examine taxonomies in designing learning models	1.Analyzing the characteristics of classroom-oriented models 2.Analyze the characteristics of Product-Oriented models 3.Analyzing the characteristics of System-Oriented Models	Criteria: 1.Accuracy of Analyzing the characteristics of classroom- oriented models 2.Accuracy of Analyzing the characteristics of Product-Oriented models 3.Accuracy of Analyzing the characteristics of System-Oriented Models Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	Case Method 2 X 50			10%

13	Students are able to design and develop learning models	1.Analyzing learning model needs 2.Designing a learning model 3.Developing Models 4.Developing an instrument for assessing the quality of learning models 5.Assess the quality of the model 6.Prepare a report on the results of the learning model assessment 7.Present a report on the results of the learning model assessment	Criteria: 1.Accuracy of Analyzing, designing, developing learning models 2.Accuracy of developing instruments for assessing the quality of learning models and conducting assessments Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project- based learning 2 X 50	Material: Learning Model Library: Charles M. Reigeluth. (2017). INSTRUCTIONAL- DESIGN THEORIES AND MODELS, VOLUME IV HISTORICITY The Learner-Centered Paradigm of Education. Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an information business Material: Online learning model Reference: Tim S. Roberts (2004). Online Collaborative Learning: Theory and Practice. Published in the United States of America by Information Science Publishing (an imprint of Idea Group Inc.)	10%
14	Students are able to design and develop learning models	1.Analyzing learning model needs 2.Designing a learning model 3.Developing Models 4.Developing an instrument for assessing the quality of learning models 5.Assess the quality of the model 6.Prepare a report on the results of the learning model assessment 7.Present a report on the results of the learning model assessment	Criteria: 1.Accuracy of Analyzing, designing, developing learning models 2.Accuracy of developing instruments for assessing the quality of learning models and conducting assessments Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project- based learning 2 X 50	Material: Learning Model Library: Charles M. Reigeluth. (2017). INSTRUCTIONAL-DESIGN THEORIES AND MODELS, VOLUME IV HISTORICITY The Learner-Centered Paradigm of Education. Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an information business Material: Online learning model Reference: Tim S. Roberts (2004). Online Collaborative Learning: Theory and Practice. Published in the United States of America by Information Science Publishing (an imprint of Idea Group Inc.)	10%

	T	T	1	1	T	1	
15	Students are able to design and develop learning models	1.Analyzing learning model needs 2.Designing a learning model 3.Developing Models 4.Developing an instrument for assessing the quality of learning models 5.Assess the quality of the model 6.Prepare a report on the results of the learning model assessment 7.Present a report on the results of the learning model assessment	Criteria: 1.Accuracy of Analyzing, designing, developing learning models 2.Accuracy of developing instruments for assessing the quality of learning models and conducting assessments Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project- based learning 2 X 50		Material: Learning Model Library: Charles M. Reigeluth. (2017). INSTRUCTIONAL- DESIGN THEORIES AND MODELS, VOLUME IV HISTORICITY The Learner-Centered Paradigm of Education. Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an information business Material: Online learning model Reference: Tim S. Roberts (2004). Online Collaborative Learning: Theory and Practice. Published in the United States of America by Information Science Publishing (an imprint of Idea Group Inc.)	5%
16	Students create new theories and learning models	1. Analyze the needs for developing learning models 2. Design learning models 3. Develop learning models and tools 4. Implement learning models 5. Test the feasibility and effectiveness of learning models	Form of Assessment: Test	2 X 50 Project			0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

Evaluation i crocinago nocapi caco ciaay						
No	Evaluation	Percentage				
1.	Participatory Activities	10%				
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	80%				
3.	Test	5%				
		95%				

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and
 unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. **Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.