



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Doctoral Study Program in Educational Technology

UNESA	Doctoral Study Program in Educational Technology																	
		SI	EME	EST	EF	R L	EΑ	RN	IINC	G P	LA	N						
Courses		CODE				Course Family			Cre	Credit Weight			SE	MEST	ER	Co	mpilation te	
Quantitative I Methodology		86003030	3600303034 Compulsory : Program Sub			ry Sti Subje	udy cts	T=3	P=	0 EC	TS=7.5	6	2		Aug 202	gust 15, 23		
AUTHORIZAT	TON	SP Devel	SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator				Stu	Study Program Coordinator					
		Prof. Dr. F	Prof. Dr. Rusijono, M.Pd.					Prof. Dr. Rusijono, M.Pd.					Prof. Dr. Mustaji, M.Pd.			M.Pd.		
Learning model	Project Based L	roject Based Learning																
Program	PLO study pro	LO study program which is charged to the course																
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																	
(PLO)	PO - 1	Understand the educational rese	derstand the basic concepts and implementation of qualitative and quantitative research in accordance with ucational research steps and procedures, including: formulation, hypothesis and research variables.															
	PO - 2	Understand the educational rese	derstand the basic concepts and implementation of qualitative and quantitative research in accordance with ucational research steps and procedures, including: research variables and research design.															
	PO - 3	Understand the educational rese	derstand the basic concepts and implementation of qualitative and quantitative research in accordance with ucational research steps and procedures, including: research design and sampling techniques.															
	PO - 4	Understand the basic concepts and implementation of qualitative and quantitative research in accordance with educational research steps and procedures, including: data analysis, interpretation of research results, drawing conclusions, and preparing proposals in accordance with scientific principles and ethics.																
	PLO-PO Matrix																	
		P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4																
	PO Matrix at th	e end of each le	arnin	g sta	ge (S	ub-P	0)											
		P.O							Week									
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		PO-1																
		PO-2																
		PO-3																
		PO-4																
Short Course Description	research steps a collection metho	nines the basic c and procedures, i ds, instrument de ordance with scier	ncludin velopn	g: for nent,	mulat data a	ion, ł analy:	iypoth sis, ir	nesis,	resea	arch v	ariab	les, re	search	desig	n, san	npling t	echnic	ques, data
References	Main:															-		

- 1. McMillan, James H., Schumacher, Sally. 2010. Research in Education . Seventh Edition
- 2. Hadi, Sutrisno . 2015. Metodologi Riset. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar
- 3. Cozby, Paul C., Bates, Scott C. 2012. Methods in behavioral research . New York: McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc
- 4. Rusijono dan Mustaji . 2013. Penelitian teknologi pembelajaran . Surabaya: Unesa University Press
- Creswell, J. W. (2020). Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research, Global Edition. Britania Raya: Pearson Education.
- 6. Setyaedhi, Hari Sugiharto. 2023. Pengembangan Instrumen Tes Hasil Belajar (Bentuk Tes Objektif). Sleman. CV Budi Utama
- Setyaedhi, Mustaji, dan Fitri. 2023. Empirical Quality of Final Exam Questions in a Learning Management System-Based Course Authors. Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia, VOI.12, No.1.https://doi.org/10.23887/jpiundiksha.v12i1.52262
- 8. setyaedhi, hari, Rusijono, R., & Khotimah, K. (2023). Workshop for Preparation of Minimum Competence Assessment for Private High School Teachers. International Journal of Community Service Learning, 7(3), 282–292. https://doi.org/10.23887/ijcsl.v7i3.67456

Supporters:

- Roni, S. M., Merga, M. K., & Morris, J. E. (2020). Conducting quantitative research in education. Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.
- 2. Hermawan, I. (2019). Metodologi penelitian pendidikan (kualitatif, kuantitatif dan mixed method). Hidayatul Quran.
- 3. Pakaya, W. C., Sutadji, E., Dina, L. N. A. B., Rahma, F. I., Mashfufah, A., & Ayu, I. R. (2023). Metode Penelitian Pendidikan. Nawa Litera Publishing.
- setyaedhi, hari, Rusijono, R., & Khotimah, K. (2024). Training and Mentoring in the Development of Test Instruments for Measuring Learning Outcomes of Muhammadiyah School. International Journal of Community Service Learning, 7(3), 272–281. https://doi.org/10.23887/ijcsl.v7i3.63063

Supporting lecturer

Prof. Dr. Rusijono, M.Pd. Dr. Hari Sugiharto Setyaedhi, M.Si. Prof. Dr. Suparji, S.Pd., M.Pd. Dr. Atan Pramana, M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	arning		Lear Studer	lp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (Online (online offline)			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Understanding of basic concepts and types of research.	1.Can explain the meaning of research 2.Can explain types of research based on field 3.Can explain the type of research based on the research location 4.Can explain types of research based on research based on research approaches	Criteria: 75% of students can explain correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, assignments 3 X 50		Material: Understanding basic concepts and types of research. References: McMillan, James H., Schumacher, Sally. 2010. Research in Education. Seventh Edition Material: Understanding basic concepts and types of research. Reference: Hermawan, I. (2019). Educational research methodology (qualitative, quantitative and mixed method). Hidayatul Quran.	3%

2	Formulate research problems	1.Able to find research problems in the field of Educational Technology 2.Able to formulate research problems in the field of Educational Technology	Criteria: 75% of students are able to formulate research problems in the field of Educational Technology Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, assignments 3 X 50	Material: Formulating research problems References: McMillan, James H., Schumacher, Sally. 2010. Research in Education. Seventh Edition Material: Formulating research problems References: Hermawan, I. (2019). Educational research methodology (qualitative, quantitative and mixed method). Hidayatul Quran.	3%
3	Research problems and variables	1.Students understand the concept of research variables 2.Students understand the concept of research variable status 3.Students are able to explain the research variables of a research problem	Criteria: Individual Assignment: Formulate objectives and state the variables in each research problem. 75% of students were able to answer correctly Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, assignments 3 X 50	Material: Research problems and variables References: McMillan, James H., Schumacher, Sally. 2010. Research in Education. Seventh Edition Material: Research problems and variables References: Hermawan, I. (2019). Educational research methodology (qualitative, quantitative and mixed method). Hidayatul Quran.	3%
4	Formulate the objectives and benefits of research	Formulation of objectives and benefits of research according to the chosen problem (Individual assignment)	Criteria: Individual Assignment: Formulate the research problem, research objectives, and research benefits! Assessment criteria: 75% of students are able to answer correctly Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, assignments 3 X 50	Material: Formulate the objectives and benefits of research. Reference: Hadi, Sutrisno. 2015. Research Methodology. Yogyakarta: Student Library Material: Formulating the objectives and benefits of research Reference: Hermawan, I. (2019). Educational research methodology (qualitative, quantitative and mixed method). Hidayatul Quran.	5%

5	Literature review	Able to explain the purpose of conducting a literature review	Criteria: 75% of students were able to explain the purpose of the literature review Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Collaborative learning, discussion, question and answer, 3 X 50 assignments	Material: Literature review References : Hadi, Sutrisno . 2015. Research Methodology. Yogyakarta: Student Library Material: Literature review References : Roni, SM, Merga, MK, & Morris, JE (2020). Conducting quantitative research in education. Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.	5%
6	Able to formulate hypotheses	1.Able to explain the meaning of hypothesis 2.Able to explain types of hypotheses 3.Able to formulate a hypothesis 4.Able to explain the theory underlying the hypothesis (Individual Assignment)	Criteria: 75% of students were able to do the assignment correctly Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Collaborative learning, discussion, question and answer, 3 X 50 assignments	Material: Able to formulate a hypothesis Reader: Hadi, Sutrisno. 2015. Research Methodology. Yogyakarta: Student Library Material: Able to formulate a hypothesis References: Roni, SM, Merga, MK, & Morris, JE (2020). Conducting quantitative research in education. Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.	5%
7	Understand different types of research	1.Able to explain types of research based on field 2.Able to explain types of research based on location 3.Able to explain types of research based on their use 4.Able to explain types of research based on their use 4.Able to explain types of research based on the approach	Criteria: 75% of students were able to explain the question correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Collaborative learning, discussion, question and answer, 3 x 50 assignments	Material: Understanding various types of research References: Cozby, Paul C., Bates, Scott C. 2012. Methods in behavioral research. New York: McGraw- Hill Companies, Inc Material: Understanding various types of research References: Roni, SM, Merga, MK, & Morris, JE (2020). Conducting quantitative research in education. Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.	5%

8	Mastering lecture material for meetings 1 - 7	Able to do UTS questions correctly Criteria: 75% of students can do UTS questions well Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			Material: Mastering lecture material for meetings 1 - 7 References: Cozby, Paul C., Bates, Scott C. 2012. Methods in behavioral research. New York: McGraw- Hill Companies, Inc	5%
					Material: Mastering lecture material for meetings 1 - 7 References: Roni, SM, Merga, MK, & Morris, JE (2020). Conducting quantitative research in education. Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.	
9	Understanding the research population and sample	1.Able to explain the meaning of population 2.Able to explain the meaning of sample 3.Able to explain the main characteristics of the sample 4.Able to explain types of sampling techniques	Criteria: 75% of students were able to explain the question correctly Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, questions and answers, and 3 X 50 assignments	Material: Understanding research populations and samples References: Cozby, Paul C., Bates, Scott C. 2012. Methods in behavioral research. New York: McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc Material: Understanding the population and research sample References: Creswell, J. W. (2020). Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research, Global Edition. United Kingdom: Pearson Education.	10%

10	Understand various experimental designs	Able to choose an experimental design that suits the research problem and conditions in the field	Criteria: 75% of students are able to explain various experimental designs and are able to choose experimental designs that suit the research problem and conditions in the field Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning, Lectures, questions and answers, discussions, and 3 X 50 assignments	Material: Understanding various experimental designs References: Creswell, John W. 2016. Research Design, Approaches, Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed methods. Yogyakarta: Student Library Material: Understanding various experimental designs References: Creswell, J. W. (2020). Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research, Global Edition. United Kingdom: Pearson Education.	6%
11	Understand various data collection methods. Able to choose data collection methods that are appropriate to the research variables	1.Able to explain various data collection methods 2.Able to choose data collection methods that suit the research variables	Criteria: 75% of students were able to answer the instrument items correctly Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussion, question and answer, 3 X 50 assignments	Material: Understand various data collection methods. Be able to choose data collection methods that suit the research variables. References: Creswell, J. W. (2020). Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research, Global Edition. United Kingdom: Pearson Education.	5%
12	Able to develop research instruments	1. Students are able to develop operational definitions of variables 2. Students are able to develop variable indicators 3. Students are able to create an instrument development grid 4. Students are able to arrange instrument items	Criteria: 75% of students were able to answer questions correctly and were able to do their assignments well Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, questions and answers, and 3 X 50 assignments	Material: Able to develop research instruments Reader: Rusijono and Mustaji. 2013. Learning technology research. Surabaya: Unesa University Press Material: Able to develop research instruments References: Creswell, J. W. (2020). Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research, Global Edition. United Kingdom: Pearson Education.	10%

13	Able to analyze	1.0	Critoria	Droicet	Motorial: Al-1- t-	1004
13	Able to analyze data correctly	1.Students master various data analysis techniques 2.Students are able to choose data analysis techniques appropriately	Criteria: 75% of students can answer the assessment items correctly Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, questions and answers, and 3 X 50 assignments	Material: Able to analyze data correctly Reader: Rusijono and Mustaji. 2013. Learning technology research. Surabaya: Unesa University Press Material: Able to analyze data correctly References: Pakaya, WC, Sutadji, E., Dina, LNAB, Rahma, FI, Mashfufah, A., & Ayu, IR (2023). Educational Research Methods. Nawa Litera Publishing.	10%
14	Able to prepare research proposals	Able to prepare proposals in the field of educational technology	Criteria: 75% of students were able to prepare a proposal Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Individual assignments, discussions, Project Based Learning, and 3 X 50 questions and answers	Material: Able to prepare a research proposal Reader: Rusijono and Mustaji. 2013. Learning technology research. Surabaya: Unesa University Press Material: Able to prepare a research proposal References: Pakaya, WC, Sutadji, E., Dina, LNAB, Rahma, FI, Mashfufah, A., & Ayu, IR (2023). Educational Research Methods. Nawa Litera Publishing.	10%
15	Able to prepare research proposals	Able to prepare research proposals in the field of Educational Technology	Criteria: 75% of students were able to prepare a proposal Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Individual assignments, discussions, Project Based Learning, and 3 X 50 questions and answers	Material: Able to prepare a research proposal Reader: Rusijono and Mustaji. 2013. Learning technology research. Surabaya: Unesa University Press Material: UAS References: Pakaya, WC, Sutadji, E., Dina, LNAB, Rahma, FI, Mashfufah, A., & Ayu, IR (2023). Educational Research Methods. Nawa Litera Publishing.	10%

16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: 75% of students can do the UAS well Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning 3 X 50		Material: UAS Library: Rusijono and Mustaji . 2013. Learning technology research. Surabaya: Unesa University Press Material: UAS References: Pakaya, WC, Sutadji, E., Dina, LNAB, Rahma, Fl, Mashfufah, A., & Ayu, IR (2023). Educational Research Methods. Nawa Litera Publishing.	5%
----	-----	-----	--	--	--	--	----

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	30%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	70%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
 Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.