

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Doctoral Study Program in Educational Technology

Document Code

(8)

(7)

				SE	ME	ST	ER		EAI	RN	NG	i Pl		1						
Courses			CODE	CODE			Cours			nily	Credit Weight			SEMESTER Com Date		compilati Date	ion			
Cognitive Meta			860030	8600302011							T=2	P=0	ECT	S=5.04		1	J	uly 18, 20	024	
AUTHORIZATION			SP Dev	SP Developer						Course Cluster Coordinator					Study Program Coordinator					
																Prof. Dr. Mustaji, M.Pd.				
Learning model		Case Studies	Ise Studies																	
Program Learning		PLO study program that is charged to the course																		
Outcom		Program Objectives (PO)																		
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix	ĸ																	
		P.O																		
		PO Matrix at t	ne e	nd of each	ı lear	ning	stag	e (Su	ıb-PC))										
			P.O Week																	
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
							1								1 1					
Short Course Descript	tion	Critically examine the development of critical and creative thinking abilities to solve problems related to life. This ability includes (1) making decisions and solving problems wisely, (2) applying knowledge, experience and thinking skills more practically both inside and outside the organization/school, (3) producing creative and innovative ideas or creations, (4) overcome hasty, vague and narrow ways of thinking, (5) improve cognitive and affective aspects, and (6) be open in receiving and giving opinions, make judgments based on reasons and evidence, and dare to give views and criticism											oth sty,							
Reference	ces	Main :																		
		4. Peña-A	Dali Dani ogy; vala, Spr Shirle o, R	e H. 2012. I iel T. 2004. Massachus Alejandro. inger; NY ey. 2010. M oger & Ale	Learni Think setts 2015. etaco	ing th ting a Meta gnitio	eories nd se acogni n in ye	eing: eing: tion: F	educa visua Funda childre	ational al met uments en. Ro	persp acogn s, Appl outledg	ective ition i licatio ge; NY	e.Pears n adul ns, and	son:N\ ts and d Tren	r childre ds: A Pr	n. Ma ofile c	ssachus of the Cu	ırre	nt State-	Of-
	Supporters:																			
Support lecturer	ing	Dr. Fajar Arianto), S.F	Pd., M.Pd.																
Week- ead		al abilities of h learning ge b-PO)		Evaluation ndicator Criteria & Form						Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]					ma	Learning materials [References		Assessment Weight (%)		
	Jun	510)		Indicator		Cr	iteria	& FO	rm		ine(ine)		Online	(onli	ne)		1			

	Lindor-t-u-1		.			
1	Understand the theoretical basis of metacognition	 Describe the theoretical basis of metacognition Describe the meaning of metacognition Discussing metacognitive characteristics in learning 	Criteria: Conformity with theory	Lecture Question and answer 2 X 50		0%
2	Explain metacognitive processes	 Describe the elements of metacognition Describe cognitive processes in metacognition Explain the relationship between metacognition in learning 	Criteria: conformity with theory	Discussion Questions and answers 2 X 50		0%
3	Describe metacognitive skills	 Explain the meaning of metacognitive skills Describe the elements in metacognitive skills Explains the application of metacognitive skills in learning 	Criteria: Conformity with theory	Question and answer discussion 2 X 50		0%
4	Describing metacognition in children and adults	Clarify metacognitive and developmental stages Clarify the link between metacognitive and 4 abilities (mathematics, science, reading and writing)	Criteria: familiarity with theoretical studies	Discussion Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
5	Describe social metacognition	 Explain the relationship between metacognition and attitudes and decision making Explain the relationship between metacognition and self- concept Explain the relationship between metacognition and interpersonal abilities 	Criteria: conformity with theoretical studies	discussion question and answer 2 X 50		0%
6	Describe the relationship between metacognition and learning	 Explaining metacognition in cognitive theory Explain the relationship between metacognition and learning Explaining metacognitive variables in learning 	Criteria: conformity with theory	discussion question and answer 2 X 50		0%

7	Describe the metacognitive model	 Explaining metacognitive knowledge Explaining metacognitive experiences Explaining the influence of metacognitive models in learning 	Criteria: conformity with theory	question and answer discussion 2 X 50		0%
8	Describe metacognitive strategies	Explaining metacognitive strategies Explaining the application of metacognitive strategies in learning	Criteria: suitability to theory and depth in study	Question and answer discussion 2 X 50		0%
9	Describe the relationship between metacognition and technology in learning	 Clarify the use of metacognition in learning Describe the relationship between metacognition and technology Describe the impact of technology use on metacognitive abilities 	Criteria: depth of study	question and answer discussion 2 X 50		0%
10	Describe the relationship between metacognition and technology in learning	 Clarify the use of metacognition in learning Describe the relationship between metacognition and technology Describe the impact of technology use on metacognitive abilities 	Criteria: depth of study	question and answer discussion 2 X 50		0%
11	Describe the metacognitive relationship with visuals	1.Clarify the use of visuals in learning 2.Description of visual influence on metacognition	Criteria: depth of study	discussion question and answer 2 X 50		0%
12	Describe the metacognitive relationship with audio	 Clarifying the use of audio in learning Description of the influence of audio on metacognition 	Criteria: depth of study	discussion question and answer 2 X 50		0%
13						0%
14						0%
15						0%
16						0%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.

0%

- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- **11. The assessment weight** is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.