

References

Main:

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Doctoral Study Program in Educational Management

Document Code

SEMESTED I EADNING DI ANI

SEMESTER LEARNING FEAN																				
Courses			CODE				Cou	ırse	Fami	ily	Credit Weight		SEM	ESTE	R	Con	npilati	on		
Leadership a Organization	nd Educational al Behavior		8603103926	i			Stud	npuls dy Pr	ogra	m	T=0	P=0	EC	ΓS=0		1		Aug 2023	ust 1, 3	
AUTHORIZAT	ΓΙΟΝ		SP Developer						ırse C ordina	Cluste ator	er		Study Program Coordinator			r				
			Dr. Erny Roesminingsih, M.Si					Dr. Erny Roesminingsih, M.si				Dr. Nunuk Hariyati, S.Pd., M.Pd.								
Learning model	Project Based L	earnin	g																	
Program	PLO study prog	gram t	that is charç	jed t	o th	е со	urse	е												
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																			
(PLO)	PO - 1		K 1- Able to a		the	conc	cepts	and	theo	ries	of ed	lucation	onal l	eader	ship a	nd co	nflict ı	manag	emen	t in
	PO - 2		CPMK2- Able to develop leadership theory and group dynamics by applying interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary approaches in studying and solving problems in educational institutions.																	
	PO - 3	CPMI and c	K3- Able to de conditions surr	sign ounc	prob ling t	lem he e	solvi duca	ng st tiona	rateg I inst	ies l itutic	oy ap on	plying	a lea	dersh	ip styl	e acco	ording	to the	situat	ion
	PO - 4	CPMF educa	K 4- Construc ation.	ting	theor	ries (of ed	lucati	onal	lead	lershi	p and	conf	lict m	anage	ment	applie	d in th	ne field	d of
	PLO-PO Matrix																			
			P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4																	
	PO Matrix at th	e end	of each lear	rninç	ງ sta	ıge ((Sub	-PO))											
			P.O									Wee	k							
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		PC	O-1																	
		PC	O-2																	
		PC	D-3																	
		PC	O-4																	
Short Course Description	The educational discusses variou education, includi institutions. Activ leadership theory discussions, givir carried out throu leadership best p leadership best p	is conding corrities of and one and one and one and one assurpt with the angle of t	cepts, theorie offict manager f reviewing corganizational signments, pro ritten tests,	es, st ment ase beha esent	tyles theo studi avior tatior	and ry ar ies a in th	l app nd greand r ne fie and le	oroac oup or evieveld eld of eade	hes dynar wing educ rship	to le nics rese catio pra	eaders ; Nex earch nal e ctice	ship a t, the are ducati (simu	and c discu carrie on. L llation	organi ssion d out earnir). Ev	zationa is rela to sta ng incl aluatio	al beh ted to rength udes p n of	navior cases en ur provid learnir	in the s in eco ndersta ing inf ng out	e field lucation anding format comes	onal onal of ion, s is

- 1. Gosling, A. Marturano, & P Dennison. (2003). A Review of Leadership Theory and Competence Framework. University of Exester: Centre for Leadership Studies.
- 2. Ingvarson, Lawrence, Michelle Anderson, Peter Gronn, & Andrew Jackson (2006). Standards for School Leadership: A Critical Review of Literature. Australian Institute for Teaching and School Leadersip Ltd.
- 3. 3. Northhouse, Peter G. (2016). Leadership: Theory & Practice-seventh edition. London: Sage. Bolden, R., J.

Supporters:

- 1. 1. Burhanuddin. 1994. Analisis Administrasi Manajemen dan Kepemimpinan Pendidikan. Jakarta: BumiAksara.
- 2. 2. Effendi, Onong Uchjana. 1986. Kepemimpinan dan Komunikasi. Yogayakarta: Kanisius.
- 3. 3. Kartono, Kartini. 1998. Pemimpin dan Kepemimpinan. Jakarta: PT Raja Grafindo Persada
- 4. 4. Pidarta, Made. 1995. Peranan Kepala Sekolah pada Pendidikan Dasar. Jakarta: Grasindo
- 5. 5. Siagian, Sondang P. 1994. Teori dan Praktek Kepemimpinan. Jakarta: PT Rineka Cipta
- 6. Sutarto. 1998. Dasar-dasar Kepemimpinan Administrasi. Yogyakarta: Gajah Mada University Press.
- 7. 7. Wahyosumidjo. 2001. Kepemimpinan Kepala Sekolah; Tinjauan Teoritik dan Permasalahannya. Jakarta: PT Raja Grafindo
- 8. Yukl, Gary. 1998. Kepemimpinan Dalam Organisasi: Leadership in Organization 3rd (edisi bahasa Indonesia).
 Jakarta: Prenhallindo

Supporting lecturer

Prof. Dr. H. Haris Supratno Dr. Erny Roesminingsih, M.Si.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning	Eva	aluation	Lea Stud	Help Learning, arning methods, ent Assignments, Estimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
WCCK-	stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)	[References]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Understand and implement agreements and rules for the Leadership and Organizational Behavior course in one semester	1. Students are required to make a review of the material 2. Presentation. 3. Students are required to have publications in the Leadership and Organizational Behavior course	Criteria: . The assessment is divided into the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. Assessment of papers can be done through presentation, asking skills and answering skills. 3. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, shows a good understanding of the concept, the presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, the answer to the questioner is correct, and is able to provide applicable suggestions Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities		1. Lecture 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer	Material: Leadership concepts and organizational behavior References: 3. Northouse, Peter G. (2016). Leadership: Theory & Practice-seventh edition. London: Sage. Bolden, R., J.	5%

2	Explain and analyze trait theory and skills approach and relate it to practice in the field of education	explain, discuss and solve leadership problems using trait theory and skills approach and relate it to practice in the field of education	Criteria: 1.International assessment of the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, shows a good understanding of the concept, the presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to the questioner are correct, and is able to provide applicable suggestions. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project	Small Grou		0%
3		1. Able to explain, analyze and solve problems in behavioral	Results Assessment / Product Assessment Criteria: 1.International assessment of the value of the ability	Small Grou Discussion		5%
		approach theory and relate it to practice in the field of education. 2. Able to explain, analyze and solve problems in situational approach theory and relate it to practice in the field of education	to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, shows a good understanding of the concept, the presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to the questioner are correct, and is able to provide applicable suggestions.		approach in leadership. References: 3. Northouse, Peter G. (2016). Leadership: Theory & Practice-seventh edition. London: Sage. Bolden, R., J.	
			Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			
4	Understand, analyze and solve problems using path-goal theory and leader- member exchange in educational leadership.	Able to explain, analyze and solve problems using path-goal theory and relate it to practice in the field of education. 2. Able to explain, analyze and solve problems using leadermember exchange theory and relate it to practice in the field of education	Criteria: 1.International assessment of the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, shows a good understanding of the concept, the presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to the questioner are correct, and is able to provide applicable suggestions. Form of Assessment:	Small grou		10%
			Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			

		F		I		1	
5	Understand, analyze and solve problems using authentic leadership and servant leadership theories in the field of education	1. Able to explain, analyze and solve problems using authentic leadership theory and relate it to practice in the field of education. 2. Able to explain, analyze and solve problems using servant leadership theory and relate it to practice in the field of education	Criteria: 1.International assessment of the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, shows a good understanding of the concept, the presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to the questioner are correct, and is able to provide applicable suggestions. Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Small group discussions	Material: Theories of authentic leadership and servant leadership in the field of education. References: 1. Gosling, A. Marturano, & P Dennison. (2003). A Review of Leadership Theory and Competence Framework. University of Exester: Center for Leadership Studies.	5%
6	- Understand, analyze and solve problems using the theory of adaptive leadership and team leadership in the field of education	1. Able to explain, analyze and solve problems using adaptive leadership theory and relate it to practice in the field of education. 2. Able to explain, analyze and solve problems using team leadership theory and relate it to practice in the field of education	Criteria: 1.International assessment of the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, shows a good understanding of the concept, the presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to the questioner are correct, and is able to provide applicable suggestions. Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Small group discussions	Material: Adaptive leadership theory and team leadership in the field of education. References: 3. Northouse, Peter G. (2016). Leadership: Theory & Practice-seventh edition. London: Sage. Bolden, R., J.	5%
7	- Understand, analyze and solve problems using transformational leadership and psychodynamic theories in educational leadership.	1. Able to explain, analyze and solve problems using transformational leadership theory and relate it to practice in the field of education. 2. Able to explain, analyze and solve problems using psychodynamic approach theory and relate it to practice in the field of education	Criteria: 1.International assessment of the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, shows a good understanding of the concept, the presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to the questioner are correct, and is able to provide applicable suggestions. Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		small group discussions	Material: Transformational leadership and psychodynamic theory in educational leadership Reference: 8. Yukl, Gary. 1998. Leadership in Organizations: Leadership in Organizations 3rd (Indonesian edition). Jakarta: Prenhallindo	10%

8	Midterm exam	Meeting indicators 1 to 7	Criteria: The sub-summative test (Mid-Semester Exam / UTS) is carried out one by one by assessing (grading) all relevant indicators through a written exam, averaging them and giving weights (2) Form of Assessment:	Doing tests	Material: all meeting materials 1 to 7 References: 3. Northouse, Peter G. (2016). Leadership: Theory & Practice-seventh edition. London: Sage. Bolden, R., J.	10%
9	Understand, analyze and solve problems using gender leadership and cultural leadership theories in the field of education.	1. Able to explain, analyze and solve problems using gender leadership theory and relate it to practice in the field of education. 2. Able to explain, analyze and solve problems using cultural leadership theory and relate it to practice in the field of education.	Test Criteria: An international assessment of the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, shows a good understanding of the concept, the presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to the questioner are correct, and is able to provide suggestions and applicable problem solving. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment /	small group discussions	Material: Gender leadership theory and cultural leadership in the field of education. References: 3. Northouse, Peter G. (2016). Leadership: Theory & Practice-seventh edition. London: Sage. Bolden, R., J.	5%
10	Able to analyze leadership cases in certain educational institutions	- Able to dissect the leadership model applied in an educational institution, based on the theory learned in meetings 2 to 9	Product Assessment Criteria: Product results assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Problem bas learning	ed Material: all meeting materials 2 to 9 References: 3. Northouse, Peter G. (2016). Leadership: Theory & Practice-seventh edition. London: Sage. Bolden, R., J.	5%
11	- Able to analyze leadership cases in certain educational institutions	- Able to dissect the leadership model applied in an educational institution, based on the theory learned in meetings 2 to 9	Criteria: Product results assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Problem bas learning		5%
12	- Able to analyze leadership cases in certain educational institutions	- Able to dissect the leadership model applied in an educational institution, based on the theory learned in meetings 2 to 9	Criteria: Product results assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Problem bas learning	ed Material: all meeting materials 2 to 9 References: 3. Northouse, Peter G. (2016). Leadership: Theory & Practice-seventh edition. London: Sage. Bolden, R., J.	5%

13	- Able to analyze leadership cases in certain educational institutions	- Able to dissect the leadership model applied in an educational institution, based on the theory learned in meetings 2 to 9	Criteria: Product results assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Problem based learning	Material: all meeting materials 2 to 9 References: 3. Northouse, Peter G. (2016). Leadership: Theory & Practice-seventh edition. London: Sage. Bolden, R., J.	5%
14	- Able to analyze leadership cases in certain educational institutions	- Able to dissect the leadership model applied in an educational institution, based on the theory learned in meetings 2 to 9	Criteria: Product results assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Problem based learning	Material: all meeting materials 2 to 9 References: 3. Northouse, Peter G. (2016). Leadership: Theory & Practice-seventh edition. London: Sage. Bolden, R., J.	5%
15	Understand educational leadership theory as a whole in the Indonesian context	An international assessment of the value of the ability to ask and answer. 2. The presentation is carried out coherently, with appropriate emphasis and intonation, shows a good understanding of the concept, the presentation media (PPT) meets the criteria, answers to the questioner are correct, and is able to provide applicable suggestions.	Criteria: Project/product results Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning	Material: all material References: 3. Northouse, Peter G. (2016). Leadership: Theory & Practice-seventh edition. London: Sage. Bolden, R., J.	10%
16		Product yield assessment	Criteria: Product results assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	discussion and consultation	Material: all material that has been taught. References: 1. Gosling, A. Marturano, & P. Dennison. (2003). A Review of Leadership Theory and Competence Framework. University of Exester: Center for Leadership Studies.	10%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	7.5%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	82.5%
3.	Test	10%
		100%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.

 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
- which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special

- skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.