

References Main:

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Science Education Doctoral Study Program

Document Code

ourses		CODE	Course Fami			ily	y Credit Weight			s	SEMESTER		Compilatio				
Research proposal		8400103040	8400103040		Co	Compulsory Study		T=3	P=0 ECTS=7.56		6	3		June			
nescalcii proposal			Program Sub			ojects	, S			2010-110				2022			
AUTHORIZAT	ΓΙΟΝ	SP Develop	er					Co	ours	e Clus	ster C	oordinator		tudy I coordi	Progra nator	ım	
		Prof. Dr. Su	Prof. Dr. Suyatno, M.Si.				Pr	Prof. Dr. Suyatno, M.Si.					Prof. Dr. Suyatno, M.Si.				
Learning model	Project Based	Learning															
Program Learning	PLO study pr	ogram which is	char	ged	to th	e co	urse	•									
Outcomes	Program Obje	Program Objectives (PO)															
(PLO)		Have logic, ethics								•					•		
	PO - 2	Correctly apply qualitative, quantitative, or mixed research theories and concepts to produce creative, original, and credible work.															
	PO - 3	Prepare problem solving proposals through inter, multi and transdisciplinary approaches in the form of research proposals according to scientific principles.															
	PO - 4	Prepare proposals for research management and development according to their scientific field as a basis for making accountable decisions															
	PLO-PO Matrix																
		P.O															
		PO-1															
		PO-2															
		PO-3															
		PO-4															
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																
		P.O	P.O Week														
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 11	12	13	14	15	16
		PO-1															
		PO-2															
		PO-3															
		PO-4															

- 1. Creswell, J.W. (2014). Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.
- 2. Sugiyono (2015). Metode Penelitian Pendidikan. Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R &D. Cetakan ke-22. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- 3. 3. Tim (2022). Pedoman Penulisan Tesis dan Disertasi. Surabaya: Pascasarjana Unesa.
- 4. 4. Yin, R.K. (2016). Qualitative Reasearch from Strat to Finish. 2nd Ed. New York: Guilford Press.

## Supporters:

 ${\bf 1.} \ \ {\bf Artikel\ dalam\ jurnal\ mutakhir\ yang\ relevan\ dengan\ penelitian}$ 

Supporting lecturer

Prof. Dr. Suyatno, M.Si. Dr. Eko Hariyono, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)		valuation	Lear Studer [ Es	elp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	1	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Able to formulate a dissertation research problem formulation	Accuracy in compiling dissertation research topics	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, discussion and case method 3x50 minutes	Presentation, discussion and case method 3x50 minutes	Material: Developing a dissertation research problem formulation References: 2. Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	5%
2	Able to formulate dissertation research problems	Accuracy in formulating dissertation research problems	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, discussion and case method 2x50 minutes	Presentation, discussion and case method 2x50 minutes	Material: Developing a dissertation research problem formulation References: 2. Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	5%
3	Able to prepare the introductory part of a dissertation proposal	Accuracy in preparing the introductory part of the dissertation proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Material: Preparing the introductory part of dissertation research References: 1. Creswell, JW (2014). Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	5%

4	Prepare the	Accuracy in	Criteria:	Presentation,	Presentation,	Material:	5%
7	introductory part of the dissertation proposal	preparing the introductory part of the dissertation proposal	Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Preparing the introductory part of a dissertation research proposal References: 3. Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	<i>37</i> 0
5	Prepare the introductory part of the dissertation proposal	Accuracy in preparing the introductory part of the dissertation proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Material: Preparing the introductory part of a dissertation research proposal. References: 4. Yin, RK (2016). Qualitative Research from Strat to Finish. 2nd Ed. New York: Guilford Press.	10%
6	Able to prepare the literature review section of a dissertation proposal	The accuracy of compiling the literature review section of a dissertation proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Material: Compiling a theoretical study in a dissertation proposal References: 2. Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	10%
7	Able to prepare the literature review section of a dissertation proposal	The accuracy of compiling the literature review section of a dissertation proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Material: Developing a theoretical study in a dissertation proposal References: 3. Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	10%

8	Able to prepare	The	Criteria:	Presentation,	Presentation,	Material:	10%
	the literature review section of a dissertation proposal	accuracy of compiling the literature review section of a dissertation proposal	Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Developing a theoretical study in a dissertation proposal References: 4. Yin, RK (2016). Qualitative Research from Strat to Finish. 2nd Ed. New York: Guilford Press.	
9	Able to develop a framework for thinking in a literature review of a dissertation proposal	Accuracy in developing a framework for thinking in a literature review of a dissertation proposal	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Material: Developing a framework for dissertation research. References: 2. Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	10%
10	Compile the research methods section of the dissertation proposal and bibliography	Accuracy in compiling the research methods section of the dissertation proposal and bibliography	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Material: Developing dissertation research methods References: 1. Creswell, JW (2014). Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	5%
11	Able to prepare the research methods section of a dissertation proposal and bibliography	Accuracy in compiling the research methods section of the dissertation proposal and bibliography	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Material: Developing the dissertation research methods section References: 4. Yin, RK (2016). Qualitative Research from Strat to Finish. 2nd Ed. New York: Guilford Press.	5%

12	Able to prepare the research methods section of a dissertation proposal and bibliography	Accuracy in compiling the research methods section of the dissertation proposal and bibliography	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Material: Developing the dissertation research methods section References: 3. Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations.	5%
13	Able to prepare research proposal attachments	Accuracy in compiling research proposal attachments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.  Material: Preparing attachments to a dissertation	2%
			teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			proposal References: 2. Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	
14	Able to prepare research proposal attachments	Accuracy in compiling research proposal attachments	Criteria:  Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Material: Preparing attachments to a dissertation proposal References: 2. Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	3%
15	Able to prepare research proposal attachments	Accuracy in compiling research proposal attachments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Presentation, discussion, PjBL 3x50 minutes	Material: Preparing attachments to a dissertation proposal References: 3. Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%

16	Able to take the dissertation proposal exam	The quality of the proposal, presentation skills and mastery of the proposal content as well as the quality of the proposal supervision process	Criteria:  Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	90 minute face to face proposal exam	90 minute online proposal exam	Material: Dissertation proposal examination References: 3. Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%
----	---	---	--	---	-----------------------------------	--	----

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	100%
		100%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special
  skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- ${\bf 12.\ TM}\hbox{--}{\sf Face\ to\ face,\ PT}\hbox{--}{\sf Structured\ assignments,\ BM}\hbox{--}{\sf Independent\ study}.}$