

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Science Education Doctoral Study Program

Document Code

UNESA	Science Education Doctoral Study Program																			
			SEI	ИΕ	ST	ER	R LI	ΕΑΙ	RN	IIN	G P	LA	N							
Courses			CODE			C	Cours	e Fan	nily		Credit Weight		S	EMES	TER	Co	mpilat te	ion		
Open Dissertation			8400109002	2				ulsory ım Su			T=9	P=0	EC.	TS=22.6	88	7	,	Jur 202	ie 20,	
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer				C	Course	e Clus	ster C	oord	inator	S	Study F	Progra	m Co	ordina	tor		
			Prof. Dr. Su	rof. Dr. Suyatno, M.Si. Prof. Dr. Suyatno, M.Si. Prof. Dr. S							. Dr. Sı	uyatno	o, M.Si							
Learning model	Project Based Learning																			
Program	PLO study pro	ogram	that is cha	rged	to th	ne co	ourse)												
Learning Outcomes	Program Obje	ctives	s (PO)																	
(PLO)	PO - 1	Have	logic, ethics,	hone	sty, a	s we	ll as a	a critic	al ar	nd ope	n attit	ude ir	prod	ducing r	esea	rch				
	PO - 2	Apply	scientific co	ncept	s, the	ories	and r	netho	dolo	gies ir	conc	luctino	g and	reportir	ng re	search	results	6		
	PO - 3	Produ	ice problem s	solvin	g thro	ugh i	inter,	multi	and t	transd	iscipli	nary a	ıppro	aches.						
	PO - 4	Mana decisi	ge and devions	elop	resea	rch s	scient	ifically	y ac	cordin	g to	their	scien	tific fiel	d so	as to	produ	ice a	count	able
	PLO-PO Matri	X																		
			P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4																	
	PO Matrix at the	he en	d of each le	arniı	ng st	age ((Sub	-PO)												
		_		1																7
			P.O		1	1	1					Weel					1			1
		PC	D-1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	-
			D-2																	-
			D-3																	
		-	D-4																	1
				1	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>					1_		<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1
Short Course Description	Application of viresearch data, a course is in the definitions of re suggestions/recexaminers from possible without international jou	and sci e form search omme the st taking	ientific mode of a dissert terms/variandations. The tudy program g an open ex	or pe ation bles), e diss and am if	rspec man theo sertati exter the re	ctive uscriporetication malesses estate to the contraction of the cont	used pt inc al stu nanus exami rch re	in an luding dies, cript i ners f	origing title rese is action	nal, in e, intro arch i count simila	novat oducti metho ed foi ir stuc	ive ar on (b ds, re throu ly pro	id tra ackgi sear igh a gram	nsdiscip round, p ch resu in open s outsic	olinar orobli Its, c exa le Su	y manr em/foc liscuss minatio urabaya	ner. Thus, objions, on atteractions	e prod jective conclu nded l e Univ	luct of s/benesions, by integersity.	this efits, and ernal It is
References	Main :																			

- Creswell, J.W. 2014. Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.
- 2. Sugiyono. 2015. Metode Penelitian Pendidikan. Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R &D. Cetakan ke-22. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Tim. 2022. Pedoman Penulisan Tesis dan Disertasi. Surabaya: Pascasarjana Unesa.
 Yin, R.K. 2016. Qualitative Reasearch from Strat to Finish. 2nd Ed. New York: Guilford Press.

Supporters:

1. Artikel dalam jurnal yang relevan dengan topik penelitian disertasi

Supporting lecturer

Prof. Dr. Suyatno, M.Si.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	arning		Lea Stude [E	elp Learning, rning methods, ent Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Able to develop research instruments	Accuracy in developing research instruments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments Reader: Sugiyono. 2015. Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	5%
2	Able to develop research instruments	Accuracy in developing research instruments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments References: Creswell, JW 2014. Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	5%
3	Able to develop research instruments	Accuracy in developing research instruments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments References: Team. 2022. Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%
4	Able to develop research instruments	Accuracy in developing research instruments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments References: Team. 2022. Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%

5	Able to prepare research instrument validation instruments and carry out validation	Accuracy in compiling research instrument validation instruments and carrying out validation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments Reader: Sugiyono. 2015. Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	5%
6	Able to prepare research instrument validation instruments and carry out validation	Accuracy in compiling research instrument validation instruments and carrying out validation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments References: Team. 2022. Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%
7	Able to carry out research for data collection	Accuracy in carrying out research for data collection	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Implementation of educational research References: Creswell, JW 2014. Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	5%
8	Able to carry out research for data collection	Accuracy in carrying out research for data collection	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Carrying out research for data collection References: Creswell, JW 2014. Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	5%
9	Able to carry out research for data collection	Accuracy in carrying out research for data collection	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Carrying out research for data collection Reader: Sugiyono. 2015. Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	5%

10	Able to carry out research for data	Accuracy in carrying out	Criteria: Based on the	Discussion of	Discussion of presentations and PjBL	Material: Carrying out	5%
	collection	research for data collection	assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	9 x 50 minutes	research for data collection Reader: Sugiyono. 2015. Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	
11	Able to carry out research for data collection	Accuracy in carrying out research for data collection	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Carrying out research to collect data References: Yin, RK 2016. Qualitative Research from Strat to Finish. 2nd Ed. New York: Guilford Press.	5%
12	Able to analyze research data	Accuracy in analyzing research data	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Analyzing research data References: Creswell, JW 2014. Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	5%
13	Able to analyze research data	Accuracy in analyzing research data	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Analyzing research data References: Creswell, JW 2014. Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	10%
14	Able to compose a dissertation	Accuracy in compiling a dissertation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Preparing a dissertation Reader: Team. 2022. Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	10%
15	Able to compose a dissertation	Accuracy in compiling a dissertation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion of presentations and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Preparing a dissertation Reader: Team. 2022. Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	10%

16	Able to carry out open dissertation examinations	Mastery of material and insight into implementation of dissertation research results	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation and question and answer 120 minutes	Presentation and question and answer 120 minutes	Material: Carrying out an open dissertation exam Reader: Team. 2022. Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	10%
----	--	--	---	---	--	---	-----

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	100%
	_	100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of
 their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
 are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
 knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.