

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Science Education Doctoral Study Program

Document Code

### SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CODE		Course Family		у	Credit Weight		SE	MEST	ER	Con	npilation						
Dissertation	8400109048					ory S Subje		Т	=9 I	P=0	ECTS	=22.68		5		June 202	e 20, 2		
AUTHORIZA <sup>-</sup>	SP Develop	er					Cou	ırse	Clust	er C	oordir	nator	Stu	ıdy Pr	ograr	n Coo	rdinator		
		Prof. Dr. Su	atno, M	1.Si.				Prof	f. Dr.	Suya	itno, I	M.Si.			Prof.	Dr. Su	yatno	M.Si.	
Learning model	Project Based	ed Learning																	
Program	PLO study pro	ogram which is	charge	d to	the	cou	ırse												
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																		
(PLO)	PO - 1																		
	PO - 2	Apply scientific concepts, theories and methodologies in conducting and reporting research results																	
	PO - 3	Produce problem solving through inter, multi and transdisciplinary approaches.																	
	PO - 4	Manage and develop research scientifically according to their scientific field so as to produce accountable decisions																	
	PLO-PO Matrix																		
	PO Matrix at t	PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4	earnin	g sta	age	(Sul	b-PO	)											
			1					Week											
		P.O	1		3	4	5	6	7	0	yvee 9		11	12	10	1.4	15	10	
			1	2	٥	4	5	0	1	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		PO-1																	
		PO-1 PO-2																	
		PO-2																	
Short Course Description	of research data of this course objectives/bene discussions/disc closed and oper taking an open	PO-2 PO-3	ode or pode of a contract of a	ersp disse arch d sug nd op	ectivertation terr gges gen e	ve us on r ns/va tions exam	sed in manus ariable /recor s are	an o script es), mme atter	origin t inc theo ndati nded	al, ini luding retica ons. I by in	novat g title ll stu Disse terna	ive ane, introduced interest. Intere	d transo roductio resear n manus external	discip n (b ch n script exar	olinary ackgro nethoo s are a miners	mann ound, ls, re accour	er. Th probl search nted fo	e produc em/focus n results or througl le withou	

- 1. Creswell, J.W. (2014). Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.
- 2. Sugiyono (2015). Metode Penelitian Pendidikan. Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R &D. Cetakan ke-22. Sugryono (2013). Metode Perleitian Perludikan. Perludikan Ruantatin Ruant

#### Supporters:

1. Artikel dalam jurnal yang relevan dengan topik penelitian disertasi

# Supporting lecturer

Prof. Dr. Suyatno, M.Si.

lecturer							
Week-	stage		<b>/</b> aluation	Lea Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, ent Assignments, estimated time]	Learning materials [ References ]	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( <i>online</i> )	[Troisions ]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Able to develop research instruments	Accuracy in developing research instruments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments Reference: Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta	5%
2	Able to develop research instruments	Accuracy in developing research instruments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments References: Creswell, JW (2014). Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	5%
3	Able to develop research instruments	Accuracy in developing research instruments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments References: Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%
4	Able to develop research instruments	Accuracy in developing research instruments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments References: Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%

5	Able to prepare research instrument validation instruments and carry out validation	Accuracy in compiling research instrument validation instruments and carrying out validation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Development of validation instruments Reference: Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta	5%
6	Able to prepare research instrument validation instruments and carry out validation	Accuracy in compiling research instrument validation instruments and carrying out validation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Development of validation instruments References: Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%
7	Able to carry out research for data collection	Accuracy in carrying out research for data collection	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Implementation of educational research References: Creswell, JW (2014). Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	5%
8	Able to carry out research for data collection	Accuracy in carrying out research for data collection	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Carrying out research for data collection References: Creswell, JW (2014). Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	5%
9	Able to carry out research for data collection	Accuracy in carrying out research for data collection	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Carrying out research for data collection Reference: Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta	5%

10	Able to carry out	Accuracy in	Criteria:	Discussion,	Discussion, Presentation	Material:	5%
	research for data collection	carrying out research for data collection	Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes		Carrying out research for data collection Reference: Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta	J70
11	Able to carry out research for data collection	Accuracy in carrying out research for data collection	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Carrying out research for data collection References: Yin, RK (2016). Qualitative Research from Strat to Finish. 2nd Ed. New York: Guilford Press.	5%
12	Able to analyze research data	Accuracy in analyzing research data	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Analyzing research data. Library: Sugiyono (2015). Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta	10%
13	Able to analyze research data	Accuracy in analyzing research data	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Analyzing research data References: Creswell, JW (2014). Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	7%
14	Able to compose a dissertation	Accuracy in compiling a dissertation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Preparing a dissertation Reference: Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	8%
15	Able to compose a dissertation	Accuracy in compiling a dissertation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Preparing a dissertation Reference: Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	10%

16	Able to carry out closed dissertation examinations	The quality of the dissertation paper as well as the ability to present and master the contents of the dissertation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Material: Carrying out a closed dissertation examination Reader: Team (2022). Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	10%
----	--	---	--	--	--	--	-----

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	100%
		100%

#### Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each
  Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the
  level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special
  skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or
  observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the
  course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.