

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Science Education Doctoral Study Program

Document Code

			SEM	ES	TE	R	LE	EAF	RN	IN	GΙ	PL/	AN							
Courses			CODE			Cοι	urse	Fami	ly	C	Cred	it We	ight		SE	MEST	ER	Con Date	npilati e	ion
Closed Dissertation		8400109003					sory S I Subj		1	Г <b>=</b> 9	P=0	ECTS	=22.68	:	6		June 2022	e 20, 2		
AUTHORIZAT	TION		SP Develope	r					Co	urse	Clus	ster C	oordir	nator	Stu	ıdy Pı	ograr	n Coo	rdina	tor
			Prof. Dr. Suyatno, M.Si.					Prof. Dr. Suyatno, M.Si.						Prof. Dr. Suyatno, M.Si.						
Learning model	Project Based	Learning																		
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course																			
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																			
(PLO)	PO - 1	PO - 1 Have logic, ethics, honesty, as well as a critical and open attitude in producing research																		
	PO - 2	Apply scientific concepts, theories and methodologies in conducting and reporting research results																		
	PO - 3	Produce problem solving through inter, multi and transdisciplinary approaches.																		
	PO - 4		hage and deve isions	lop re	esearch scientifically according to their scientific field so as to produce accountable													able		
PLO-PO Matrix																				
			PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4	-																
	PO Matrix at t	he e	nd of each le	arnin	g st	tage	(Su	b-PC	)											
			P.O									We	ek							
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		F	PO-1																	
		F	PO-2																	
		F	PO-3																	
		F	PO-4																	
												•								•
Short Course Description	Application of v of research data of this course objectives/bene discussions/disc through a close study programs	a, an is fits, cussi ed ex	d scientific mod in the form of definitions of ons, conclusio amination atte	de or p of a d resea ns, au nded b	diss arch nd : by ir	pecti ertati teri sugg ntern	ive u: ion i ms/v; jestio ial e>	seď ir manu ariabl ons/re	n an o scrip es), comr	origin t inc theo nend	ial, ir cludir pretic latior	nnova ng tit al st ns. T	tive an le, intr udies, he dis	d trans oductic resear sertatio	discip on (b och n on ma	olinary ackgro nethoo anusci	mann ound, ls, re ript is	er. Th problesearch acco	e proc em/foo n resi unted	duct cus, ults, for
References	Main :																	_		

		Sage. 2. Sugiyor Alfabeta 3. Tim. 20	no. 2015. Metod a. 022. Pedoman F	de Penelitian Pendidik Penulisan Tesis dan Di	an. Pendekata isertasi. Surabi	tative and Mixed Methods . n Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan aya: Pascasarjana Unesa. 2nd Ed. New York: Guilford	R &D. Cetakan ke	
		Supporters:						
		1. Artikel o	dalam jurnal ya	ng relevan dengan top	ik penelitian di	sertasi		
Support lecturer		Prof. Dr. Suyatr	10, M.Si.					
Week-		al abilities of ch learning ge	E١	valuation	Lea Stude	lelp Learning, Irning methods, ent Assignments, Estimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
		ib-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline( offline)	Online ( <i>online</i> )	[References]	
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	rein	ble to develop search struments	Accuracy in developing research instruments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PJBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments Reader: Sugiyono. 2015. Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	5%
2	re	ble to develop search struments	Accuracy in developing research instruments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments References: Creswell, JW 2014. Research Design. Qualitative, Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	5%
3	re	ble to develop search struments	Accuracy in developing research instruments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments References: Team. 2022. Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%
4	re	ble to develop search struments	Accuracy in developing research instruments	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Development of research instruments References: Team. 2022. Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%

5	Able to prepare research instrument validation instruments and carry out validation	Accuracy in compiling research instrument validation instruments and carrying out validation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Development of validation instruments Reference: Sugiyono. 2015. Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	5%
6	Able to prepare research instrument validation instruments and carry out validation	Accuracy in compiling research instrument validation instruments and carrying out validation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Development of validation instruments References: Team. 2022. Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%
7	Able to carry out research for data collection	Accuracy in carrying out research for data collection	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Implementation of educational research References: Creswell, JW 2014. Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	5%
8	Able to carry out research for data collection	Accuracy in carrying out research for data collection	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Carrying out research for data collection <b>References:</b> Creswell, JW 2014. Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	5%
9	Able to carry out research for data collection	Accuracy in carrying out research for data collection	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Carrying out research for data collection Reader: Sugiyono. 2015. Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	5%

10	Able to carry out research for data collection	Accuracy in carrying out research for data collection	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL $9 \times 50$ minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Carrying out research for data collection Reader: Sugiyono. 2015. Educational Research Methods. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Approaches. 22nd printing. Bandung: Alphabeta.	5%
11	Able to carry out research for data collection	Accuracy in carrying out research for data collection	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Carrying out research to collect data References: Yin, RK 2016. Qualitative Research from Strat to Finish. 2nd Ed. New York: Guilford Press.	5%
12	Able to analyze research data	Accuracy in carrying out research data analysis	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Analyzing research data References: Creswell, JW 2014. Research Design. Qualitative, Qualitative, Quanitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	10%
13	Able to analyze research data	Accuracy in analyzing research data	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Analyzing research data References: Creswell, JW 2014. Research Design. Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th Ed. New York: Sage.	10%
14	Able to compose a dissertation	Accuracy in compiling a dissertation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Preparing a dissertation Reader: Team. 2022. Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	5%
15	Able to compose a dissertation	Accuracy in compiling a dissertation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9 x 50 minutes	Discussion, Presentation and PjBL 9x 50 minutes	Material: Preparing a dissertation Reader: Team. 2022. Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	10%

16	Able to carry out closed dissertation examinations	The quality of the dissertation paper as well as the ability to present and master the contents of the dissertation	Criteria: Based on the assessment rubric that has been created by the teaching lecturer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation and question and answer 120 minutes	Presentation and question and answer 120 minutes	Material: Carrying out a closed dissertation examination Reader: Team. 2022. Guidelines for Writing Theses and Dissertations. Surabaya: Unesa Postgraduate.	10%
----	---	--	---	--	--	--	-----

## Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	100%
		100%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- **10. Learning materials** are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.